SACRAMENT

BAPTISM

In Purliance of an

EXPLICATION

Johnnes W. T. A OR Row P. ac

CATECHISM

OF THE

CHURCH OF ENGLAND

BY

GABRIEL TOWERSON, D.D. and Rector of Helipper in Harfirdhire.

LONDON,

Printed for Inchery Childrell, at the Rofe and Cream

Imprimatur.

Apr. 10. 1686. Johannes Battely RR no P. ac Apr. 10. 1686. Dno Dno Wilhelmo Archiep. Cane tuariensi à Sacris Domesticis.

44.

1 3

1 6

.

1

1

.

Right Reverend

FATHER in GCD

The Foile Dedicatory

FRANCIS

Lord Bishop of ELY,

His Majesty.

My Lord,

favourable acceptance of my Difcourse of the Sacraments in General, with the desire A 3 I

1085686

The Epistle Dedicatory.

I have, if it may be, to put an end to the whole, hath prompted me to make the more hast to present your Lordship, and the World with this of Baptism in particular.

Two things there are in it, which I thought my felf most concern'd to clear, and which therefore I have employ'd all requisite diligence on, the Doctrine of Original Sin, and Infant-Baptism: The former being in my opinion the foun-

The Epistle 'Dedicatory.

foundation of Christianity, the latter of our interest in it. For if there be no fuch thing as Original Sin, I do not see but some persons heretofore might, and may hereafter live with fuch exactness, as not at all co stand in need of a Saviour And I fee as little , wif Infant-Baptism be null, what interest any of us can have in him, according to the ordinary dispensation of the Gospel, who have for the most part been A 4 baptized

The Epistle Dedicatory.

baptized in our Infancy or at least, have been baptized by those that were.

Throughout the whole Treatife I have endear your'd to retrive the antient notion of Baptism, to shew what adyantages are annexed to it; and what duties sit either involves, or obligeth to. To either of which if I have given any light, or strength, I shall hope I have done some small service to the Church, and which

The Epiftle Dedicatory. which your Lordship in particular will take in good part from

Your Lordship's

Wellwyne Aug. 23. 1686.

Most obliged

Most obedient, and

Most humble Servant

GABRIEL TOWERSON.

THE

The Epifile Dedicatory.

which your Lordship in particular will take in good part from

ginhbio. A my

Most obliged

Most obedient, and

f the Moft humble Servant.

CABLLET TOWERSON.

9 14 19

THE

CONTENTS

OF THE

FIRST PART.

Of the Rite of Baptism among the Heathen, and the Jews.

He Heathen themselves not without the knowledge of another World, and of the insufficiency of natural Religion to bring them to the happiness thereof. Occasion taken by them from thence to enquire after other ways of obtaining it, and by the Devil to suggest the mysteries of their respective Deities as the only proper means of compassing it. Those mysteries every where initiated into by the Rite of Baptism; partly through Men's consciousness of their past sins, and which they

they judged it but meet they should be some way purged from, and partly through the policy of the Devil, who thereby thought to procure the greater veneration to them: That as it was a Rite, which was in use among God's own people, fontural, apt to repre-Tent to Mens minds their paffing from a finful to a holy Estate. Of what Service the Heathens use of this Rite is raward the commendation of the Christians Baptisin, and a transition from thence to the use of it among the Tews. Which is not only prov d at large out of the fewish Writings, and Several particulars of that Baptifm remark d, but that ufage farther confirmed by several concurring proofs; fuch as is in particular the no appearance there is otherwise of any initiation of the Jewish Women, the Baptizing of the whole Nation in the Cloud, and in the Sea, and a remarkable allusion to it in our Saviour's Discourse to Nicodemus. The filence of the Old Testament conterning that Rite shewn to be of no force, because though it take notice of the first Jews being under the Cloud, and passing through the Red Sea,

The Contents T

Sea, jet it takes no notice at all of their being Baptized in them, or of their Eating, and Drinking that spiritual Repast whereof S. Paul speaketh. The Baptism of Christians copied by our Saviour from that of the Jews, and may therefore, (where it appears not, that he hath made an alteration) receive an elucidation from it.

The Contents of the Second Part.

Of the Baptilm of the Christians, and the Institution of ir.

He Institution of the Christian Baptism more antient, than the Command for it in S. Matthew 28. 19. though not as to the generality of the World, nor it may be as to the like explicit Profession of the Trinity. As is made appear from Christ, or his Disciples baptizing in Judea, not long after his own Baptism by S. John. Enquiry thereupon made, whether it were not yet more antient, yea as antient as Christ's execution

execution of his Prophetical Office. Which is rendred probable from our Saviours making Disciples before, and the equal reason there appears to have been for his making them after the Same manner with those of Judea; From Christ's reprefenting to Nicodemus the nevelfity of being born again of water, and the spirit, which is shown at large to be meant of a true and proper Baptism; As, in fine, from Christ's telling S. Peter, when he ask'd the mashing of his Hands, and Head, as well as Feet, that he, who had been washed, needeth not fave to wash his feet. An answer to the supposed silence of the Scripture concerning so early a Baptism, and that shewn to be nelther a perfect silence, nor an unaccountable one.

The

The Contenes of the Third Part!

Of the outward wifible Sign

He outward visible Sign of the Christian Baptifm shewn to be the Element of Water, and enquiry thereupon made wherein it was intended as a Sign; Which is shown, in the general, to be as to the cleanfing quality thereof, more particularly as to the use it was put to toward newborn Infants, and that application of it which was first in use, even by an immersion, or plunging the Party baptized in it. Occasion taken from thence to enquire farther, how it ought to be applied, more especially whether by an immersion, or by that, or an aspersion, or effusion. Evidence made of an immersion being the only legitimate Rite of Baptism, fave where necessity doth otherwise require; And enquiry thereupon made, whether necessity may justifie the Application of it by an Aspersion, or Effusion, and,

and, if it may, whether the case of Infants be to be look'd upon as such a necessity. What is to be thought of those additions, which were antiently made, or continue as yet in being in the outward solemnities of Baptism. Where the sign of the Cross in Baptism is more particularly considered, and answer made to those Exceptions that are made against it as a Ceremony, as an addition of Men to the Institution of Christ, and as a supposed Relique of Popery, or giving too much countemance to the Papists abuses of it. p.43.

A Digression concerning Original Sign, By way of Preparation to the following Discourses.

The Contents.

F the ground of the present Digression concerning Original Sin, and enquiry thereupon made, what Original Sin is. Which is shewn in the General to be such a corrupt on of

of the Nature of every Man, that so naturally engendered of the offforing of Adam, whereby it becomes averse from every thing, that is good, and inclinable to every thing, that is evil. The nature of that corruption more particularly enquir'd into, and bewn by probable Arguments to be no other, than a Privation of a Supernatural Grace. That there is such a thing, as we have before described, evidenced at large from the Scripture, and that evidence farther strengthned by the experience we have of its effects, and the acknowledgments of the wifer Heathen. quiry next made from whence it had its beginning, which is shewn to have been not from any evil Spirit, or Dæmon, the pravity of matter, or the evil habits the Soul contracted in a praexistent state, but from the pravity of our first Parents. This last at large confirm'd out of the Doctrine of the Scripture, and followed by some light reflections upon the means, by which it is conveyed. A more just account from the Scripture of its being truly, and properly a fin, partly from its having (a) the

the title of a sin, but more especially from its being represented as such, upon the account of our Obligation to the contrary. A consideration of those Objections, which are commonly made against the Doctrine of Original Sin; Which are shown either not to be of that force, whereof they are esteem'd, or however not to be a sufficient bar to what the Scripture hath declar'd concerning it. p. 89

The Contents of the Fourth Part.

Of the things fignified by Baptism on the part of God, or its inward and spiritual Grace.

THE things signified by Baptism are either more general, or particular: More general, as that Covenant of Grace, which passeth between God, and Man, and that body of Men, which enter into Covenant with him; More particular, what the same God doth, by vertue of that Covenant, oblige himself to bestow upon the Baptized, and what shose Baptized

Baptized ones do on their part undertake to perform. Thefe latter ones proposed to be considered, and entrance made with the consideration of what God obligeth himself to beflow upon the Baptized, called by the Church, An inward, and spiritual Grace. Which inward, and Spiritual Grace is Shewn to be of two forth, to wit, such as tend more immediately to our spiritual, and sternal welfare, or such as only qualifie us for those Graces, that do fo. To the former fort are reckon'd that inward, and Spiritual Grace, which tends to free us from the guilt of fin, called by the Church forgiveness of sin; That which tends to free us from the pollution of sin, called by our Catechism A death unto it; And that, which tends to introduce the contrary purity, and hath the name of a New birth unto righteousness. To the latter fort is reckaned our union to that Body, of which Christ Jesus is the Head, and by means whereof he dispenseth the former Graces to us. Each of these resum'd, and considered in their order, and sbewn to be, what they are ufually (a 2)

usually still d, the inward, and shiritual Graces of Baptism, or the shings signified by the outward wishle Sign thereof.

p. 285

The Contents of the Fifth Part.

Of Forgiveness of fin by Baptism.

F the relation of the sign of Baptifus to its inward, and spiritual Grace, and particularly to Forgivenels of fin; Which is either that of a means fitted by God to convey it, or of a pledge to assure the Baptized person of it. The farmer of these relations more particularly confidered, as that too with respect to Forgiveness of Sin in the general, or the Forgiveness of all Sinwhatsoever, and Original Sin in particular. As to the former whereof is alledged first the Scriptures calling upon Mento be Baptiz'd for the remission, or forgiveness of fin, Secondly the Church's making that Forgiveness a part of her Belief, and Doctrine, Thirdly the agreeing opinions or practices of thofe,

those, who were either unfound members of it, or Separatiffs from it, And Fourthly the Culumines of its enemies. The tike coldence made of the latter from the Surpture's proposing Baptism, and its Forgiveness as a remedy against the greatest guilts, and in special against that wrath, which we are Children of by Nature. From the premises is shown, that the sign of Baptism is a please to assure the Baptized of Forgiveness, as well as a means surted by God for the conveying of it.

The Contents of the Sixth Part.

Of Mortification of fin, and Regeneration by Baptism.

F the relation of the sign of Baptism to such inward, and spiritual Graces, as tend to free us from the pollution of sin, or introduce the contrary purity; And that relation shewn to be no less than that of a means, whereby they are convey'd. (a 3) This

This evidenced as to the former, even our death unto fin (which is also explain'd) from such Texts of Scripture, as make mention of our being baptiz'd into it, and buried by Baptism in it, or from such as describe us as cleanfed by the mashing of it. The like evidenc'd from the same Scripture concerning the latter, even our new birth unto righteousness; As that again farther clear'd as to this particular by the consentient Doctrine, and practice of the Church, by the opinion the Jews had of that Baptism, which was a Type, and exemplar of ours, and the expressions of the Heathen concerning it. The Doctrine of the Church more largely infifted upon, and exemplified from Justin Martyr, Tertullian, and S. Cyprian. p. 217 ert at & in the day

A reary

A Break Line

Child of a property of the comment o

The Contents of the Seventh Part.

Of our Union to the Church
by Baptisin.

the secretal Rem the If the relation of the sign of Bap-tism to our Union to the Church, and that relation shown to be no less than that of a means, whereby that Union is made. This evidenced in the first place from the declarations of the Scripture, more particularly from its affirming all Christians to be baptiz'd into that Body, as those, who were first baptized after the defrem of the Holy Ghost upon the Apostles, to have been thereby added to their company, and made partakers with the reft in the Apostles Dotrine, and fellowsbip, in breaking of Bread, and in Prayers. The like widence of the same Union to the Church by Baptism from the declanations of the Church it felf, and the consequences of that Union shewn to be such, as to make that also to be accounted one of the inward, and spi-(24) ritual

ritual Graces of that Baptism, by which it is made. p. 237

The Contents of the Eighth Part.

Of the Profession that is made by the Baptized Person.

HE things fignified by Baptifa on the part of the baptized brangh under confideration, and shown from Several former discourses (which ere also pointed to) to be on Abramuciation of fin, a present belief of the Doctrine of Christianity, and particularly of the Trinity, and arefolytion for the time to come to continue in that belief, and all a greeably to its Laws. Que notilution of acting agreeable to the Lans of Christianity more particular hosesfider do and the Profession sticket Shemn by Several Angelment a so be the intendment of the Christian Baytifin. What the measure of that conformity is, which we professo pay to the Laws of Christianics, and what are the confequences of the Violation of that Profession. p. 249

of Soul maricks, and Hereticks more

Towns, which focus to interpret is to be come in the serve of

Johns oney. I he Busself no

Of the right Administration of Baptism.

Fter a sbort account of the Foundation of the Baptismal relation, and reference made to those places from which a larger one may be fetch'd; Enquiry is made touching the right Administration of Baptism, as therein drain, Porft, Wheeher Baptifm ought expresty to be made in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghaft, Secondly whether Schifmaticks, and Heresicks are valid Administratours of it, Thirdly; to what, and what whind of perfous is oneh to be administred, Fourthly, Whether it may be repeated. The two first of thefe Spoken to here, and first, Whether Baptifm ought to be expreshy adminifixed in the form propos'd. Which is not easy from it be under obliga-"their frems the empress words of the Inflitution, but anfiner muche to those Texts,

Texts, which feem to intimate it to be enough to baptize in the name of the Lord Jefus only. The Baptifus of Schismaticks, and Hereticks more largely shown to be valid, unless where they baptize into a counterfeit Paich, and the several objections against it answer'd.

Bapulmi do a la como

The Contents of the Tenth Part.

fall Hora be I am i to state and

Of the Baptism of those of riper Years.

To what, and what kind of perfons Baptism ought to be administred; Which, as to those of riper years, is shown to be unto all, that come duly qualified for it. What those qualifications are, upon that account enquir'd into, and Repentance, and Faith shown from the Scripture, as well as from our own Catechism to be they. That Repentance, and Faith more particularly considered, the desinitions given of them by our Church explained, and established.

The former whereof is effected, by showing what Repentance dook presuppose, what it imports, and to what it dook naturally dispose we:
The latter by showing what those proinities are, which by the Catechism are made the object of our Faich, or Belief, what that Belief of them doth presuppose, what is meant by a stedfast Belief of them, and what evidence there is of that being the Faich, or Belief required to the receiving of Baptism.

P. 287

The Contents of the Eleventh Part.

Of the Baptism of Infants.

Hat ground Infant-Baptism hath
in Scripture, and particularly
in what it suggests concerning Christ's
commanding his Disciples to suffer
little Children to come unto him.
S. Paul's giving the Children of
the faithful the title of Holy, and
the Circumcision of Infants. The

The Cohrens.

concurrence of Antiquity therein midd the Destrine of the Scripmite, and that tommrence firsher fremethmed by the Pelagians fo freely minisfrom themed. A brief account of that remission, and resemblation, which Infants acquire by Buprifin, and a more large confideration of the Qb. jections, that are made multiplet; More particularly of what is not d against vier Regeneration of lafanes in Baptism, or their ability to answer what is prerequir'd to it on the part of persons to be baptized, or is to be performed by them in the reception of it. Where the Regeneration of Infants is more largely considered, and what is promisd for them by others shewn to be both reasonable, and sufficients.

p. 309

The Contents of the Twelfth Part.

Whether Baptism may be repeated.

THat the true state of the pre-V Sent question is, and that it is not founded in any suppos'd illegitimateness of the former Baptifm, but upon supposition of the baptized persons either not having before had, or forfeited the regeneration of it, or fallen off from that Religion, to which it doth belong. Whereupon enquiry is made, whether if such persons repent and return, they ought to be baptized anew, or received into the Church without. What there is to per-Swade the repeating of Baptism, and what the Church hath alledg'd against it. The Churches arguments from Eph. 4. 4. and John 13. 10. propofed, but ward. The Churches opinion more firmly established in the no direction there is

in Scripture for re-baptization in those cases, but rather the contrary, and in the no necessity there is of it. The Arguments for rebaptization answer'd.

p. 365

Errata.

UM

ERRATA.

In the Title over the Pages. PAg. 253. for Baptism, r. Baptized.

TEXT.

Pag. 57. 1. 16. after do add not. p. 134.1. 11. after of add that. p.228.l.17. corruption. p.244.l. pen. embodied. p. 262.l. 22. violaters. p. 306.l. 14. for boyl r. bogle. p. 324. l.7. for force r. face. p. 330. l. 24. faithful. p.354. l. 18. r. as was.

Margent.
Pag. 6. l. penult. Μολου p. 7. l. 17. 2 yvis ht. p. 16.l.
1, 2. Exo. 16. 32. p. 61. l. 8. for Sacramentam. r. incrementa. p. 235.Lantep. mapero d'eure.ib.Luk. ¿Aurpa's Garc. p. 291. l. 6. zireiv. p. 335. l. 4. iua dureudnour.

OF THE

SACRAMENT

O F

BAPTISM.

PARTING LAND

o traverally aft to no

Of the Rite of Baptism among the HEATHEN, and the JEWs.

The Contents.

The Heathen themselves not without the knowledge of another World, and of the insufficiency of natural Religion to bring them to the happiness thereof. Occasion taken by them from thence to enquire after other ways of obtaining it, and by the Devil to Suggest the mysteries of their B

respective Deities as the only proper means of compassing it. Those mysteries every where initiated into by the Rite of Baptism; partly through Men's conscionsness of their past fins, and which they judged it but meet they should be some way purged from, and partly through the policy of the Devil, who thereby thought to produce the greater weneration to them: That as it was a Rite, which was in use among God's own people, fo naturally apt to represent to Mens minds their passing from a sinful to a holy Estate. Of what Service the Heathens use of this Rite is toward the commendation of the Christians Baptism, and atransition from thense to the use of it among the Jews. Which is not only prov d at large out of the fewish Writings, and Several particulars of that Baptism remark'd, but that usage farther confirm'd by feveral concurring proofs; Inch as is in particular the no appearance there is otherwise of any initiation of the Jewish Women, the Baptizing of the whole Nation in the Cloud, and in the Sea, and a remarkable allusion to it in our Saviour's Discourse

tl

tl

R

of

th

C

ro

of

among the Heathen, and the Jews.

Discourse to Nicodemus. The stance of the Old Testament concerning that Rite shown to be of no forte, because though it take notice of the stiff Jews being under the Cloud, and passing through the Red Sea, yet it takes no notice at all of their being Baptized in them, or of their being Baptized in them, or of their Eating, and Drinking that spiritual repast, whereof S. Paul speaketh. The Baptism of Christians copied by our Saviour from that of the Jews, and may therefore, (where it appears not, that he hath made an alteration) receive an clueidation from it.

Hough the Baptism of Christians be my proper business, and ought accordingly to be made the subject matter of my Discourse; yet I think it not amis to premise something concerning the use of the like Rite among the Heathen, and (which is of much more consideration) among the people of the Jens: Partly because Christianity may seem to have borrowed her Baptism from the Baptism of the latter, and we therefore may bor-

?

row fome light from it toward the clearing of our own; And partly because it may appear both from the one, and the others Baptism, that Christianity hath laid no other imposition on us, than what the general reason of Mankind, or a more early Tradition prompted others to

the imbracing of.

For the understanding whereof we are to know, that as the Heathen themselves were not without a prefension of another World, wherein the Souls of Men should be treated according to their demeanour here; So they alike faw, or at least fufpected, that they could not expect a happy futurity by a bare compliance with those rules, which natural Religion suggested to them: Partly, because they saw but too well that they could never arrive at a perfect compliance with them, by which means they should always stand in need of the divine favour, and forgiveness; And partly, because they knew it to be in the power of their offended Deities to prescribe what ways, and means they thought good for Men's obtaining

(

C

a f

t

t

a

P 2

ra tl

among the Heathen, and the JEWS.

ing a reconciliation with them. This therefore being the general, and indeed natural sense of Mankind, and not a little quickned at the first by what they might learn from God's own people concerning the Sacrifices, and other Rites, whereby he appointed them to atone him; Men began to look out every where for proper means to obtain the favour of their Gods, and the Devil, who was willing by all means to precipitate them into destruction, did either by himself, or his Agents fuggest such, as might gratifie those their hopes, but withal not only no way profit them, but debauch their minds so much the more. Only left too gross a deceit should come to be discern'd, he took care, among other things, that what he fuggested should be concealed from the generality of Men, and indeed even from those, who were desirous to understand them, till they had approv'd themselves by a long expectation, and the undergoing of all those things, which were preparatory to them. From hence it was. that the mysteries of the several Hea-B 3

KUM

1

•

1

b

;

£

1-

!-

0

at

y

15

e-

10

to

ns

n-

ng

6

then Deities came to have their beginning, and name; Those of the Mother of the Gods in Samothracia, and of Hecate in many places. Hence those famous ones of Ceres and Pro-Serpina at Eleusis in Attica, of Bacchus in Baotia, and of Mithras in Asia. In fine, hence those of Orpheus almost all over Greege, and of Isi in Agypt, and many other places; They *, who were not without a due efteem of piety and vertue making the end of those mysteries to have been the procuring to those that were initiated into them a possibility of living happily in the other World, whilft nothing but extremest miseries attended the neglecters of them.

* Cievo de leg.
Lib. 2. Mihi
autem cum multa eximia, divinaque videntur Athene tua
peperisse, atque in vitam
hominum attutisse, tum nibil melius illis
mysteriis, quibus ex agresti
immanique vita

exculti ad humanitutem, & mitigati sumus, initiaque, ut appellantur, Tra revera principia vita cognovimus, neque folim cum latitid livendi vationem accepinus, sed etiam cum spe meliore ingriendi.

> Sophocles— ως τα τόλβιοι Κεΐνοι βεστών δι τώυτω διρχθέντες τέλη Μόλως ες άδε * τοΐσ δε μόνοις εκέτ Ζῆν όζι * τοῖς δ' άλλοισι πωντ' ἐκά κακά.

But because mysteries of this nature were not to be communicated to all, no nor yet to any before they were were purged from their past fins;

Therefore care was taken first of all (as we learn from Clemens Alexandrinus †) that they should pass through certain purgations, or washings, and which though (as Tertullian * speaks) perform'd viduis aquis, that is to fay, with fuch, as had not the incubatition of God's Spirit, yet were, as he afterwards (a) affirms, both administred, and receiv'd

e

e

0-

c-

n

7-

of

;

a

g

1e

at

1-

er

67

rs

r,

UM

+ Strom. lib. 5. p. 424. Cur ameixoras apa x ที่ยี่ แบรหย่อง ที่ยี่ mag "Ex-भागा दिए दूर मिर् मा अर्थ निक्-जब, अब में मार के कांड Bap-Bapois to houtgov · meta उसर्वे न के दिने न सामा हिम प्राप्त shera, &c.

* De Baptismo. c. 5.

(a) Ibid. Certe ludis Apollinaribus, & Eleusiniis tinguntur, idque fe in regenerationem, & impunitatem perjuriorum suorum agere prefiemunt.

as effectual Symbols of a new Birth, and a freedom from the punishment of their offences. It was thus in particular, that Men were initiated into the mysteries of Eleufis, even the leffer ones, and fuch as were preparatory to the greater, and he who initiated them into them entitled Topards (b) or the Waterer. It was thus, (b) Hefych. as we learn from Tertulian (c), that Topavos, 6 they initiated Men into the rites of a pushe &

'Ελευσινίων. (c) De Bapti c. 5. Nam & faris quibnfdan per lavacrum initiantur Lidis alicujus, & Mithre.

> B 4 Mithras,

(d) Milest. 11. citat, à Seldens de success. ad leg. Habr. c. Mithras, and so too into those of Iss: The Chief Priest of that Goddess (as Apuleius (d) describes his own initiation) leading the party, that was to be initiated, in the Company of that Religious band to the next Bath. Where having first delivered him to the usual washing, and ask'd pardon of the Goddess, he sprinkled him all about, and bringing him back to the Temple, after two parts of the day were spent, plac'd him before the feet of the Goddess.

How this way of initiation by Baptism came to prevail so generally, is hard to fay, and I will not therefore be over positive in defining. That, which feems to me to be the most probable, is, that those mysteries, to which this way of initiation belonged, came all out of the fame forge, even the contrivance of the Devil, and his dependants, to whom, though ignorantly, the Heathen offered (f) Sacrifice; That he fuggested such an initiation to them, partly in imitation of those Baptisms, or washings, which God had appointed among his own people, and part-

(f) 1 Cor.

ly as a Symbol, which did most naturally represent to their minds their passing from a finful to a holy Estate: Sin, by reason of the odiousness thereof, coming to have both the esteem, and name of Pollution, and that therefore, which professed to do it away, best represented by that Element, which was most proper to purge away the natural one; In fine, that they, to whom that form of initiation was propos'd, being thereby possess'd with a good opinion of the facredness of those mysteries, to which it led, and a hope of its also purging them from their former guilt, greedily embraced it, and made it as facred in their own esteem, as it seemed to be in the design of those, that instituted it. Which moreover they were more eafily perfuaded into, because they found it much more easie thus to wash away their Sins, than to purge their Conscience (g) from dead (e) Heb 9. 14; works by repentance, and amendment. By these degrees, I suppose, it was, that Baptism came, even among the Heathen, to be the general form of initiating them into their respe-

(h) Arnob.
adv. Gent. li.
5. & Clem.
Alex. Meg75 ch. wegs
EXMVas
P. 10.

respective mysteries; And had those mysteries been as sacred, as their initiation into them was specious, it might have serv'd to them for a perpetual monument of that inward, and far better purity, which it becomes all those to put on, who hope approbation from the Divine Majesty. But as that initiation of their's had for its Institutor some false God, or other, or rather some Evil Spirit, who acted the part of one; As it was moreover an Introduction into abominable mysteries, as well as into unprofitable ones; Witness in particular those so much talk'd of mysteries of Eleusis (b), and which I will favour chaft Ears in concealing: fo I have infifted on it for no other reason, than to shew, how willing the Devil was to procure credit to his mysteries by it, and how eafily Men were prevailed upon by the speciousness thereof to engage themselves in the pursuit of them. Which, though it were no commendation to those mysteries, to which it was apply'd, yet is a fufficient one of the Rite it felf, and will add yet more luftre to that Baptism

Baptism of ours, which leads to a Religion, that is as spotless as it felf.

From the Baptism of the Heathen pass we to that of the Jews, and so much the rather, because if fuch a Baptism can be prov'd, it will not only be a farther commendation to our own, but it may be also give light to it: He, who came not to destroy the Law, and the Prophets, but to fulfill them (as Christ himself declar'd (i) in the very entrance upon (i) Mat. 5, his Ministry) being likely enough to have had a regard to their Baptism also, and to have copied out his own Baptism by it. And indeed if any credit may be given to the most Authentick writings the Jews now have, and to one (k) of the (k) Maimonimost sober Rabbins, which that Nation hath ever produc'd, there will be no reason to doubt of the Jews having, even from Antient times, the same way of initiating Men into their Religion, which Christiani- (1) Seld. de ty doth now prescribe. For from Jure Nat. their Writings it hath been observ'd, if 2.c. 2.&c. (and the express words of their re- Ham. in his spective Authors alledged (1) for it) Quer. concern.

that the Males of the native Jews were of Old initiated into that Religion by Baptism, or washing of the whole body, as well as by Circumcifion, and an Oblation, and the Females by Baptism, if not also by an Oblation; That the Males of their Profelytes of Justice (futably to the Males of those native Jews, into whose Religion they were admitted) were initiated by Baptism, and an Oblation, as well as by Circumcifion, and the Females by Baptism, and an Oblation; That the Baptism of Profelytes was to be perform'd in a natural receptacle of Waters, as in a River, a Pool, or a Fountain, and the whole body washed in it; That there were three Men appointed to preside over their Baptism, and who, as the Baptised persons stood in the water, were to lean over them, and twice explain to them fome of the more weighty, and lighter precepts of the Law; That where the Profelyte was a Female, she should be encompassed with other Women to preserve her from being seen by the Triumviri, and they to depart, when she was to come out of the water; That

That this Baptism being rightly perform'd was not to be repeated, and that in what condition Proselytes were baptiz'd, that is to fay, whether in a servile or free condition. (for that was then to be profess'd) in that they were to abide; That, from the time of their being thus proselyted, they were for the main accounted of as Jews, and had the title of fuch, that they were accounted of as persons new born, yea so far, that after that time they were not to own any of their former Relations; In fine, that that new birth was look'd upon as fo fingular, that it gave occasion to their Cabalistical Doctors to teach, that the old Soul of the Profelyte vanished, and a new one fucceeded in its place. For all these particulars have been observ'd concerning that Baptism whereof we speak, and the Baptism it self not only made as antient as Moses, but deduced by them from that command of God(m), whereby Mofes was enjoyn'd (m) Exod. 19. to sanctifie the Israelites, and cause them to wash their Cloaths, against the time that God declar'd from Mount Sinai that legal Covenant, which

which they were then to enter

Whether the Jewish Writers might not fomewhat overlash in making their Baptilin fo very ancient, or err in affigning the former Command as the Original thereof, is a thing I mean not to dispute, and much less will I concern my self for far in it, as to vindicate them against all opposers. But as it is hard to believe, they would attribute for great an antiquity to that, which was not at least somewhat antienter than our Saviour's time, which is all we are concerned to affert; So it will be much more hard to detract altogether from their tellimony, if it hath any concurring proofs, and be otherwise fairly defensible against the adversaries thereof. Now that the testimony of the Jewish Writers is not without some concurring proofs, and fuch as will at leaft add to the probability thereof, will appear if we consider first, that though Circumcifion both was, and was intended as a means of initiating the Jews, yet it was such a form of initiation, as was competible only to

to the Males. By which means, if there had been no other form of initiation, all of the Female Sex, who were undoubtedly as much in Covenant with God, as those of the other, must have been debar'd of any visible Sacrament to assure them of their interest in it. Which though it be not so great an inconvenience, as to enforce altogether the use of fomewhat befide! Circumcifion, because the Females might be initiated in their Fathers, yet will make it reasonable enough to believe, that God, with whom there is no respect of Sexes, appointed fome form of initiation, by which they might be alike admitted. I fay Secondly, that as Circumcifion was not competible to those of the Female Sex, and not unlikely therefore that there might be some other ceremony for their initiation; So it is apparent from S. Pant (n), that how- (n) 1 Cor. 10. ever God might deal with the Jews before, or after, yet all of them, in their passage from Egypt unto Canaan, were baptized into Mofes in the Cloud, and in the Sea. For being so, it is not difficult to believe, that the

the same form of initiation might afterwards have force in those, who were not capable of Circumcifion. yea even in them, that were capable of it, after the Rite of Circumcision was over, if it were only to put them in mind, of that deliverance they receiv'd by it : Especially, when their Eucharistical Manna, though thence forward not enjoyn'd to be us'd, because it ceased from among them, was yet laid up in the Ark of God (0) to put them in mind of God's nourishing them by it. fay Thirdly, that though Baptism might not be enjoyned at the first, or at least enjoyn'd only for the use of those, who were not capable of Circumcifion, yet it might by the advice of their Governors, and the approbation of those Prophets whom God raifed up among them, be afterwards added to Circumcifion, both upon the account of their Fore-fathers being commanded to fanctifie themselves, and wash their Cloaths when they appear'd before God at Mount Sinai, and as a farther declaration to them of the impurity of their Nature, and of that pure, and holy

I

t

il

iı

C

IJ F

th

W

(0) Exod.32.

holy estate, which they entred into. For if their forefathers were, even by the command of God, to fanctifie themselves with washings toward their entring into Covenant with God at Mount Sinai, what should hinder fuch of their posterity, as presided over that Nation, to make an addition of the like Baptism? Efpecially, when all was little enough to admonish them of their own natural impurity, and of the necesfity that lay upon them of purging themselves from it. I observe Fourthly, that though there be not any express mention in the Scripture of that Baptism whereof we speak, nor indeed of any like it beside that of John the Baptist, which being immediately from Heaven ought not to be drawn into example; yet is it fufficiently intimated by our Saviour, where, upon Nicodemus's wondring how a Man could be born of Water, and the Spirit, he with equal wonder demanded (p), Art thou a Master of (p) Joh.3.10. Israel, and knowest not these things? For as that is a sufficient indication, that the notion our Saviour advanc'd was no stranger to the Ifraelites, and

and therefore neither fuch a Baptism, as was the subject of it; So it became yet more clear by the Jewish Writers reprefenting the Baptism of a Profelyte as giving a new birth unto him: That as it is the same in effect with the product of Christ's Baptism, so making it yet more reafonable to believe, that our Saviour had an eye to it, when he wondred to much at Nicodemus for flumbling at that property in his. which put together, because tending toward the same thing, will make it yet more reasonable to believe, that the Jewish Writers spake not at adventure, when they represented the Rite of Baptism as a Rite of their own Nation, and by which both themselves, and their Proselytes had been of old initiated, no less than by the Rite of Circumcision. there be any thing to hinder the admission of it, it must be the silence of the Old Testament concerning it, or at least concerning the Institution of it. But as we find no great mention, even of Circumcifion it felf after the five Books of Moses, and may therefore the less wonder at the no

1

a

no mention of Baptism, especially if, as it might be instituted after his time; As we find as little mention, even where it might have been more reafonably expected, of the first Jews being baptized into Moses in the Cloud, and in the Sea, or of their Eating, and Drinking that Spiritual repast, whereof S. Paul speaketh (q): So there is as little reason therefore 3,4. to wonder at its filence concerning this Rite, especially considering, what is notorious enough from thence, that God from time to time rais'd up Prophets among them. their Authority, and Preaching might suffice to constitute, or confirm a matter of greater moment, than the Rite of Baptism, as added to Circumcifion, can be fupposed to have been.

There being therefore no great doubt to be made of a Baptism among the Jews antecedent to that of John the Baptist, and our Saviour, it will not be difficult to believe first, that our Saviour had an eye to it, when he appointed the same Rite to initiate Men into his Religion: Partly because it was his avowed Profession,

2 that

(q) 1 Cor. 10]

5

,

t

e

1

S

f

e

n

f

d

e

that he came rather to reform, than destroy their former Occonomy; and partly because he might the more reasonably hope to bring them over to that faith, which it was an initiation into. It will be as easie to believe, Secondly, upon the score of the fame condescension, and compliance, that Christ departed as little as might be from their manner of Administration of it, or from the ends, which it was appointed for among them; fuch a compliance being equally necessary to carry on his design of bringing them over to his Religion. The confequence whereof will be thirdly, that where it doth not very plainly appear that Christianity hath made an alteration in it. we interpret the Baptism thereof conformably to that of the Jews, from whence it appears to have been transcrib'd. How much more then, where there are any fair hints in Christianity of its fymbolizing with the Doctrine of the other? The refult of which will be fourthly, our having recourse upon occasion to the Baptism of the Tews for the better clearing, or establishing the Doctrine of our own Which

Which as I shall therefore not fail to do as often as their Writings shall furnish matter for it; so having faid thus much concerning their Baptism, and that of the Heathen, I will pass on to the Baptism of the Christians, and confine my felf yet more strictly to the consideration of it.

C 3 PART

e

r

of

i

S

n i. e yh et co

te ts of ill le ne n.

resta

I.

PART II.

Of the Baptism of the Christians; and the Institution of it.

The Contents.

The Institution of the Christian Baptism more antient, than the Command for it in S. Matthew *, * Matt. 28.19 though not as to the generality of the World, nor it may be as to the like explicit Profession of the Trinity. As is made appear from Christ, or his Disciples baptizing in Judea, not long after his own Baptism by S. John. Enquiry thereupon made, whether it were not yet more antient, yea as antient as Christ's execution of his Prophetical Office. Which is rendred probable from our Saviours making Disciples before, and the equal reason there appears

to have been for his making them after the same manner with those of Judea; From Christ's representing to Nicodemus the necessity of being born again of water, and the spirit, which is shewn at large to be meant of a true, and proper Baptism; As, in fine, from Christ's telling S. Peter, when he ask'd the washing of his Hands, and Head, as well as Feet, that he, who had been washed, needeth not save to wash his feet. An answer to the supposed silence of the Scripture concerning so early a Baptism, and that shewn to be neither a perfect silence, nor an unaccountable one.

TO W the first thing to be enquired after is the Institution of it, and so much the rather, because though there is no doubt as to the thing it felf, yet there is as to the first beginning of it. For there are, who have thought this Sacrament to have been first instituted by our Saviour immediately before his Ascension, and when he gave Matt. 28.19. command to his Disciples * to go, and teach, or disciple all Nations, baptizing

baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghoft. And I willingly grant (because our Saviour was fent only to the lost sheep of the House of +Matt. 15. 24. Ifrael) that that was the first institution of it, as to that more general extent it was to have in the World, and it may be too as to that clear, and explicit profession of the Trinity, into the Names of which our Saviour afterwards commanded to baptize: Because such Doctrines as that were to be poured into the Disciples by dgrees, and according as they should be able to receive them. But that the Sacrament it felf had a more early Institution, will appear from the mention there is of our Saviour's baptizing long before, or at least of his Disciples doing it by his Commission, and Appointment.

For the clearing whereof we are to know, that whatfoever he may be thought to have done, before he first passed into Judea after his own Baptism by John the Baptist, yet there he t, or his Disciples (a) bap- + Joh. 3. 22. tized; yea to so great a number, (4)-4.2.

that

26 Of the Baptism of the Christians,

(b) Joh. 3. 26. that John's Disciples (b) affirmed to their Master, that all men came to him, and it; and news was after-

wards brought to the Pharifees (c), that he made, and baptized more Disciples, than John himself. Into what profession is not difficult to conjecture from our Saviour's being

faid to make (d) Disciples by it, and from the Baptist's affirming in allowance of our Saviour's Baptism, that he that believed on the

(i)--3.36. Son (e), should have everlasting Life, but he, that believed not the Son, should not fee life, but, on the contrary, have the wrath of God abiding on him. For what could that assertion have signified toward the legitimating of our Saviour's Baptism, especially when John himself admonish'd Men by his to believe on (f) Acts 19. him, that should come after him(f), that

admonth'd Men by his to believe on him, that should come after him (f), that is, on Christ fesus? Were it not, that our Saviour, or his Disciples did expressly baptize Men into the belief of him, and of that August Authority, and saving power, which was vested in him as the Messiah. Which makes me wonder so much

(e) De Bape. Which makes me wonder to much the more, that Tertullian (g) should make

make that Baptism of the Disciples but of the same nature with that of John, but above all at his asking, how Christ could be supposed to baptize into himself, when he at that time made it his business to conceal who, and what he was. For as John the Baptist was not wanting (b) to dis- (b) Joh. 1. cover what he was; fo our Saviour 29, &c., was fo far from being referv'd as to that particular, that the very first of those Disciples, that came to him, did both acknowledge him (i) as (i) --41. 45. the Messiah immediately, and reprefent him as fuch to other Men.

But let us rise yet higher, than Christ's baptizing in Judea, though that be not far remov'd from his first setting up for Disciples, because whilst John was yet † baptizing, † -- 3.22,23. which is the time, from whence the Scripture (k) makes our Savi- (k) Act. 1.22. our's preaching to commence. Not that there are any express proofs be-fore that time of his baptizing any Disciples, but that it may be some probable proofs may offer themselves for it, and fuch as we cannot reafonably refuse. Of which nature I reckon first his making Disciples before

fore that time, and particularly those Disciples, whom he made use of to baptize in the Land of Jury. For if our Saviour made Disciples before, why not after the fame manner. wherein he made those of Judaa? He had to induce him to it the cufrom, that then prevail'd among the Fews, of making Disciples by that folemnity, as appears both by their to admitting Profelytes, and the Baptism of his Forerunner. He had to induce him to it the greater likelihood there was thereby of inviting others to the same Baptism, than if those, who were the first, and chief, and moreover made use of by himfelf to baptize, had not first been baptiz'd themselves : Because so there could have been no pretence to refuse the Baptism he propos'd, whereas otherwise they might have rejected it as a thing unnecessary to be had, or scrupled it as proceeding from incompetent Administrators of it. In fine, be had to induce him to it that, which prevail'd with himself (1) to receive the Baptism of John, even their fulfilling all righteousness, who were not only the first of his Disciples,

(1) Matt. 3.

Disciples but ordained by himself to be a pattern unto others. Which inducements as they are of no small force to perfuade his baptizing from the beginning, because but suitable to his own proceedings, or the common reasonings of Mankind; so will no doubt be accounted fuch, if there be not equal probabilities to the contrary, as which are the only things, that can take off the edge of them. Now what is there of that nature, that can perswade Christ's omission of Baptism, unless it be either the Scripture's silence, which shall be afterwards considered, or his willingness thereby to intimate, that he had not fo tied his own Graces to an external Rite, but that he could, and would upon occasion conferr them without it? But beside that there was a like fear thereby of Men's neglecting his appointments upon a presumption of their receiving his Graces as the Apostles did; This may feem to have been too early a feafon for fuch an intimation, because before Men were well confirm'd in his Authority, or ability to conferr them, even by the ordinary folemnities. For if they were

were not as yet well confirm'd in that, how should they dream of a greater power, yea not rather be thereby tempted to question altogether his Authority, because departs ing fo far even from the example of

(m) Matt. 21. John the Baptist, whom all Men (m)

accounted as a Prophet?

But beside that our Saviour made Disciples before, and may therefore not improbably be thought to have made them after the same manner; We find yet farther, that before he baptiz'd those of Judea, he represented the folemnity of Baptism as a thing necessary to enter Men into that Kingdom of God, to which he invited them: Our Saviour not only telling Nicodemus, that except a Man were born again (n), he could not see the Kingdom of God, but yet more plainly, that except he were born again

(n) Joh. 3. 3,

..... of Water (o); and of the Spirit, he could not possibly enter into it. how could Christ represent that as necessary, which he himself had not afforded to his first, and chiefest Difciples, nor, for ought that doth appear, ever after did? For if he did, he would certainly have done it be-

fore

fore he made use of them to baptize others; Partly because they were the first Disciples he had, and partly because so they would have been more apparently qualified to have administred the same Baptism unto others. If therefore Christ represented Baptism as necessary, even before his baptizing in Judea, it is not unreasonable to think he had both instituted, and administred it before: Especialty, when the Disciples he before had cannot well be thought to have had it afterwards, as in reason they must have had it, if it were fo necessary as our Saviour affirm'd it. And possibly neither would they, who are otherwise perswaded, have in the least sufpected the force of this argument, had it not been for an opinion of theirs, that our Saviour spake not in this place of Baptism, but of Men's being born again of that spirit of God, which hath the fame cleanfing quality with water: So making that speech of our Saviour to be that, which the Rhetoricians call an in Sua Spoir, and confequently resolvable into a watery, or cleansing Spirit,

32

(p) Matt. 3.

Spirit, as Virgil's pateris libamus & auro, is into pateris aureis, or golden Diffes. Even as they suppose the Scripture (p) meant when it affirm'd, that Christ should baptize with the Holy Ghost, and with fire, that is to fay, with that Holy Ghoft, which hath the purifying, and warming qualities of that Element. not now fay, though I might, that that figure might have been more allowable here, if that speech of Christ could have been so fairly refolv'd into a watery Spirit, as pateris & auro may be into pateris aureis; Which that it cannot be is fufficiently evident from Gold's being the proper Material of those Dilhes, whereof the Poet speaks, which water to be fure is not of the other. But neither will I any more than fay, that Christ's baptizing with the Holy Ghost, and with fire doth not make at all for this figure, because it is certain that at the day of Pentecost, which was the most motorious descent of the Holy Ghost, and particularly referr'd to by that Bap-(4) At. 1.5. tism (q), Christ baptized his Disciples with a material fire, as well as that.

that. But I fay, which is more material, that there is great reason to understand our Saviour here of that Baptism by water, which we have affirmed his words to import. For fo first (as Mr. Hooker (r) did (r) Eccl. Pol. long fince observe) the Letter of the Text perswades, and which we are not lightly to depart from, unless we will make the Scripture a very uncertain Rule, and indeed to prove any thing, which wanton wits would have it. So fecondly (as the fame Hooker (f) observes) the Antient's * (f) Ibid. without exception understood it, tyr. Apol. 2. yea he t, who makes the Baptism P. 94. Tertul. now under confideration, even the Cyprian Epiff. Baptism of Christ before his Ascen- 73. fion, to be but of the same nature supra. with S. John's. So thirdly, we have cause to understand Christ here, because expressing what he here intended by a new birth from water, which is the property (t) of that (t) Tit. 3. 5. Baptism, he afterwards commanded the Apostles to administer. In fine. fo feveral circumstances both of the Text, and Context perswade, and fome too, that are not fo ordinarily taken notice of. Of which nature

de Bapt. c. 13.

34 Of the Baptism of the Christians,

I reckon as none of the least that, which gave occasion to them, even Nicodemuc's coming to fefus by

(a) Joh. 3.2. night (a) and there, and then acknowledging to him, that he was a teacher come from God, and that he himself was induced to believe it by the miracles our Saviour wrought.

For that feeret confession of his be-

(w) Matt.10.

himself was induced to believe it by the miratles our Saviour wrought. For that fecret confession of his being not only not agreeable to that more publick one (w), which our Saviour requir'd, but (as appears by the answer he return'd to it) intimated by him to be infufficient, because letting him know, that except he was born again of water, and the spirit, he could not enter into the Kingdom of God; Nothing can be more agreeable to our Saviour's mind, than to understand those Words of his of Men's making a more publick confession of him in order to their Salvation, if the Words can with any reason be thought to admit of it. Which that they may is evident from hence, that, whatever our Saviour now understood by them, the like expression (x) became afterwards an usual periphrasis of Bap-

(x) Tit. 3.5.

tifm, which was a publick confession

th

m

W

85

S

p

às

fe

ca

in

pl

hi

bo is if

W

ne

ly

ha

th

ye the

the

to he

on of our Saviour. I fay fecondly, that as the occasion of the words doth naturally lead to fuch a fense, as will make them import a more publick Confession of our Saviour 7 So it will confequently prompt us to understand them of such a new Birth. as is perform'd by Water, and the Spirit, rather than of that, which is perform'd by the Spirit alone. That, as it is a Birth, which manifests it felf to the Eyes of others, which this cannot be supposed to do, so being a Birth therefore, which may publickly declare our Confession of him, by whose appointment we are born again. Agreeable hereto thirdly is the fense of the words themselves. if those Jewes; of whom Nicodemus was sometime a Ruler, may be listned to in this affair; They not only affirming their own Proselytes to have been admitted by Baptism, but that Baptism also represented as a thing, which gave them a new birth, yea fo far, as to make them put off their old relations by it. For what then can be more reasonable, than to think, that our Saviour, when he spake to a Few, spake the same Language

36

Language with them, and confequently, that, as he spake of being born of Water, as well as the Spirit, he meant a like Baptism by it. Especially, when it is observable, fourthly, that our Saviour ask'd Nicodemus, not without some amazement, (y), Art thou a Master in

Israel, and knowest not these things?

For what was this, but to intimate yet

(v) Joh.3.

(2)---12.

fl

(1)---22.

more, that the new Birth, whereof he spake, was no stranger to themfelves, and confequently, because he spake of being born of Water, that he meant a Baptism by it? Add here unto, fifthly, our Saviour's affirming himself in the former Discourse to have spoken of earthly (2) things and (as one would think) therefore of fuch a Birth, which though is fluenced by God's Spirit, yet had fomething of earthly, as that is oppos'd to heavenly, adhering to it As, in fine, the Evangelist's subjoyning to this Discourse of a new Binh by Water the mention of our Se viour's (*) passing into Judea, and there baptizing; There being not a fairer account either of that connexis on, or our Saviour's proceedings,

than

b

ti

C

fi

b

San

Sis

th

it

than that, agreeably to what he had faid concerning the necessity of Men's being so born again, he went into Judaa, and haptized, and fo made way for their entrance into God's Kingdom. Such evidence there is of our Saviour's meaning a proper Baptism, when he spake of the necessity of Men's being born again of nater, and of the Spirit; And if our Savjour meant fuch a Baptifin, there is as little doubt of his having before both instituted, and administred it, yea even from the time of his fetting up for Disciples ; There being not the least appearance of Christ's baptizing those first Disciales afterwards, which yet he must have done, confidering the necesfity thereof, if they had not been baptiz'd before.

I will conclude what I have to fay concerning the earliness of our Saviour's Baptism, when I have added from a passage of Christ to S. Peter the farther probability there is of his, and the other Apostles having receiv'd it, and therefore, if they did so, of their having receiv'd it from the beginning of their Disci-

D 3 pleship:

e go ic

y a. H

e state and a se es

B 白 5 沿 日

p.

T.

th k

nd

1 Xi-

ş,

38

pleship w That I mean, whereupon S. Perer's begging of Christ to wash not only his feet, but his hands, and his head; if (as our Saviour had told him) he could have no part in him, unless he wash'd him,

† Joh. 13. 10.

Christis faid to have made answer +, that he, that had been wish'd, even by a more general washing, needed not fave to mush his feet. For as our Savious intimates by that expression, that he, and the rest had passed under the former washing, and confequently did mot need fuch a general washing a Tecond time; to he may nor improbably be thought to have meant the washing of Bap tiling and which though in it felf an outward purification, eyet was attended with an inward, and spione : Partly , because it is certain that our Saviour had before this time made use of the Baprism of Water to purific Men unto him felf, and may therefore be well enough supposed to allude unto it; And partly, because that Baptism, or washing will be more directly opposed to that, which our Saviour intended, and which though design'd

delign'd by him to lignific a more spiritual purgation, even that of the affections, or actions, yet was performed by him byo an outward. washing For why then should we not think, that the Apolhes had that more general washing of Baptifm? Especially when we know that about this time Christ administred to them the Sacrament of the Bucharuft , and which as it is in orden of pature after that of Baptism and may therefore nov unrealenably? be thought to have been preceded by lineirs, fo is an evidence that Christ means, in some measure at least, to conduct them by the fame Rites, and Ceremonies, wherewith he intended to bring other Men unto himself de sait

One only thing there is, which can any way prejudice the former Discourse, even the stance there is in the New Testament of any Baptism by Christ before that in Judea, yea the silence there is not it in that very Evangelist, who takes such particular notice of the other. And surely such a silence would have been of no small sorce, if it had been either a perfect silence, or an unactioned D 4 countable

countable one. But as that ftory cannot be look'd upon as perfectly filent, which affords fo many probable proofs of what it is pretended to be filent in; so there may be reafon enough given of its ascending no higher in its account of Christ's administration of Baptism, than that, which was performed by him in 7#dea : Partly, because the Author of it had before acquainted his Readers with Christ's representing it as generally necessary to Salvation, and from which, and the following practice of our Saviour in making Difciples, Men might reasonably enough collect his having fo made the former ones; And partly because he knew, that what was defective in his account of our Saviour's Baptism, might be abundantly supplied to posterity (to whom he, and the other Evangelists principally wrote) by what those other Evangelists (a) had faid concerning Christ's giving command to his Apostles of baptizing all Nations in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. For that, together with his own account of our Saviour's Baptism,

† Joh. 3. 5.

(a) Matt. 28. 19. Mark 16.15, 16. Baptism, was enough to let them know (and therefore enough for their own purpose) that as Christ himself initiated Men by Baptism, year epresented it as necessary to Salvation, so it was his absolute will, and pleasure, that those, to whom his Apostles, and their Successors published his Gospel, should be initiated by the same means, if they meant to enter into the Kingdom of God.

PART

Baptilin, was enough to let them know (and therefore enough the chair own papelle) that as Christ himfelt and action of hopelin, was represented in a calcay to Schwarton, to it, was his a chief, and pleature that another a chair black his Apacker and their black and papelline and their black himit red by the Fred him ancant, a they means to enter the the king chan of God.

PART

CENTE SULT

mineset

Aniwer .

migradut

ni oʻzilgadi 1900an idi

PART III.

Of the outward wisible Sign of Baptism.

The Contents.

The outward visible Sign of the Chrifrian Baptifm forwn to be the Element of Water, and enquiry thereupon made wherein it was intended as a Sign; Which is shewn, in the general, to be as to the cleanfing quality thereof, more particularly as to the afeit was put no toward new-born Infants, and that application of it which was first insufe, even by an immer-Jion, or planging the Purty baptized in iti o Occasion taken from thence to enquire further, how it ought to be applied, more especially what her by an immer from, or by that, of an aspersion, or effusion. Evi dense

44

dence made of an immersion being the only legitimate Rite of Baptism, fave where necessity doth otherwise require; And enquiry thereupon made, whether necessity may justifie the Application of it by an Afpersion, or Effusion, and, if it may, whether the case of Infants be to be look'd upon as such What is to be thought of a necessity. those additions, which were antiently made, or continue as yet in being in the outward solemnities of Baptism. Where the sign of the Cross in Baptism is more particularly considered, and answer made to thate Exceptions that are made against it as a Ceremony, as an addition of Men to the Institution of Christ, and as a supposed Relique of Popery, or giving too much countenance to the Papists abuses of it.

Question.
Tolhat is the outward biside sign of form in Baptism:
Answer.
Tollater, wherein the person is baptize in the name of the Father, sec.

Du T because whatever doubt there may be of the sirst Institution of the Christian Baptism, yet there neither is, nor can be any doubt of our Saviour's instituting it then, when he was about to take his leave of his Disciples; Therefore pass we on to the Sacrament it self, which (agreeably to the procedure of

of our own Catechism, and the method before observed, when I entreated of the nature of a Sacrament in the general) I will consider,

I. As to its outward and visible

Sign.

II. As to its inward and Spiritual Grace, or the thing fignified by it.

III. As to that relation, which its outward, and visible Sign bears to its inward, and Spiritual Grace.

IV. As to the Foundation of that Relation. For as the nature of the Sacrament of Baptism will be found to lie within these four, so I no way doubt we shall be able to reduce to one, or other of these generals whatsoever is any way necessary to be known concerning it.

Now there are four things to be enquir'd concerning the first of these, even the outward and visible sign of Baptism. First, what that outward and visible sign is. Secondly, wherein it was intended as a sign. Thirdly, how it ought to be applied. Fourthly, what is to be thought of those additions,

additions, which were anciently made, or continue as yet in being in the outward folemnities of Baptism.

1. As touching the outward, and visible sign of Baptism, there is no doubt it is the Element of Water, as is evident from the native fignification of the word Baptism, which fignifies an immersion, or dipping into some liquid thing, from the matter of those Baptisms, which were in use among the Jews, and which our Saviour (because making use of the fame word to express his own Baptism by) is in reason to be suppos'd to have fo far conform'd it to, but more especially from the account we have of the Administration of it. both whilst our Saviour continu'd here, and after his Ascension into For thus after S. John Heaven. had faid *, that our Saviour, pre-fently after his entring upon his Prophetick Office, came into the Land of Judga, and there baptized, he immediately subjoyn'd t, that John the Baptist also was then baptizing in Anon near to Salim, because there was much mater there. For

* Joh. 3.22.

1----23.

as it is evident from thence, as well as from other places *, that the Bap- * Matt. 3.6. tilm of John was a Baptism by Water; so the Evangelist mentioning John the Baptist as practifing the same thing with our Saviour, shews the Baptism of our Saviour to have been fo far like it, and confequently to have had Water for the Instrument thereof. The same is yet more evident as to the practice of our Saviour's Disciples, after his more general Command (a) of Baptism, and (a) -28. 19. his own Afcension into Heaven. For thus we find Philip and the Eunuch going down into a certain water (b), (b) Ad. 8.38. by which they pass'd in order to the Baptism of the latter; As that too, after the Eunuch had admonished him (c), see here is water, what doth (c) -36. hinder me to be baptized. And thus too we find S. Peter (d), before he (d) -10.47, gave order for Cornelius, and his 48. companies being baptized in the name of the Lord, demanding of those of the Circumcifion, that came with him, whether any Man could forbid water, that thefe should not be bap-tized, which had received the Holy Ghost, as well as themselves; Thereby

by intimating, or rather expresly declaring, that our Saviour's Baptism was, as to the outward, and visible sign, the same with that of John the Baptist, and other the Bap-

tisms of the Jews.

2. Water therefore being no doubt the outward and visible sign of Baptism, and so declared to be by the manner of its Administration; The next thing to be enquir'd into is, wherein it was intended as a fign, which will appear to have been in these three particulars: First in refpect of that cleanfing quality, which is natural to it, fecondly in respect of that ase which it was put to about new-born Infants, thirdly in respect of that manner of Application of it, which was first us'd, and no doubt generally intended, I mean the dipping of the Party baptized in it.

That the Water of Baptism was intended as a sign in respect of the first of these, will need no other proof, than Ananias's admonishing Paul to arise and be baptized, and (1)AC.22.16. mass (e) away his sins, calling upon the name of the Lord. For it ap-

pearing,

pearing, on the one hand, that the Baptism, to which Paul was invited, even the Christian one, was a Baptism by Water, and, on the other hand, that it was at least ordained for the remission (f) of sins, and so (f) Act. 2.38. the putting away their guilt; Nothing can be more reasonable, than to think, that when Ananias subjoyn'd to the precept of being baptiz'd that of washing away his sins, he meant his washing them away by Baptism, and confequently that the Water of Baptism was both a sign of something relating to the putting away of his fins, and a fign too in particular in respect of that cleansing quality, which is natural to it, because that Baptism, to which it belongs, is describ'd as washing away the other.

But beside that Water was intended as a fign in respect of that cleanfing quality, which is natural to it; There is equal reason to believe, that it was also intended as fuch in respect of the use it was then put to about new-born Infants, even the washing away of those impurities, which they contracted from the Womb. We have (as

Mr.

(P) Difc. on T.t. 3. 5.

Mr. Mede did long fince observe (g) an allusion to this custome in the description, which God gives of the poor and forlorn condition of Jerusalem, when he first took her unto himself, under the parable of an exposed Infant. For as for thy Nati-(b) Ext. 16.4. vity, faith he, (b) in the day that thou wast born, thy Navel was not cut, neither wast thou washed in water to Supple thee, thou wast not Salted at all, nor swadled at all: Thereby intimating what was then done to Infants in their Nativity, and particularly the washing them from their impurities. And how generally receiv'd this custom was, even among the Heathen, may appear (as the same Mr. Mede (i) hath observed from what was done to the υσερέποτμοι, or δευτερέποτμοι, who were persons (k), to whom the Rites of Burial had been perform'd dead, but did afterwards appear again in the World. For as these were look'd upon as born anew (*) into משתי מש התוך בצ מוף או הדינעונים ל משרמוצוי בחשא סט שען, אל ס חמף-วูลบดีงสม, भू जारतीय देनावृहीय, देनक मह अविय, भू महा बेर्रावण बेमवा. त्रंग्ड मधाम प्रांत्रकेया को मध्ये पहार कार्म प्राप्त में के दें जिन से मधा

(i) Ubi Supra. (k) Hefych. in utramque vocem. (*) Plutarch Quæst. Rom. flatim ab ini-

ma variou

the

the World, fo like new-born Infants they were to be wash'd with Water before they could be admitted to the conversation of Men, or allowed to enter into the Temples of their Gods. But fo that the Water of Baptilm was intended for a fign, is evident from its being stil'd the laver (1) of regeneration, or a (1) Tit. 3.5. new Birth, and from the addition, that was made to it in after times of giving milk * and hony to the new-baptized perfors, as that roo to declare their Infancy the For this evidently fliews this second Birth to relate to the first, and consequently, that the Element of Water, and the Regeneration by it, though borrowed more immediately from the Baptism of the Jews, yet was intended by our Saviour (as I no way doubt reprobabit creit was also by the Jews) as of like use with that, which was apply'd to new-born Infants, and to represent alike washing away of natural pollu-

One other particular there is, wherein I have faid the Water of Baptism to have been intended as a fign, and that is in respect of that

* Tertul. de Coroni c. 3. Inde [nempe post immersionem] fufcepti, lactis or mella concordiam pregustamus. + Idem adv. Marcion. li. 1. c. 14. Sedille quidem ufque пипс пес афиат atoris qua suos abluit, nec oleum quo suos un-Euit, nec mellis & lattis focietatem, quos Suos infantat , &cc.

manner of application, which was fometime us'd, I mean the dipping, or plunging the party baptized in it. A lignification, which S. Paul will not fuffer those to forget, who have been acquainted with his Epistles. For with reference to that manner of Baptizing we find him affirm-(m) Rom. 6.4 ing (m), that we are buried with Christ by Baptism into death, that like as Christ was raised up from the dead, by the glory of the Father, even so we also should walk in newness of life; 5. And again (n), that if we have been planted together in the likeness of his death, we shall be also in the likeness of his resurrection. To the same purpose, or rather yet more clearly, doth that Apostle discourse, where he tells us (o), that as we are buried with Christ in Baptism, so we do therein rise also with him through the faith of the operation of God, who hath raised him from the Dead. For what is this but to fay, that as the defign of Baptism was to oblige Men to conform fo far to Christ's Death, and Refurrection, as to die unto Sin, and

live again unto Righteousness, so it was perform'd by the ceremony of

(a) Col. 2.12.

immer-

immersion, that the person immers d might by that very ceremony, which was no obscure image of a Sepulture, be minded of the preceden death, as in like manner, by his coming again out of the Water, of his rifing from that death to life, after the example of the Instituter thereof? For which cause, as hath been elsewhere (p) observ'd, the An- (p) Explosithe tient Church added to the Rite of Creed, in the words, And immersion the dipping of the party Buries. three feveral times to represent the three days Christ continued in the Grave (for that we find to have been the intention of fome) and made the Eve of Easter one of the solemn times of the Administration of it.

3. The third thing to be enquir'd concerning the outward visible fign of Baptism is, how it ought to be apply'd, where again these two things would be confidered. First, whether it ought to be applyed by an immersion, or by that, or an aspersi-Secondly, whether on, or effusion. it ought to be applyed by a threefold immersion, or aspersion, answerably to the names into which we are baptiz'd, or either by that, or a fingle one.

54

The former of these is, it may be, a more material question, than it is commonly deem'd by us, who have been accustomed to baptize by a bare effusion, or sprinkling of water upon the party. For in things, which depend for their force, upon the meer will, and pleafure of him, who inflituted them, there ought, no doubt, great regard to be had to the commands of him, who did fo; As without which there is no reason to prefume, we shall receive the benefit of that ceremony, to which he hath been pleased to annex it. Now, what the command of Christ was in this particular, cannot well be doubted of by those who shall consider first the words of Christ (q) concerning it, and the practice of those times, whether in the Baptism of John, or of our Saviour. For the words of Christ are, that they should Baptize, or Dip those, whom they made Disciples to him (for fo, no doubt, the word Barrizer properly fignifies) and, which is more, and not without its weight, that they should baptize them into the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of

(q) Matt. 28.

of the Holy Ghost: Thereby intimating fuch a washing, as should receive the party baptized within the very body of that Water, which they were to baptize him with. Though, if there could be any doubt concerning the fignification of the words in themselves, yet would that doubt be remov'd by confidering the practice of those times, whether in the Baptism of John or of our Saviour. For fuch as was the practice of those times in Baptizing, such in reason are we to think our Saviour's command to have been concerning it, especially, when the words themfelves incline that way; There being not otherwise any means either for those, or future times to discover his intention concerning it. Now what the practice of those times was as to this particular, will need no other proof than their reforting to Rivers, and other fuch like receptacles of waters for the performance of that ceremony, as that too because there was much Water there. For so the Scripture doth not only affirm concerning the Baptism (7) Matt. 3.53 of John (r), but both intimate con- John 3. 23. E 4 cerning

cerning that, which our Saviour ad-

ministred in Judaa (because making John's Baptism, and his to be so far (f) Joh.3.22, forth of the same fort (f)) and expresly affirm concerning the Baptism of the Eunuch, which is the only Christian Baptism the Scripture is any thing particular in the de-

23.

scription of: The words of S. Luke (t) Act. 8. 38. (t) being, that both Philip and the Eunuch went down into a certain water which they met with in their journey, in order to the baptizing of the latter. For what need would there have been either of the Baptist's reforting to great confluxes of Water, or of Philip, and the Eunuch's going down into this, were it not that the Baptism both of the one, and the other was to be performed by an immersion? A very little Water, as we know it doth with us, fufficing for an effusion, or sprinkling. But beside the words of our Bleffed Saviour, and the concurrent practice of those times, wherein this Sacrament was inflituted; It is in my opinion of no less consideration, that the thing signified by the Sacrament of Baptism, cannot otherwise be well represented,

ted, than by an immersion, or at least by some more general way of purification, than that of effusion, or sprinkling. For though the pouring, or sprinkling of a little Water upon the Face may suffice to reprefent an internal washing, which feems to be the general end of Christ's making use of the Sacrament of Baptism; yet can it not be thought to represent such an entire washing, as that of new-born Infants was, and as Baptism may seem to have been intended for, because represented as the laver (u) of our regeneration: (u) Tit. 3.5. That, though it do require an immersion, yet requiring such a general washing at least, as may extend to the whole Body; As other than which cannot answer its type, nor yet that general, though internal purgation, which Baptism was intended to represent. The fame is to be faid yet more upon the account of our conforming to the Death, and Refurrection of Christ, which we learn from S. Paul to have been the defign of Baptism to signifie. For, though that might, and was well enough represented by the baptized

tized persons being buried in Baptifm, and then rifing out of it; yet can it not be faid to be fo, or at least but very imperfectly by the bare pouring out, or sprinkling the Baptismal Water on him. But therefore as there is fo much the more reason to represent the Rite of immersion, as the only legitimate Rite of Baptism, because the only one, that can answer the ends of its Inflitution, and those things, which were to be fignified by it; so especially if (as is well known, and undoubtedly of great force) the general practice of the Primitive Church was agreeable thereto, and the practice of the Greek Church to this very day. For who can think either the one, or the other would have been fo tenacious of fo troublesome a Rite, were it not that they were well affured, as they of the Primitive Church might very well be, of its being the only inftituted, and legitimate one.

How to take off the force of these Arguments altogether, is a thing I mean not to consider; Partly, because

cause our Church (w) seems to per- (w) See the fuade fuch an immersion, and partly, because I cannot but think the Baptism beforementioned Arguments to be for far of force, as to evince the neces- thee, &c. fity thereof, where there is not fome greater necessity to occasion an alteration of it. For what benefit can Men ordinarily expect from that, which depends for its force upon the will of him, that instituted it, where there is not fuch a compliance at least with it, and the Commands of the Instituter, as may answer those ends, for which he appointed it? And indeed, whatever may have been done to Infants. which I no way doubt were more or less baptized from the beginning, the first mention we find of Asperfion in the Baptism of the Elder fort, was in the case of the Clinici, or Men who receiv'd Baptism upon their fick Beds; and that Baptism represented by S. Cyprian * as legiti- * Epist. ad mate upon the account of the necesfity, that compel'd it, and the pre- falut ribus,

the Office of fore the words. 3 Laptize

neceffitate co-

gente, & Deo indulgentiam suam largiente, totum credentibus conferunt Divina compendia,

fumption

fumption there was of God's gracious acceptation thereof because of it. By which means the lawfulness of any other Baptism, than by an immerfion, will be found to lie in the necessity there may sometime be of another manner of Administration of it; and we therefore only enquire, whether the necessity of the party to be baptiz'd can justifie such an alteration, and what is to be look'd upon as fuch a necessity. And indeed though that Magnus, to whom S. Cyprian directed the forementioned Letter, seemed to question the lawfulness of fuch a Baptism, and that Father, as his manner is, spake but modestly concerning it; there is not otherwise any appearance of the Antient Churches difapproving the Baptism of the Clinicks, because they were not loti, but perfusi, as S. Cyprian expresseth it. For even he himself doth there intimate, that they t, who liked not the Baptism

+ Aut fi aliquis existimat of the Clinicks, did not yet care to ess nihil confe-

cutos, eo quod

aqua falutari tantum perfusi funt, &cc. non decipiantur, ut fi incommodum languoris evaferint, & convaluerint, baptizentur. Si autem baptizari non poffunt, qui jam Baptismo Ecclesiastico fanctificati sunt, cur in fide (ua, & Domini in dulgentia scandalizentur ? Cypr. ubi supra.

baptize

baptize them again. He adds far-ther, that they who had been fo baptiz'd, were known to have

been delivered thereby from that unclean (pirit , which before posses'd them *, and after their recovery, gave as good proof, as any, by their holy living, of their being san-

* Denique & rebus ipsis experimur, ut neceffitate urgente, in agritudine baptizati, & gratiam consecuti, careaut immundo spiritu, quo antea movebantur, & laudabiles ac probabiles in Ecclefia vivant , plufque per dies fingulos in angmentim caleftis gratia per fidei Sacramentum proficiant. Cypr. ibid.

Etified by that Baptism. In fine, that they, who differ'd from him, as to the rebaptization of Hereticks, (which was the founder part of the Church in that particular) did, without any difference, admit those, who had been baptiz'd by Hereticks t, nei- + Ettantus hother were scrupulous in enquiring, whe- nor habeatur ther they were wash'd or sprinkled, Cli- inde venientes nicks or Peripateticks. Which paffa- non interrogenges alone are a sufficient proof, that tur, utrumne the generality of the Church look'd fusi, utrumper upon sprinkling as enough, where Clinici fine, an there was any just necessity to con- cypr.ibid. But so (to omit other strain it. proofs) we may be fatisfied even by that Canon (x), which was made (x) Cod. Eccl. against some of the foremention d cum not. Just. Clinicks; The utmost, that Canon

hæreticis, ut

pretended

pretended to do against them, being the hindring them from being promoted to the Priesthood, as that too, not because of any unlawfulness in the manner of their Baptism, but because there was sometime a presumption, that that Baptism proceeded rather from necessity, than choice, or that they had (as Tertullian (y)

(y) De Panit.

speaks, deferr'd the receiving of it, that they might in the mean time indulge to their sins, as nothing doubting, but their future Baptism would wipe off all. There being therefore no doubt to be made (fo far as the judgment, or practice of the Church can warrant us) that necessity doth justifie a bare Aspersion in Baptisin; Enquire we, for our farther confirmation in it, what there was in the Scripture to induce them to it, or establish us in the belief of it. Which I conceive to be their underflanding from thence (z), that though Baptism was the thing, that fav'd, yet it was not so much by its washing away the filth of the flesh, as from that answer of a good Conscience, which it did involve; That, though the external washing was also necesfary

(3) 1 Pet. 3.

fary in its kind, and, where it might be had, in those circumstances also, wherein it was instituted, yet fince God had declar'd.*, That be would * Matt. 12.7. have mercy, and not sacrifice, there was reason enough to believe, he requir'd no farther a compliance in this particular, than was confiftent with the fafety of Mens lives to afford; especially, when what was wanting in the application of the outward visible fign might be made up by the form of words, wherewith it was adminiftred, and Men admonished thereby of those fignifications of Baptism, which the visible folemnities thereof did not fuggest. For, the several ends of Baptism being thus secur'd, there was still the less reason to be fcrupulous about the means, or think God would be rigorous in exacting them. But so they might be yet more affur'd (as it appears St. Cyprian † was) by what the Prophet + Ubi supra. Ezekiel * brings in God as speaking *Ezek. 36. concerning the times of the Messiah; 25. Even that he would sprinkle clean Water upon them, and they should be clean from all their filthiness, and from

from their Idols. For as it ap-(a)-26,27. pears from what follows (a), even that God would give the per-fons there spoken of a new heart,

(b) Explic. Tract. Sanh. c. 10.apud Pocock. Port.

and a new Spirit, take away their stony heart from them, and put his own spirit within them, that this whole passage was spoken more particularly with reference to the times of the Messiah, Maimonides himself (b) so applying this, and the like passages; So we cannot therefore better interpret the sprinkling of clean Mosis, p. 160.1. Water upon them in order to it, than of the Water of Baptism, and which the Spirit of God expressing by the term of sprinkling of Water shews it to have foreseen a necessity of its being so administred oftentimes, and his own allowance of it. All which things whofoever shall consider, will, I doubt not, see reafon enough to think, that necessity may justifie an Aspersion in Baptism, and nothing more therefore left to enquire upon this Head, than what may be look'd upon as fuch a neceffity, which will bring the question yet nearer to our felves. Now as there can be no doubt of fickness being

i

ing fuch, and particularly fuch a fickness, as fastens Men to their Beds; So we shall therefore have nothing more to confider of, than the case of Infants, and to whom as Baptism is generally administred, so it is also perform'd by an effusion, or fprinkling. With what necessity, is the thing we are to enquire, and fo much the rather because the Greek Church useth immersion, or dipping to this very day, and the Muscovitilb Church after its example. if the coldness of any Clime may be thought to make that Rite dangerous to fuch tender Bodies, would think they of the latter should find it to be fuch, and therefore fee a necessity of changing it. For the clearing whereof we are to know, that as they, who use the Rite of immersion, even in warmer Countries, are so sensible of the tenderness of Infant Bodies, that they make use of warm Water to baptize them; So the Muscovites making use of it without any danger (if yet they always do fo) will not make it cease to be such to Infants of other Countries: There being, as every one

one knows, no small difference between the Bodies of Infants, as well as those of Men, and to some of whom therefore, and in some Countries that may be exceeding dangerous, which Infants of other Countries find no fuch inconvenience by. And indeed as fuch an Immersion of Infants, especially in these Northern parts, cannot generally be thought to be without its hazard, how warily and carefully foever managed; it may be yet more hazardous to weaker Infants, and whom, as it would not be thought fit to deny Baptism to, so as little, to do any thing to fend them out of the World; I am apt to believe upon fecond thoughts (for I have elsewhere (c) the Creed, in spoken more harshly concerning it) that that Rite came to be disused here after a fufficient proof of the inconveniencies thereof; Because (d) Vid Pamel. rasmus notes (d), it was in use among in not. ad Cypr. us, even in his time, and the Liturgies, that have been in force fince, not excepting the present one, seem rather to perswade the use of it. For our Fore fathers being fo strangely tenacious of that Rite, and both they, and

(c) Expl. of the Words, And Bus ried.

enit. ad Mag-MAM.

and their posterity not without a venerable opinion of it, it cannot well be thought they should come at length fo generally to difuse it, but that they found by experience, that it was not without its hazard; and fo more prudently omitted. However it be, our Church hath acquitted it felf from all blame, because manifestly licensing (e) the sprink- (e) See the ling of Infants with respect to the Rubr of Bapa before the weakness of their State; And I have words, the more carefully noted both that, I baptize and the ground of our practice, the better to defend our felves from a retort of the Romanists, when we charge them with Sacrilege in the matter of the Eucharist for taking away the Cup from the Laity. why not (as they fometime answer) as well as change the Rite of Immersion in Baptism into that of sprinkling? Especially, when a great part of the Symbolicalness of that Sacrament lies in the manner of the application of its fign. Which Answer of theirs were not in my opinion easie to be repel'd, were it not, that we have that necessity to justifie our practice, which they cannot pretend for their own.

Having

Having thus faid enough concerning the applying of the outward fign of Baptilm, whether by an Immersion, or Aspersion, which was the first thing I had to consider; Enquire we in the next place how often that application ought to be made, that is to fay whether as many times as there are persons in the God-head, into which we baptize, or once for all into the three. The ground of which question is not only that distinct profession of the Trinity, which Baptism was intended to declare, but the appearance there is of the Churches using a threefold immersion from the beginning. For, not to mention any other proofs, Tertullian, who flourished within an hundred years

after the last of the Apostles, doth * Tirt. de Corani, c. 3. not only mention the threefold im-Ergo quemersion, as a thing in use in his time, ramus, and Fraditio nifi but as a thing which was derived to beat recipi. Pla- them from *Tradition, and which,

feri pta non dene negavimus

ricipiendam, si nulla exempla prejudicent aliarum observationum, quas sine ullius scripture instrumento, solius traditionis titulo, & exinde consuetudinis Patrocinio zindicamus. Denique, ut à Baptismo ingrediar, Aquam adituri ilidem, sed & aliquanto prius in Ecclesia sub Antistitis manu conteflamur , nos renunciare Diabolo, & pompe , & angelis ejus. Dehinc ter mergitamur, amplius aliquid respondentes, quam Dominus in Evangelio determinavit. Item aav. Praxeam c. 26.

confider-

confidering the time wherein he liv'd; cannot well fall short of an Apostolical one. And thus much certainly ought to be allow'd to this, and other testimonies; that in or near the Apostolical Age, the more fully to express that distinction of persons, into the Faith of which Christ commanded to baptize, Men were with the command, or allowance of those who prefided in the Church, plunged into the Baptismal Water at the mention of each person's name. as that threefold immersion cannot be collected from the command of Christ, because simply enjoyning to baptize into the Faith of the Trinity, and which one immersion may declare as well as a threefold one; As there is as little appearance of fuch a threefold immersion from the account we have in the Scripture of the administration of it: So it is but reasonable to think, that as ancient as it was, yet it was postnate to the fingle one, and had its rife from fome Men's beginning to call the Do-Etrine of the Trinity in question (as we find by Tertullian they did very early) and, the better to colour their

their own errour, as well as to overthrow the other, admonishing Men from St. Paul, that Baptism was peculiarly intended to baptize Men into Christ's death. For beside that they, who consider the primitive face of Christianity, will need no other proof than that to perswade them to believe, that the more simple any Rite is, so much the more

† Ει τις δπίσκοπος, η πρεσβύτεεος μη τεία βάπτίσματα μιας
μυήσεως δητελέση, άλλ' εν
Βάπτισμα εἰς τ Αὐνατον τὰ κυεἰε διδύμενον, αλαιρεί διω ' ε΄ γδ
εῖτεν ὁ κύει Ε΄ εἰς τὰ βαίναπον
με βαπτίσατε, άλλὰ περευδέντες, &C. βαπτίζοντες εἰς
τὸ ὁνομα τὰ πατρός, κ) τὰ ὑξ, κ)
τὰ ἀρίε πνουματ Ε΄. Can. 50.

ancient it ought to be thought to be; That Apostolick Canon †, which commands the deposing of him, who should not use a three-fold immersion, but a single one, doth not so much as preferr the threefold immersion to the single one simply,

and absolutely considered, but as opposed to that single one, which was made use of to baptize Men into the death of our Lord, and not into the Faith of the Trinity. Thereby not only not condemning the single immersion considered in it self, but also intimating the triple one to have been rather instituted at first to obviate that

here-

heretical opinion. And if this were the rife of the triple Immersion, as is probable enough from the premiles; The fingle one, abstracting from any command of the Church to the contrary, will at least be as lawful as that, and nothing therefore left to us to enquire, but what is to be thought of those additions, which were anciently made, or continue as yet in being in the outward folemnities of Baptism.

4. As touching the additions, which were anciently made in this particular, and concerning which they, who defire an account, may meet with an ample one in Dr. Cave's Primitive Christianity (f); They (f) Part 1.6 were either fuch, as they thought 10. more peculiarly warranted to them by an Apostolical Tradition, of which nature till better information I must needs think the triple Immersion to have been, or such as were brought into the Church by those, who presided in it, the more effectually to declare the intention of that Sacrament, to which they were added by it. Which they thought they might most assuredly do, if

they made use of such farther Rites. as did represent yet more to their fenses what that Sacrament was intended to declare. And indeed, as that 'way of Instruction was in part warranted by the Sacraments themfelves, because professing by sensible things to teach Men Spiritual ones; As it became yet more necessary by the grosness of the Vulgar fort, and that infinity of Ceremonies, to which they had been before accustomed: So that, which afterwards made them faulty, was either the exceeding multitude thereof (and which experience affures us doth rather obscure, yea overwhelm the thing fignified by them, than help toward the declaration of it) or their advancing by degrees into the same repute, or necessity with the signs of Christ's own Institution. Which is fo true, that they came in fine to be reprefented, as means, and conveyers of Grace, as well as fignificative thereof; Thereby making them Sacraments, rather than appendages of such; and which whofoever goes about to do, must necessarily usurp the place of God, and Christ, as to whom alone

it doth belong (because the only givers of Spiritual Graces) to make any ceremony the conveyer of them. But as that Church, whose Catechisin I explain, hath been so far from multiplying Rites in Baptism, that the hath contented her felf with one fingle one, even the Sign of the Crofs; So fhe hath fo explain'd her own meaning in it, both in that form of words (g), wherewith the (g) In the Ofappointeth it to be made, and in a Canon (b) deviled expresly for that (b) Can. 30. purpose, that it will not be easie for confiderate Men to believe, that she reprefents it as a Sacrament, or indeed that she may not require the conformity of her Children to it. Only, because they, who separate from the Church, have made the injunction of that Ceremony one of the particular reasons of their separation, and occasion may well be taken from thence to flew the ground both of that, and others, which are as yet retained in the Church of England, I will fet my felf to confider the exceptions, that have been made against it, and return a particular answer to them.

Now there are three forts of char-

ges,

ges, which are brought against this Ceremony, and which therefore it will be necessary to consider; Its being a Ceremony, and so less agreeable to a spiritual, and substantial Religion; Its being an addition to the Institution of Christ, and therefore implying something of imperfection in that; As lastly, its being a relique of Popery, or giving too much countenance to the errors of it.

The first of these is certainly one of the most unreasonable charges, that were ever advanced against our Church by the Adversaries thereof. As will appear if we consider the nature of those, for whose edification that, and the like Ceremonies were intended, The use such things are of to procure respect to those Institutions, to which they are annexed, And the nature of that Religion, with whose Offices they are intermixed.

That I alledge as one ground of this, and the like Ceremonies the very nature of those Men, for whose edification they were intended, is their being composed of Flesh, as well as Spirit, and consequently the need they stand in of such sensible helps

to

to awaken their understandings to confider, and their affections to embrace what they were defigned to reprefent. For being fo fram'd, it is not easie to believe, that, if there were not somewhat in all actions of moment to affect Men's fense, they would intend them as they ought, or be duly affected with them. Of which yet if any doubt be made, we have the constant practice of the World to justifie it, because rarely, if ever, fuffering that, which was fuch (though there wanted not words to express their meaning) to pass without some visible solemnities. as Mr. Hooker (i) did long fince ob- (i) Eccl. Pol. ferve, Abraham proceeded; with his 11. 4. 5. 1. Servant, because not only obliging him to take a Wife for his Son out of his Kindred, but to accompany that Oath of his, with the putting of his Hand (k) under his Master's (k) Gen. 226 Thigh. And thus too Israel made Jo- 2--9. Seph swear (1), that he would not (1) --- 47.29. bury him in Egypt: Both of them, as is not unlikely, from fome received custom of that time, because as they fay (m), yet observed in some (m) Vatable, of the Eastern parts, and as a token in Gen. 25-22

.00.0

of the homage the Party swearing ow'd to those to whom they swore, and of their readiness to execute it in the thing fworn to by them. In like

manner, as the same Mr. Hooker (n) (n) Ubi supra. hath also observ'd, it was an Ancient manner in Ifrael concerning redeeming, and exchanging for the Man, who refus'd to redeem, to pluck off his Shae,

(0) Ruth 4.7. and (0) give it to him, that would; As among the Romans, when they made any Man Free, not only to declare before the Magistrate, that they intended to make him fuch, but to strike him on the Cheek, to turn him round, and have his Hair shav'd off, the Magistrate, after that, touching him with a White Rod., and bestowing a Cap, and a White Garment on him. Of which, and infinite other inflances, that might be produced, what account can be given, but that Men have generally thought fuch folemnities but requifite to imprint the things, to which they were annexed, upon the minds of those, that were concern'd, and procure a due estimate thereof? But fo it appears, that they themselves were in a great measure perswaded,

who

who shew'd themselves the greatest Enemies of the Ceremonies of the Church; Because obliging those, that took their solemn League, and Covenant, to fwear to the Contents thereof with their hands lift up to the most High God, as is expressed in the very entrance of it. For why that Ceremony of lifting up of the hands, especially in a Covenant, that was intended to beat down the supposed fuperstition of the Church of England, were it not that they themselves found it in a manner necessary to awaken the minds of Men to intend the Religion of it?

But beside that humane nature doth, by the very contexture of it, require such kind of solemnities to awaken their minds, and affections; It is not a little to be considered of what use they are to procure respect to those Institutions, to which they have been at any time annexed. For may not Men observe that usefulness in the solemnities of all civil affairs, and particularly in those solemnities, which are observed in Courts of Judicature? Doth not the very raising high of those Benches, on which the

the Judges fit, admonish Men of their Superiority over them? Do not those Robes, whereby they are differenced from other Men, draw the Eyes of the Vulgar to them, yea mind them of that greater difference there is between the Judges, and themselves, as to that power, wherewith they are also invested? Have not the same persons therefore (whatever clamour hath been rais'd against things of that nature) kept up them, and the like folemnities among them? Have they not done it in those very instances, which have been scrupled at in the Church? For how superstitious a thing in a Bishop, or other Clergy Man hath the use of that Cap been, which these earthly Gods the Judges, and when they are about their great Master's work, do not only not scruple at, but diligently retain? As knowing, that fuch marks of distinction do naturally lead Men to confider those persons, or things, to which they are apply'd, as of a peculiar nature, and accordingly, if they deserve it, to respect them. And if fuch be the usefulness of external folemnities in other matters, why

why should they be excluded from our Religion? Nay, why should they not (considering the momentousness thereof) be rather applied to it?

Especially if we consider thirdly the nature of that Religion, with whose Offices they are intermixed by us. For though that do more peculiarly call us to the intending of spiritual things; Though it do loudly proclaim the abrogation of the Ceremonial Law of Moses, and not obscurely condemn the substituting of any the like burdensome one: Yet as it no where condemns fuch a number of Ceremonies, as may ferve the better to lead Men to the contemplation, and regard of spiritual things, fo it gives a sufficient countenance to them by the Sacraments I am now upon, and by those other usances, which were in vogue with the first Professors of it. For how can that Religion be look'd upon as an enemy to Ceremonies, which requires Men to be initiated into it by the water, and immersion of Baptism? Yea to keep up their interest in it by partaking of the Bread, and Wine of the Eucharift,

Crucified Body, and of the spiritual benefits we reap by it? And though we do not find that our Saviour instituted any other Ceremonies, or at least not with a design of giving them the fame lafting obligation; Yet as we find our Saviour giving command to his Disciples, when he first sent them out to Preach, to (bake off the dust of their Feet (p) against those that would not hear them, as a testimony of their contempt of God's word, and of their own resolution not to have to do with them in the like kind, which was a kind of Excommunication of them; So we find that Paul, and Barnabas (though under no obligation from the former command, because but a temporary one) (book off the dust of their feet (q) against those Jews of Antioch, that rejected, and expelled them, as the fame S. Paul after that, when the Jews of Corinth opposed themselves, and blasphem'd, shaking his raiment at (r) -- 18. 6. them (r), as a testimony of his re-

jecting them, in like manner, and leaving them to go unto the Gentiles.

(p) Mark 6.

(9) Ads 13. 51.

For what was this but to declare by a fignificant Ceremony, that as they had rejected the Counfel of God toward themselves, so God had reje-Sted them in like manner, neither would that his Ministers should make the like proposals to them? The fame is yet more to be faid upon the account of Imposition of hands, and which, though from no Command of Christ, was either used, or approved by the Apostles themselves, both in the Ordination of Ministers (), 1.6. and receiving penitent Sinners to Abfolution (t), and pardon. For thele (t) 1 Time 1 being noted Acts of that Religion, which we profess, and yet by the allowance of the Apostles themselves transacted by the Ceremony of Imposition of Hands, make it evident, that our Religion doth rather commend, than reject such visible solemnities, where they are sparingly, and discreetly apply'd.

That first charge against the sign of the Cross being thus wipd off, even that which pretends to difcard it upon the account of its being a Ceremony; Let us fee, whether it be likely to fuffer any more by

() 2 Tim.

the

the pretence of its being an addition of Mento the Institution of Christ, yea to one, that is not without wisible solemnities of his own appointment : Such additions feeming to imply the imperfection of that, to which they are made, and which there is the less. reason to believe in the present affair, because care hath been taken by our Saviour as to the outward form of its Administration, as well as to more material things. And furely fo fuch Additions might very well be thought to do, if either they were represented as of the Essence of the Sacrament, or our Saviour had professed to prescribe, or direct the whole form of the Administration of it. But as it is notorious enough, that the Church of England doth not represent the fign of the Cross as pertaining to the Effence of the Sa-crament, because administring it after Baptisin first given, yea after the mention of the Minister's receiving the baptized person into the Congregation of Christ's flock; Soour Saviour is so far from prescribing the whole external form of its Administration, that he hath left us to the general

general tenour of his Doctrine, and the directions of our own reason, even for those things that are more material, yea for fuch as are directed (u) by those very Men, who (u) See the cry out against us for adding to the Admini-For where, Christ's Institution. I befeech you, is there any prefcription of other words concerning Baptism, than what is imply'd in that short belief, into which he commands to Baptize? Where to admonish all, that are present, to look back to their own Baptism, and to repent of the violations of the Covenant they made with God in it? Where any directions for requiring the Parent of the Child to bring him up in the nurture of the Lord, yea to require the Parents folemn promife for the performance of it? Nay where, which is of all others the most material any Prayer to Almighty God for the fanctifying of the Water he is going to make use of, and which I no way doubt is necessary to the Consecration of it? All, that the Institution of Baptism represents to us, being the baptizing those, that offer themfelves to it, in the name of the Fa-

ther, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghoft. Now if our Saviour hath not professed to prescribe, even as to the things before directed, but left Men to the general conduct of his Doctrine, and the guidance of their own reason; What appearance is there as to his prescribing after what external form, and order, all these things were to be done, and which if he hath not, there is no doubt the Governours of the Church may order, as they shall fee fit, yea do fo without any fear of being thought to charge his Institutions with imperfe-Ation? They being not to be thought to do fo, who prescribe rules concerning those things, which the Institutions of Christ profess not to give perfect directions in. The only thing, which hath occasion'd Men's misapprehensions first, and then their pasfing fo fevere a Censure upon humane prefcriptions in this kind, is an hasty opinion they have taken up of Christ's being as particular in directing the external management of facred Duties, as Moses appears to have been as to the fervices of the Law. For which yet they have had no other

ther pretence, than a misapplied Text of the Author to the Hebrews (w), (w) Heb. 3.2. even Christ's being as faithful in that house of God, which was committed to his charge, as Mofes was in his. But beside that there appear not any fuch particular directions from God to our Saviour, as there were fometime given to Moses, and our Saviour therefore not to be look'd upon as unfaithful, for not reaching out fuch particular directions to us; Besides that, if our Saviour did not furnish fuch particular directions, yet he hath furnished his Church with a far greater portion of his Spirit, and which may ferve to it as a guide to fit those Services for its respective members; Beside lastly that the Services he enjoyn'd, because to be exercifed among people of feveral Nations, and humours, were not capable, as to circumstances, of such strict limitations, as that, which was to be exercised in one single Nation only: There is nothing more evident to those, that read the Scriptures, than that Christ hath given no such particular directions, and all Arguments from Christ's fidelity therefore of ao

more avail in this affair, than those, which the Papists are wont to draw from the wisdom and goodness of God, toward the proving of an Infallible Guide. For as no wife Man will be perswaded by such Arguments against the Testimony of his own senses, which affure him of the errours of those, whom they would have to be Infallible; So no confidering Man will be perswaded by the other into a belief of those particular directions, which are not any where to be feen, nor which they themselves, who maintain those directions, have yet been able to shew. For when they have faid all they can toward the evincing of their Conclusion, the utmost they are able to prove is, that Christ hath given some general directions concerning the Administration of religious Offices, and which as it doth not prejudge the giving of more particular ones, fo doth much less make them to reflect any imperfection upon the Institution of Christ, because pretending not to concern it felf about them.

One other Charge there is, which is more peculiar to the fign of the

Cross,

Crofs, and that is its being a relique of Popery, Or giving too much countenance; to the Papifts abuses of it. But as they, who advance the former of these, make Popery much more Antient, than it is for the advantage of Protestantism to allow; It being certain from Tertullian (x), that this (x) Detorona Ceremony was in use in his time in almost all the actions they set about : So our Church hath taken to prevent in its own Members all misapplications of it, or the giving the least encouragement to those, that are made of it by others; Partly by confining the use of it to the Administration of Baptism, and partly by representing it as only a token of Men's being not ashamed to own the Faith, and reproaches of him, who fuffered upon it. Which is certainly a more proper course to difcountenance Popery, than it can be thought to be to remove the use of it altogether: Because at the same time we disavow the errors of that, we shew by our Practice our allowance of the Ceremony it felf, and, together therewith, our accordance with

Of the outward wifible Sign, &c.

with the *Primitive* Church, which is the only plaufible thing the *Papifis* have to boulfter up their own cause, or reproach us with the neglect of.

A

DIGRESSION

Concerning

ORIGINAL SIN,

By way of

PREPARATION
TO THE
Following Discourses.

The Contents.

Of the ground of the present Digression concerning Original Sin, and enquiry thereupon made, what Original Sin is. Which is shewn in the General to be such a corruption of the Nature of every Man, that is naturally engendered of the off-spring of Adam, whereby it becomes averse from every thing, that is good, and inclinable to every thing, that is evil.

evil. The nature of that corruption more particularly enquir'd into, and shewn by probable Arguments to be no other, than a Privation of a Supernatural Grace. That there is such a thing, as we have before described, evidenced at large from the Scripture, and that evidence farther strengthned by the experience we have of its effects, and the acknowledgments of the wifer Heathen. Enquiry next made from whence it had its beginning, which is shewn to have been not from any evil Spirit, or Dæmon, the pravity of matter, or the evil habits the Soul contracted in a praexistent state, but from the pravity of our first Parents. last at large confirm'd out of the Doctrine of the Scripture, and followed by some light reflections upon the means, by which it is conveyed. A more just account from the Scripture of its being truly, and properly a fin, partly from its having the title of a fin, but more especially from its being represented as such, upon the account of our Obligation to the contrary. A consideration of those Objections, which are commonly made against the Doctrine of Original Sin; Which are shewn either not to be of that force, whereof they are esteem'd, or however not to be a Sufficient bar to what the Scripture hath declar'd concerning it.

N account being thus given of the outward visible Sign What is of Baptism, which is the first of those things I proposed to at Grace ? entreat of; Reason would, (as well as the method before laid down), unto Din, that I should consider the things sig- and a new nified by it; Which, on the part of birth unto God, and Christ, are an inward and Spiritual Grace, as, on the part of the baptized, an Abrenunciation of their former sins, and a resolution to believe, and act, as Christianity obligeth them to do. But because both weath, we the one, and the other of these sup- are hereby pose the baptized persons to have been before in a finful Estate, and Brace. our Catechism in particular to have been born in it, and by that, as well as by the fins they afterward contracted, to be made the Children of wrath; Therefore it will be but neceffary for us to premife fomething con-

Question. the inward, and spiricus Answer. Righteouls neis. FO2 teing by nature boan in Sin, and the Thildien of made the Childzen of concerning that finful Estate, as which Baptisin both presupposeth, and prosesset to provide a remedy for.

Now as that finful State, whereof we speak, is best known by the
name of Original Sin, and will therefore most commodiously be described by it; So I will make it my business to enquire What that is, and
what appearance of the being of it,
from whence it had its beginning, and
by what means it is conveyed, whether,
as it hath for the most part the name
of a Sin, so it be truly, and properly
such, and what is to be said to the
Objections, that are made against it.

I. To begin with the first of these, even what Original Sin is, and which, in the general, may be defin'd to be such a Corruption of the nature of every Man, that is naturally ingendred of the off-spring of Adam, whereby it becomes averse from every thing, that is good, and inclin'd to every thing, that is evil. I call it a Corruption of nature to distinguish it from nature considered in it self, and as it was in the sirst formation of it: Partly, because Nature being, as such, the

the work of God, cannot be supposed to be corrupt; And partly because the Scripture assures us, that whatfoever it now is, God made it upright *, and fo free from all cor- * Eccl. 7.29. ruptions whatfoever. But fo alfo do I entitle it the Corruption of the Nature of every Man, that is naturally ingendred of the off-spring of Adam: Partly, because the Scripture, where it entreats of it, represents all Men as under the Contagion of it, and partly to exempt our Lord, and Saviour from it, who was ingendred after another manner, and whom the fame Scripture affures to have been free + from all sin, yea to + 2 Cor-5.21. have been so * from his Birth. call it lastly such a Corruption of humane Nature, whereby it is averse from every thing, that is good, and inclin'd to every thing, that is evil. Which I do upon the account of the Scripture's representing it as a finful (a) one, and which, as fuch, (a) Pfa. 51. 5. will make those in whom it is, averse from good, as well as inclinable to evil, yea averse from all, that is good, and inclinable to all evil: Because good, yea all good is opposite

I + Luk. 1. 35.

to fuch an estate, and evil, yea all evil connatural to it. If they, in whom that corruption of nature doth as yet abide, be not always actually prevail'd upon to reject that good, from which we have affirm'd them to be fo averse, or to pursue that evil, to which we have affirm'd them to be inclinable, it is not because they want any averseness for the one, or inclination to the other, but for some other collateral considerations: Such as is, for example, the reputation, or advantage, that may accrue to them from the espoufing of any thing, that is good, or the omission of any thing, that is evil. For all good, and all evil being of one uniform nature, because becoming good or evil by the conformity they bear to the divine Laws, or by their deviation from them; where there is an inclination to any thing, that is good, there must be an inclination to all, that is of the same nature; as on the other fide where an averfeness from any thing, that is evil, an averseness for all that, which is alike a transgression of the Divine Laws. But as therefore nothing can hinder

hinder us from representing natural corruption as making Men averse from all that is good, and inclinable to every thing that is evil; So neither can any thing oblige us to extend the force of it fo far, as to make it to determine them in all their actions, and accordingly to carry them to an actual rejection of all, that is good, or a pursuance of all, that is evil: Partly because Men may, and often do act contrary to their natural averfions, or inclinations, where there is hope of temporal advantage, or fear of any temporal evil; And partly, because we do not only find few natural Men proceeding to the extremity of Impiety, but find also great variety among them in the omiffion of good Actions, or the commission of those that are evil. Of which variety what account could be given, when the Corruption of Nature is. and must be equal, because all Men were alike in, and are alike descended from Adam, were it not that even that Corruption leaves place for the performance of many good, and the avoiding of many things, that are evil? For to ascribe that variety either

ther wholly, or principally to the different degrees of God's restraining Grace, is not only to speak without all Authority, that I know of, but to take away all diversity between the evil demerits of natural Men, and, together therewith, all degrees of punishment; yea to make the Corruption of Nature the only proper ground of pu-For as, if there be nothing but God's restraining Grace to take off natural Men from falling into the worst of fins, the greatest actual finner cannot deferve more punishment, than he who offends in a far less degree; Because all demerit ariseth from the pravity of the will, which is not more or less for the meer absence, or presence of God's restraining Grace: So the greatest actual finner cannot become obnoxious to punishment upon the score of any other Corruption than that of Nature; That as it makes all his actual fins to be necessary, and therefore in reason to bear the whole blame, and punishment, fo receiving no new aggravation from the want of that restraining Grace, might

might have withheld the party from them, in as much as that want (if it be a fault) is no less the result of his natural corruption, than his actual offences are. But therefore also as we cannot look upon natural corruption as determining Men to all their actual errours, without taking away all diversity between the demerits of natural Men, yea making natural Corruption the only proper ground of their punishment; they, who do fo, will be found to contradict the declarations of the Scripture, as well as the allowed practice of the World. For why, if there be no difference between the demerits of natural Men, should those, that are in Authority, mete out different punishments to them according to the different degrees, or kinds of those offences, which they commit? Nay, why should the Scripture affirm, that it shall be more tolerable for fome finners (b), than for others (b) Matter 11. at the great day of judgment? That, 22, 24. as it is a judgment of righteousness, fo being consequently to mete out equal punishments to all finners, if there be but an equality in their de-H merits.

merits. Again, if natural Corruption be upon the matter the only proper ground of punishment, as it must of necessity be, if it be the unavoidable cause of actual sins; How comes the Scripture to declare, that God will reward every Man ac-

(c) Rom. 2.6. cording to his works (c), yea the as well as the righteous according to theirs? For if natural Corruption be the only proper ground of punishment, the works of Men in propriety of Speech can have no concernment in it, and much less (as the Scripture declares) be the principal object of judgment, and therefore of that punishment, which it shall award. The utmost in my opinion, that can be faid in this particular, is that as Men by the Corruption of their Nature are averse from every thing, that is good, so that averseness will indispose those, in whom that Corruption abides, to all good actions whatfoever. and infallibly take them off from them, where either some work of God upon their minds doth not thrust them on to them, or the comeliness, or profitableness thereof shall not more ftrongly

strongly impel them to the practice of them. The former whereof will make the confent of fuch perfons even to those good actions, which they perform, incomplete, and imperfect, and indeed a confent to them rather as expedient, than good; whence it is that our Church (e) (t) Art. 13. represents them as having the nature of fins: The latter cause them to neglect all fuch, as are not in a manner thrust upon them by God, or have not one of the former motives to incite them to the practice of them, yea present to their minds, when they ought to make use of them. Which will occasion such persons for the most part to neglect all good actions, where there is not place for ferious thoughts, as in cases of furprise, or where they have not been habituated to the practice of vertue, or to the consideration of the comeliness or profitableness thereof. But as where there is place for ferious thoughts, there may be place also for the former motives to impel Men to the practice of that, from which they are otherwise sufficiently averse; So it is not unlikely H 2 that

that the minds of those, who have been before habituated to the practice, or contemplation of Vertue, may be thrust on by the former motives to purfue many things, that are good, yea acquit themselves singularly in them. Of which yet if any doubt be made, we have the laudable example of feveral Heathens to convince us thereof, and who, because Heathen, cannot be supposed to be free from the power of natural Corruption, or to be thrust on by other motives, than the former, to the doing of fuch actions, from which they are naturally so averse. In like manner, As Men by the Corruption of their Nature are inclin'd to every thing that is evil, as well as averse from every thing that is good; So that inclination will dispose those, in whom it is, to an allowance of all evil actions, and infallibly betray them, into them, where God's restraining Grace doth not withhold them, or the indecency, or dangerous , consequences of the other do not alike keep them back. The former whereof will make their abstaining even from those evil actions, which they

they avoid, to be but an imperfect abstinence from them, and indeed an abstinence from them rather as inexpedient, than evil; The latter cause them to fall into all such, from which they are not restrain'd by God, or by a present, and intense confideration of the indecency, or danger of them. Which will occafion fuch persons for the most part to fall into all evil actions, where there is not room for ferious thoughts, as in cases of surprise, or where they have not been habituated to the avoiding of vice, or the confideration of the indecency, or dangeroufness thereof. But as, where there is room for ferious thoughts, there may also be place for the former reasons to take them off from the practice of that, to which they are otherwise fufficiently inclin'd; So it is not unlikely, that the minds of those, who have been before habituated to the avoiding of Vice, or the consideration of the indecency, or dangerousness thereof, may be taken off by the former reasons from the pursuit of evil things, yea acquit themselves H 3 fingufingularly in it. As is farther evident from the resistance, that hath been made by several Heathens to all the temptations of sin, and who, because Heathen, cannot be supposed either to have been free from natural Corruption, or to have been taken off by other means, than the former, from the doing of those evil actions, to which they were so strong-

ly inclin'd.

But because what we have hitherto faid concerning the Corruption of our Nature doth rather tend to fhew what effects it hath upon us, than what that Corruption is; And because that word, whereby we have chosen to express it, is but a Metaphorical one, and will therefore serve yet less clearly to declare the thing intended by it; Therefore it may feem but reasonable to enquire yet farther, what it is, and wherein it doth confift, as without which we shall difcourse but impersectly concerning it. Now as that question cannot otherwife be folv'd, than by the knowledge of that Estate, of which it is affirm'd to be a Corruption; So I shall therefore

therefore enquire again what that Estate was, and then what relation this Corruption beareth to it. touching that estate, wherein God did at first create our Nature, most certain it is first (for so Solomon (f) (f) Eccl. 7. affirms it to be) that it was an e- 29. state of uprightness, that is to say such an estate as fitted Man for the obedience of all those Laws, which God had obliged him to perform. That, as it is the most usual fignification of the word we render upright, and accordingly rendred by the Chaldee Paraphrast right, and innocent before God, fo best answering the account before given concerning the depravation of humane Nature, and particularly in those of the Female Sex. For Solomon speaking in the 26th verse of the deceitfulness of that Sex. and of the influence that deceitfulness of theirs would have upon finful Men; Affirming afterwards because reprefenting the event of his fearch as contrary to the defires of his Soul, that though he could find one Man among a thousand of a better temper. yet he could not find One fuch Woman among them all; He must confe-H 4

ŋ

e

1

quently, when he comes to fay that he found only that God made Man upright, be thought to mean fuch an uprightness, as was opposite to that general depravation, whereof he before complain'd. There being therefore no doubt to be made that God created our Nature in a state of uprightness, even in such a one as fitted Man for the obedience of all those Laws, which he was obliged to comply with; Enquire we in the fecond place wherein that state of uprightness consisted, but which we shall not find to be of so easie a resolution. as the former: Because there is some prefumption of its confifting in a right disposition of our natural faculties; And there is some presumption of its confifting in a supernatural Grace over-ruling, and directing those natural faculties to those pious purposes, for which they were chiefly design'd. We have to persuade the former of these the natural ability of the understanding to discern the invilible things of God by the things, which he hath made, and the natural propension of the Will to embrace that, which is good; and

and therefore also the chiefest good, where that is clearly apprehended, and where there is no depravation in the Will (as to be fure there was not at first) to draw it to leffer In fine, we have to perswade it the power the superiour faculties of the Soul have even now over the Inferiour ones, and which we may well believe in that state of Innocency to have been of fufficient force to keep them within those bounds, which God, and Nature had fet them. This, I fay, we have to perfwade that uprightness, wherein our first Parents were Created, to have confided in a right disposition of their natural faculties; And we are not without reason on the other hand to perswade the same uprightness of Nature to have confifted in its being over-ruled, and directed by a fupernatural Grace: Because without such a fupernatural Grace our first Parents could not have come to the knowledge of God, but by the knowledge of Created Beings, and the excellencies thereof, and (what that knowledge would have produc'd) a love, and affection for them. Which would

would not only have made God to be lov'd after his Creatures, who as being the first, and chiefest good ought to have the precedency thereof, but endangered also the diminution of our affections to him by the preposession of them by the other. To which of these two reasonings to give the preeminency is hard to fay, and I will not therefore be over politive in determining concerning the force of them, nor therefore, whether Original Righteousness were a right disposition of our natural faculties, or a supernatural Grace over-ruling, and directing them. But as how equal foever those reasonings may be in themselves, yet nothing will hinder our inclining rather to the one, than the other, if the Scripture, which is the best judge of things of that nature, feem to favour fuch an inclination; So I must needs fay that the Scripture (g) feems to favour those reasonings, which makes Original Righteousness to be a Supernatural Grace: Because not only representing Adam as imbued from the very first with the knowledge of God, which yet he could not be without

(e) Gen. 1. 28, &c. -2.15, &c.

without a revelation from him, but as moreover freely conversing with God, and receiving both Laws, and priviledges from him. For as it appears from thence, that God did immediately shine upon his mind, and fo far forth therefore influenced him by a supernatural Grace, so it is not unlikely that he, who so shone upon his mind, did as immediately influence his will, and affections, and fo dispose him to a compliance with those Laws he impos'd upon him : That, as it was but agreeable to the immediate illumination of his underanding, so becoming yet more necesfary by the different inclinations of his Flesh, and Spirit, and which the prefence of a Supernatural Grace may feem but requifite to bring to a due compliance with each other, and with those Laws, which God had impos'd upon them both. And I shall only add, that if that uprightness, wherein our Nature was at first Created, were no other than a Supernatural Grace, as is at least highly probable from the former reasonings, and the declarations of the Scripture; We shall need to affign no other relation

relation of that Corruption of Nature, whereof we speak, than that of a simple privation of the other. For if the defires of the Flesh could fo far prevail even under a fupernatural Grace, as to carry our first Parents to the eating of that fruit, which God had so severely forbidden them; The simple privation of that fupernatural Grace may well fuffice to give birth to all our evil inclinations, and consequently pass for a fufficient account of that Corruption of our Nature, whereby, as I faid before, we become inclinable to Evil, as well as averse from Good, and which what evidence we have of the being of, is in the next place to be enquir'd.

II. Now as we cannot certainly better inform our felves concerning the present state of our Nature, than from him who, as he was the Author of it, so is intimately present to it; So I will therefore begin with that account, which he hath given us of it, and which we shall find to bear an ample Testimony to that Corruption, whereof we speak. For the evidencing whereof I will shew

First,

First, that it affirmeth all Men whatfoever to be under sin, yea under a perpetual course of it. Secondly, that it affirmeth them to be so from the time they begin to be in a capacity to offend. Thirdly, that they are so from a principle bred in them, and derived to them from their birth.

1. That all Men are under sin S. Paul doth fo fully declare, that we shall need no other Testimony than his to evince it; More particularly, where he affirmeth that both Jews and Gentiles (h) are all under sin; (h) Rom. 3.9. That though the former may feem of all others to have been most free from it, yet the Law (i) had not (i)-19. fluck to affirm, that there was none (k) righteous, even among them, no (k)-10,8c. not one; That there was none that understood, none that sought after God; That they were all gone out of the way, they were altogether become unprofitable, that there was none, that did good, no not one; In fine, that all the World must thereby (1) be look'd upon as (1) ---- 19. guilty before God, because, as he afterward (m) speaks, all have sinned, (m)---23. and come short of the glory of God. But

But so the same Scripture did long before declare, with an addition of all Men's being under a perpetual course of sin, as well as in some measure tainted with it; It being not only the voice of God concerning that part of Mankind, that liv'd before the flood, that every imagination (n) of the thought of their

(n) Gen. 6. 5. imagination (n) of the thought of their heart was only evil continually, but a-like intimated by him concerning that part, which was to follow, even to the end of the World. For

(0) -8. 21. affirming, as he doth (0), that he would not any more drown the World, because the imagination of Man's heart is evil from his youth, he both fuppofeth that Mankind would again give occasion to it by their evil imaginations (as without which otherwife there could be no occasion for God's suspending it) and that Mankind would do fo also in every individual, and Generation of it: The former, because he speaks of the imaginations of Mankind in the general, and which are therefore to be extended to all the individuals of it; The latter, because if any Generation of Men were likely to be free from those

those imaginations, there would for far forth have been no need of his declaring, that he would not drown the World, because no ground for bringing it on the Inhabitants thereof. But therefore, as we have reafon to believe from the places before, recited, that the World always was, and will be under fin, yea under a conftant course of it; So we shall be yet more confirmed in it, if we compare the latter place with the former, as the likeness, that is between them, will oblige us to do: There being not a more apt fense of that latter Speech of God, than that he would not again drown the Earth, because he knew the imaginations of Men would be as evil as they had before been, and he therefore, if he were dispos'd to take that vengeance, to bring a flood often upon it, to the no profit of those, that inhabited it, as well as to the defacing of the Earth it felf. Which will make the condition of Man to be fo finful, that it cannot be otherwise, unless by some powerful means delivered from it.

2. But so also may we inferr from thence, which was the fecond thing

to be prov'd, that all Men are under fin from the time they begin to be in a capacity to offend: That, as it affirms the imagination of Men's heart to be evil, so to be evil from their Touth, and, as I should therefore think, from the time they begin to be in a capacity to be guilty of it. Not that that Age, to which we are wont to give the denomination of Youth, is the first wherein Mankind begins to be in a capacity to offend (for there is but too much evidence of that in the riper years of Childhood) but that we cannot well understand that Text of any other youthful Period, than that wherein Mankind begins to be in a capacity to reason, and consequently also to offend: Partly, because the word we render Touth is sometime us'd even for infancy (p), and ought not therefore without manifest reason to be removed too far from it; But more especially because it is the manifest design of God in the place we speak of to aggravate the evil of Men's imaginations from the earliness thereof, and that earliness therefore to be carried as high, as the capacity Men are

(p) Judges 13.7. Exod. 2.6. are in to imagine evil will fuffer the

doing of it.

3. Now as nothing therefore can be wanting toward the proof of Original Corruption, than that they, who are fo univerfally, and fo early under fin, are so also from an inward principle, and fuch an inward principle too, as was derived to them from their birth; so we shall not it may be need any other proof of that, than their being fo univerfally, and early under the other: The former of these perswading Men's being under fin from fome inward principle, the latter from such an inward principle, as is deriv'd to them from That I make Men's betheir Birth. ing fo univerfally under fin, an argument of their being fo from fome inward principle, is because as so general an effect must be supposed to have some general Cause, so no external Cause, how general soever, can be supposed to produce it without the affiftance of the other. As will appear if we consider the force of example, and which as it is the most general, and the most effectual external Cause, that can be affign'd,

fo is that, into which they who deny the Corruption of Nature, are wont to resolve the universality of For neither first is even Example of fo great force, as infallibly, and univerfally to draw Men to the imitation of it; For some Men are Vertuous, even when they have an ill example before them, and others as Vitious, where they have a good. Neither fecondly hath it any force, but what it receives from Men's aptness to imitate those, with whom they converse. Which as it will make it necessary for us to have recourse to an inward principle, even for those effects, which are produc'd by the mediation of example, for make our very aptness to imitate the evil examples of others, a branch of that inward principle, which we affirm to be the cause of so universal an impiety. Only because we are yet upon Scripture proofs, and which the more express they are, so much the more convictive; Therefore I shall yet more particularly endeavour to evince from thence, that as all Men are under fin, fo they are fo by an innate principle. But fo S. Paul gives

gives us clearly enough to understand, because both afferring such a principle, and that all actual fins are the issues of it: The former, where he represents even the Man, who was under the conviction of the Law (and who therefore might be fuppos'd to be most free from the contagion of fin) as Carnal, yea fold under it (q), as one, who had sin (q) Rom. 7. dwelling in him (for so he affirms no less than twice (r)) and as one too, (r)who had a law in his members (1) that warred against the law of his mind, or (as he afterwards entitles it) a law of sin; The latter, where he represents that carnality, and finful captivity, under which the Jew was, as the cause of his doing what he would not (t), and omitting what he would, (t) That sin, which dwelt in him, as doing all the evil (u) he committed, (u) --- 17.20. And that law, that was in his members, as warring against the law of his mind (w), and bringing him into Capti- (w)----23. vity unto the law of sin. For what more could be faid on the one hand to shew the thing S. Paul there speaks of to be an inward evil principle, and which, because even in those, who were un-

der the Law, is much more to be fupposed in the Gentiles? Or what more on the other to shew that evil principle to be the parent of our actual sins, year that which gives being to them all. And I know nothing to take off the force of it, but a supposition of St. Paul's speaking in that place of Evil habits, and which as they must be confesfed to be of the same pernicious efficacy with Original Corruption, fo to have been for the most part the condition both of Jew, and Gentile, before they came to be overtaken by the Gospel. But how first supposing the Apostle to have spoken only of evil habits (for nothing hinders us from affigning them a part in that Body of fin) How first, I say, doth that agree with the account he before gave concerning fins entring in (x) by Adam, and our being constituted (y) sinners by him. For though Original Corruption may come from him, yet evil habits can he only from our felves, and confequently those fins, that flow from them? How fecondly fuppoling none but evil habits to be here intended, can we make that Body, or law of fin,

(x) Rom. 5.
12.
(y)----19.

fin, whereof S. Paul speaks, to be the portion of all, that are under an obligation to Baptism, as that Apostle plainly fuppofeth, when he makes the design of Baptism (z) to be the (3)-6.6. destruction of it? For to fay nothing at present concerning the case of Infants, because the best evidence of their Obligation to Baptism is the Corruption of their Nature, and that Obligation therefore rather to be prov'd from Natural Corruption. than Natural Corruption from it; Neither can it be deny'd, even from the Commandment *, that our Saviour gave concerning Baptism, that all adult persons are under an Obligation to it, nor therefore but that they carry about them that body of fin, which Baptism was intended for the destruction of. But so all adult persons cannot be supposed to do, if that body of fin be no other, than evil habits; Because it must be sometime after that maturity of theirs before they can come to those evil habits, or therefore to be under an Obligation to that Sacrament, which is to destroy it. In fine, how suppoling none but evil habits to be intended

* Mat. 28.19.

+ Rom. 7.9.

intended by that body, or law of fin, whereof the Apostle speaks, can we give an account of so holy, and just a Law, as that of Mofes is, stirring oncupiscence in those, that are under it, and not rather hindring from coming to effect. nothing hinders the proposing of that Law before fuch persons come to any evil habits, and therefore also before there is any thing in them to stir them up to fuch a Concupiscence; So nothing can hinder that Law, when duly proposed to them, from preventing all fuch Concupifcence, as it was the defign of the Lawgiver to forbid: Because as the persons we speak of must be supposed to be without any contrariety in their Nature to the matter of that Law, which is propos'd; So they must also be suppos'd to be in that state, wherein God had fet them, and (because God cannot be rhought to place Men in any other effate, than that of uprightness) in such a state, as will make them willing to listen to the divine Laws and receive their directions from them. By which means the divine Laws shall rather keep Men's

Men's Concupifcence from coming to effect, than give any occasion for the stirring of it. I conclude therefore from that, as well as the former arguments, that the evil principle spoken of by S. Paul cannot be evil habits, and confequently nothing more left to us to demonstrate, than that it is derived to us from our Birth, or rather from our Conception in the Womb, which is all, that is affirmed concerning Original Corruption. Now that that evil principle, whereof we speak, is derived to us from our Birth, will become at least probable from what was before faid concerning the earliness of Men's being under fin, yea their being fo, as the Scripture instructs us, even from their Touth. For as it is hard to believe, that all Men should be so early under sin, if it were not from fome inward principle, that was antecedent to that Age (For what should otherwise hinder fome of them at least from preferving their integrity for fome time especially supposing, (as that tender Age maketh it reasonable to suppose) a more peculiar watchfulness of the Divine

Divine Providence over it?) So it will be much more hard to believe, fuppoling that evil principle to be antecedent to their Youth, that it should not be derived to them from their Conception, and Birth: The Ages preceding that being not in a capacity to produce in themfelves fuch an evil principle, and therefore to be suppos'd to have had it transmitted to them together with their Nature, and so also by the fame means, and from the same time. in which that their Nature was. And indeed, as even the tenderest age falls under death, and not unreafonably therefore concluded to be fome way, or other under fin, if (as + Rom. 5.12. S. Paul + speaks) death enter'd by it, and so pass'd upon all Men, for that all have finned; So there want not fome places of Scripture, which do yet more directly evince, that the first beginnings of our Nature are tainted with that, of which we speak. (a) Job. 14.4. Of this fort I reckon that of Job (a),

which is fo commonly apply'd to this affair, even his demanding of God,

(i)-1, &c. with reference to himself (b), and all other Men, who could bring a clean

clean thing out of an unclean? and thereby therefore intimating that it was not to be done. For as it is manifest from his alledging that the better to countenance his own expostulation concerning God's bringing him into judgment, that by the unavoidable uncleanness there intimated must be meant a sinful one, as which alone could either dispose him to fuch actions, as could be a proper matter for judgment, or be alledged in bar to a fevere one: So it is alike manifest from Job's asking, who can bring a clean thing out of an unclean, that Men are not only fo unclean in their Nature, but that they become fo by those evil principles, out of which they are brought, and fo also from the time that they were separated from them. Of the same Nature is that of our Saviour, where he afferts the necessity of Men's being born again of water, and the Spirit, upon the account of their being before but flesh(c), because born of flesh. (c) Joh. 3. 6. For as we cannot well understand our Saviour of any other flesh, than flesh corrupted, or rather of the whole Nature, that is fo; Partly because

(d) Ibid.

of the opposition, that is there made (d) between a fleshly, and spiritual temper, and partly because that is the most usual notion of it in the

(e) Rom. 7. 18, 25. Gal. 5. 19, 24.

New (e) Testament: So neither therefore but conclude all Men to become such flesh by those fleshly perfons, from whom they are born, and so also from the time that they receive their being from them. of all the Texts of Scripture, which are commonly alledged in this affair (even the earliness of that evil principle, wherewith we have faid all Men to be imbued) there is certainly none of greater force, than (f)Pfal.51.5. the profession, that David makes (f), that he was shapen, or born in iniquity, and conceiv'd by his Mother in

(g) Ham. Annot, in lo-

it as early as the first beginnings of it, because speaking as manifestly of its Conception (g), and Birth. And indeed as we have no reason to believe from any thing the Scripture hath faid concerning David, or his Parents, that what he spake of his own formation was to be understood of that alone; so we have much less

fin; That, if it entreat of the Corruption of humane Nature, making

rea-

reason to believe, that he intended any other thing by the fin, and iniquity thereof, than that Original Corruption, whereof we speak. For beside that the letter of the Text is most agreeable to that notion, and not therefore without manifest reason to be diverted to another; Beside that that fense is put upon it by the most eminent Fathers (h) of the Church, and the Doctrine contained His. 1. 2. in it confirm'd by the concordant (i) Part. Thef. I. testimonies of them all; Beside that that fense hath the suffrage of one of the most learned (k) of the Jewish (k) Ham, whi. Writers, as the thing it felf the con- jupra. fentient belief of all the rest; Aben Ezra refolving the meaning of the Pfalmist to be, that in the hour of his Nativity the evil figment was planted in his heart, even that Concupiscence (as he afterward interprets himself) by which he was drawn into fin: Beside all these, I say, it is no less agreeable to the scope of the whole Pfalm, and particularly to the care he takes in the Verse before to condemn himfelf for his offences, and so justifie the severity of God, if he should think good to take vengeance

of them. For what could be more

futable to that, than to lay open, together with his actual fins, that polluted Fountain from whence they came, and fo shew himself to be vile upon more accounts, than one, and God to have as many reasons to chastise him? And I shall only add, that as that fense cannot therefore be fairly refus'd, because conformable to the design of the Psalmift, as well as to the letter of the Text it felf, and to the interpretation of the Antients, as well as either; So they feem to me to add no finall confirmation to it, who can find no other means to elude it, than by making the words of the fame fence with that hyperbolical expression of the same Author, where he af-(1) Pfal. 58.3. firms (1), that the wicked are estranged from the Womb, and that as soon as they are born, they go astray speaking lies. For as it cannot be deny'd that there is a very wide difference between Men's being conceived, and born in sin, and their going astray from their Mother's Womb, and their own birth; This latter expression importing that iniquity, which follows after

the condition of the Conception, and Birth it self: So it is evident from what the Psalmist adds in the place alledged concerning the wicked's speaking lies, that he there entreats of actual sins, which as no Man denies to require a more mature Age for the perpetration of, so make it necessary to allow an Hyperbole in it; Whereas the place we insist upon hath not the least umbrage of actual sins, and is therefore under no necessity of being interpreted conformably to it.

But because it can hardly be imagin'd, but if there be such a thing as Original sin, it will produce sutable effects in those, in whom it is; And because it can as little be thought, but that those effects will lye open to the observation of all, that shall take the pains to reslect upon them; Therefore enquire we in the next place, whether that Original Sin, whereof we speak, doth not discover it self by sutable effects, and so add yet farther strength to what the Scripture hath affirm'd concerning it. A thing not to be doubted of,

(m) Ibid.

if we reflect upon the behaviour of Children, as foon as they come to have any use of reason. For do not some of those, as the Pfalmist speaks (m), go astray from their Mothers Womb, speaking lies? Do not others discover in their actions as much of malice, and revenge? Are not a third fort as refractory to the commands of their Superiours? Doth not a fourth equally pride it felf in all it's fupposed excellencies? Now from whence, I befeech you, proceeds all this untowardness of behaviour, but from as untoward a principle, and fuch a one too, as is interwoven with their very Being, and derived to them with it? For shall we say from the force of Example? But experience affures us of the contrary, because visible in such Children, as have no fuch examples before them, and who moreover do not want a severe education to prevent, or correct it. Shall we then fay from some previous habits? But the same experience assures us of the contrary, because it is antecedent to any evil habits, and therefore not imputable to them. Shall we fay laftly (and more than that

that we cannot fay) that it proceeds from their natural temperament? But as I no way doubt, and shall not therefore stick to confess, that the Corruption of our Nature runs out that way, which our natural temperament leads it; So I fee no necessity to grant, that that natural temperament hath any other interest in our untowardness, than by inclining our natural Corruption to that particular evil, to which we are carried. For to make it any other way the cause of that untowardness is to charge it upon God, because he must be confess'd to be the Author of all that is purely natural in us. Only if it be faid, that that natural temperament may incline Children, before they have any free use of reason, to those untowardnesses, whereof we speak, and so at length by the means of those untowardnesses produce such an habitual inclination to them, that their more free reason, when they come to it, shall not be able to surmount it; I answer, that that indeed might well enough be granted, if we had no reason to believe, that God would

fo watch over them by his providence, as to hinder their natural temperament from having fuch an influence upon them. But as we have reason enough to believe, from the love God bears to his own Workmanship, as well as to Piety, and Vertue, that he would not be wanting in that particular to the estate of Children, if it were no other than fuch as he himself had plac'd them in; So we must therefore believe also, that that temperament of theirs is not the cause of their miscarriages, but somewhat elfe that is not from God, and which, because not from him, he doth not think himself under any necessity to provide against.

And indeed though fome, who call themselves Christians, have notwithstanding the former evidences, oppos'd themselves against that, which we have offered as the Original cause thereof; Yet have the more sober Heathen, though ignorant of the occasion of it, both acknowledg'd, and lamented it, and so furnish'd us with a farther argument for the belief of it. For thus (as Dr. Jackson (n) did long since observe) we find one

(n) Coll. of his Works, Book 10. Ch.

of them affirming that the nature of Man is prone to lust, and another, that nature cannot Separate just from unjust. Thus a third (as the forementioned Author remarks) that to Man of all the creatures is sorrow given for a portion, to him luxury in innumerable fashions, and in every Limb; To him alone ambition, and avarice, to him alone an unmeasurable desire of living; In fine, that whilst it is given to other creatures, yea the most savage ones, to live peaceably, and orderly together, Man is naturally an enemy to those of his own flock. To the same purpose are those, which are quoted by Grotius (o), (o) De jure if they are not also yet more worthy ii. 2. c. 20. of our remark; Such as are, that fett. 19. 6 in among the other incommodities of Annotanlocum. mortal nature there is the darkness of Men's minds, and not only a necessity of erring, but a love of errours; That we have all sinned, some in weightier instances, others in lighter, Some of set purpose and design, others it may be carried away by other Men's mickedness; That we do not only offend, but we shall offend to the end of our lives, and although some one may have

have so purg'd his mind, that nothing (ball any more disturb, or deceive him, yet he comes to innocency by offending; That this evil disposition is so natural to Men, that, if every one be to be punished, that hath it, no Man shall be free from punishment; That there is therefore a necessity upon those, who are entrusted with the power of Chastisement, to wink at some errours; He, who punisheth Men, as if they could te free from all sin, exceeding the measure of that correction, which is according to nature, or (as another hath expressed it) shewing himself injurious to the common infirmity of Men, and forgetful of that infirmity, which is humane, and universal. For as it is evident from these, and the like passages, that they, from whom they fell, had the same opinion of the State of Nature, which Christianity obligeth us to take up; So that opinion of theirs cannot but add to the confirmation of our own, and to the belief of that depravation, which it is the defign of this Difcourse to evince: Because not taken up either in whole, or in part from prejudices imbib'd from Books, but from

from the experience they had of its effects, and which as they themselves could not but feel, and acknowledge, so we have no reason to question, because conscious of the like effects of it in our selves.

III. There being therefore no doubt to be made, but that there is fuch a thing as Original Sin, because sufficiently attested by the Do-Arine of the Scripture, and our own, and other Men's experience; It cannot but be thought reasonable to enquire, from whence it had its beginning, and so much the rather because both Scripture, and reason affure us, that it cannot be thought to have had its Original from God. Now there are but four things, from whence it can be supposed to proceed, and within the confideration whereof therefore this Enquiry of ours will necessarily be bounded; fome evil Damon, or Spirit, which concurrs with God to our production, or the natural pravity of that matter, which God makes use of in order to it; Some evil habits, which Souls contracted, before they were fent

into their prefent bodies, or some pravity in those from whom they first defrended, and which is transmitted from them to particular fouls, and perfons. The first of these opinions is attended with this great inconvenience among many others, that it chargeth God either with maligniry, or impotency; With malignity, if willingly fuffering any evil spirit to mix it felf in his productions; With impotency, if not able to hinder it, though he would. The fecond, as it is alike injurious to the power of God, because subjecting that power of his to the indisposition of the matter, fo it makes Original Sin to be natural, and unavoidable, and confequently also those a-Etual fins, that flow from it. which means it not only renders all our endeavours against them useless, but casts a blemish upon those divine Laws, which pretend to forbid them, and upon those divine judgments, which pretend to punish them. For neither can God without great unreasonableness forbid what is not to be avoided, nor punish it without the imputation of injustice. But it may

may be though Original Sin had not its beginning either from some evil spirit, or the pravity of the matter, which are the two first opinions, which pretended to give an account of it; yet it might, as is fuggested in the third, arise from such evil habits, as Men's fouls contracted before their descent into this World, and into those bodies, wherewith they are invested. That indeed might yet more reasonably be believ'd, that I fay not also (abstracting from the Authority of the Scripture) much more reasonably, than the account, that is given of it from Adam, if there were but equal reason to believe, that Men's Souls had any feparate existence antecedently to their conception in the Womb. that is a thing for which there is not any folid ground either in reafon, or Scripture, and the supposition of it therefore the meer iffue of fancy, and conjecture; So it is sufficiently confuted by the ignorance Men's Souls are under of any fuch previous estate. For why, if Men's Souls had any fuch previous existence, should they not be conscious of it,

and of the things, that were performed by them in it? Nay, why should not God take care to fix fuch a remembrance in them, that fo what was wanting in their former estate might be supply'd by them in their following one? For as it is not easie to suppose, that the corruptible body should so far stupefie the Soul, as to hinder it from emerging in time out of fleep, in which it may feem to have been cast, and accordingly from calling to mind what had been before transacted within it; Because though the Body may be fome hindrance to the faculties of the Soul, yet it doth not hinder them from coming in time to exert their proper operations: So it is much less casie to suppose, that God should not however bring to it's memory its past State, and Actions, by which it offended against him; Partly to make it fensible of its former guilt, and God's choosing to punish it by thrusting it into a Body, and partly to make it fo much the more careful to break off from those fins, by which it had before offended him; These, as they are the only imaginable

nable ends, why God should thrust an offending Soul into such a Body, so being perfectly lost to that Soul, in which there is no consciousness of it's former state, and of those enormities, which were contracted in it. I conclude therefore, that whatever may be said as to this particular concerning Original Sin, yet it did not take its rise from the evil acts, or habits of the Soul in any præxistent estate, and nothing therefore lest to us to resolve it into, but the depravedness of those, from whom we all descended, and from whom it is transmitted to particular Souls, and Persons.

I deny not indeed, that even this Account is not without its difficulties, and fuch as it will be hard, if not impossible perfectly to assoile. I deny not farther, that those difficulties are much enhanc'd by the ignorance we are under concerning the Original of humane Souls, and which whilst we continue under, it will not be easie for us to shew, how that depravedness of Nature should pass from them to us. But as those difficulties are no ways comparable to the difficulties of two of the former, even K 4

those, which resolve Original Sin into the malignity of some evil spirit, or the pravity of matter; So they can much less be thought to be of force against the testimony of the Scripture, if that (as I shall afterwards shew) favour its arising from the pravity of our first Parents: Partly because the thing in question is a matter of fact, and therefore to be determin'd rather by testimony, than the force of reason, and partly because the testimony of Scripture is the most Authentick one, as being no other than the testimony of God. Now that there wants not fufficient evidence from thence, that that Original Sin, whereof we speak, ariseth from the pravity of those, from whom we first descended, will appear if these things can be made out; First, that the sin of all mankind enter'd in by Adam; Secondly, that it enter'd in by Adam not meerly as the first that committed it, or tempted other Men by his ill example to do the like, but as more, or less the cause of all their sins by his own; Thirdly that he became the cause of all their sins through his.

his, by depraving thereby his own Nature, and then communicating that depravation to those, that descended from him.

That the Sin of all Mankind enter'd in by Adam, will need no other proof, than that known Text of S. Paul (p), (p) Rom. s. even that by one Man fin enter'd in- 12. to the World, and death by fin, and so death passed through unto all Men, for that all have sinned. For as we cannot well interpret the word fin of any other, than the fin of all Men, because there is nothing in the Text to limit it to any particular Man's, so much less, when S. Paul doth afterwards affirm, that that death, which enter'd in by it, passed thorough unto all Men, for that, or because all had finned by the means of him; That as it makes death to pass upon all Men with respect to their several fins, and confequently their feveral fins to be the immediate door by which it enters, fo making those several fins therefore to be included in that fin, which he before affirmed to be the cause of that death, and, togethe with it, to have enter'd in by Adam.

But

But because among those at least, by whom the Scripture is acknowledg'd, the question is not so much. whether all fin enter'd by Adam, but after what manner it enter'd by him; And because, till that be known, we cannot speak with any certainty concerning the derivation of the corruptness of our Natures from that of our first Parents or Parent; Therefore pass we on to shew, according to the method before laid down, that as the fin of all Mankind enter'd in by Adam, so it enter'd in by him, not (as fome have vainly deem'd) meerly as one, who first committed it, or tempted others by his example to do the like, but as one also, yea especially, who by the malignant influence of his fin was more or less the cause of all those sins, that followed it. That the fin of all Mankind enter'd not in by Adam either meerly, or principally as one, who first committed it, will need no other proof than his being not the first committer of fin even in this fublunary World, but that Serpent, who tempted our first Parents to it. For as he, and his fellow Angels finned before

before them in those glorious seats, in which they were first bestow'd; So he finned also before them here by that temptation, which he fuggested to them, and without which they had not fallen from their integrity. Which as it is an evidence of fin's not entring in by Adam in that fense, and consequently that that was not the sense intended by S. Paul; So is the more to be confidered, because S. John attributes this entrance of fin to the Devil (q), yea (q)1 Joh.3.8. makes all the committers of fin to be therefore of him. But besides that Adam was not the first of those that finned, and we therefore not fo to understand S. Paul, when describing fin as entring by him; Neither was he the first of humane kind that sinned. which will be a yet farther prejudice to the former furmife. For (as we learn from the ftory of the Fall(r), (r) Gen. 3.6. yea from this very A postle elsewhere) (f) Adam was not deceiv'd, that is (f) 1 Tim. 2. to fay, was not the first that was 14. so; but the Woman being deceiv'd was in the transgression. Which what is it but to fay, that fin did not enter in by Adam in that sense, and confequently

fequently that that was not the fense intended by the Apostle in it? Only if it be faid (and more than that cannot be faid in it) that we are not so to understand S. Paul, when describing sin as entring by Adam, as not also to suppose him to connote the Partner both of his Bed, and of his transgression; As I will not be forward to deny the fuggestion gether, because believing them both to have contributed to the production of our transgressions, as well as Nature, fo I cannot forbear to fay upon the account of that which follows, that we ought to confider Adam as the more especial instrument in it. Because S. Paul not only reprefents him (t) in particular as the Type, or Figure of him, that was to come, but both describes him all under the notion of one along Man (u), yea makes a great part of the likeness, that was between him and Christ to consist in it. Which could by no means been proper, if he had meant no other by fin's entring in by Adam, than entring in by him as one of the first committers of it. For in this fense

(t)Rom.5.14.

(1)----12. 15, 16. &c. fense Eve must necessarily have had the preeminence, because not only offending before her Husband, but tempting even him to do the From that first fense therefore pass we to the second, and which indeed is both more antient, and plaufible, than the former. For as it is as old as that Pelagius (w), (w) Vid. Voff. who first call'd Original Sin in que- Hist. Pelag. ffion, fo it allows the fin of Adam Thef. I. to have had an influence upon other Men's fins, as well as to have given beginning to the being of it. that it hath as little folidity, or pertinency to the words, whereunto it is apply'd, will appear if we reflect upon the fequel of S. Paul's Difcourfe, or the subject matter of that, which is offered as the interpretation of it. For is there any reason to think without which that interpretation can be of no avail) that Adam by his fin tempted all his posterity to offend? Nay, is there not reason enough to believe, that that example of his contributed little to Men's following fins, yea contributed nothing at all to many of them? For how many Men have there been,

li. 2. parte 2.

to whom the knowledge of his fin never reach'd? How many are there yet, who are under the fame ignorance, or may hereafter be? And must not these therefore be look'd upon as exempted from the influence of his ill example, and confequently, if their fins entred in by Adam, be acknowledg'd to have entred fome other way? And though the same be not to be said of those, to whom the Scriptures have come, because those are not without the knowledge of his fin, nor incapable of being influenc'd by his example; Yet is there as little reason to think, that that example of his contributes much to their fins, or indeed ever did to theirs, who lived nearer to him, and fo were more likely to have been inflicted by him. For beside that a sin so chastis'd, as that was, was not very likely to draw their thoughts towards it, and therefore as little likely to tempt them to the imitation of it; Befide that many of them might have no actual confideration of it, as no doubt many now have not, even when they offend in the like kind; They might have been influenc'd. and no doubt were by other fins of his,

his, as much, or more than by his first transgression, or by the ill examples of those, that were nearer to them, rather than by any of his. In fine they might have been, and no doubt often were influenced by the baits of pleasure, or profit, and thereby drawn aside from their integrity; These having been as apt to influence them, as the example of that fin, by which their feveral offences are fuppos'd to have entred into the World. And I shall only add, that as that sense cannot therefore be reasonably impos'd, if we regard, as no doubt we ought, the Subject matter of it; So we shall find as little encouragement for it from the fequel of his Discourse, whose words are now under consideration. For beside that he himfelf may feem fufficiently to obviate it by affirming presently after (x), (x) Rom. 5. that there were many of those, that 14. finned, that did not, nor well could fin after the similitude of Adam'stransgression, because knowing nothing at all of any fuch positive law, as he transgress'd; It is the main design of his Discourse to compare the good, that

that Christ brought by his obedience, with the hurt which that type of his did by his transgression. Which comparison had been but a frigid one, if all the hurt, that Adam did us, was by the force of his ill example: Because it is certain that Christ's obedience was of a much more efficacious influence in the kind of it, as well as in the degree, and would therefore rather have been vilified, than any way illustrated, or commended by the comparison, if the malign influence of Adam's fin had reach'd no farther, than that of an example. I conclude therefore, that what ever was meant by fin's entring in by Adam, yet formething more was meant by it, than its entring by him either as the first committer of it, or as one, who by his ill example tempted others to do the like. And indeed as the instance but now alledg'd, even the likeness, that is between Adam's fin, and Christ's obedience. makes it but reasonable to look upon all fin as entring also by Adam, as more, or less the cause of it, so it stands yet more confirm'd by what S. Paul affirms in the ninteenth verse, especially

especially as it lies in the Original: The purport thereof being, that Men are constituted sinners by his disobedience, yea that they are so constituted sinners by it, as Men are constituted righteous by the obedience of Christ. For though the words κατεςάθησαν έμαρτωλοί may in themselves be capable of a softer sense, and accordingly fignifie no more, than Men's being reputed, and us'd as finners upon the account of that transgreffion, which Adam committed; Yet I fee not how that fenfe can be thought to fit them here, or indeed any other than that of constituting, or making Men finners: Partly because their being conftituted finners by Adam's disobedience is rendred by S. Paul (y) as the reason of their condemnati- (y) Rom. 5. on by it, and ought therefore to be distinguished from it; And partly because they are said to be constituted finners by Adam's disobedience, as they, who belong to Christ, are conflituted righteous by his obedience. For the obedience of Christ procuring Men's being really righteous, as well as their being reputed fuch, yea procuring their being really righteous in some measure, that they may be so

18, 19.

accounted of, and us'd; What can be more reasonable than to think. that that disobedience of Adam, which is affirmed to be like it, is of the fame causality, and accordingly constitutes, or makes Men finners, as well as accounted of as fuch.

One only thing remains towards the clearing of the matter in hand, even the derivation of the corruptness of our Nature from that of our first Parent, or Parents; And that is, that as all fin entred in by Adam as more, or less the cause of it by his own, fo he became the cause of it by his own by thereby depraying his own Nature first, and then communicating that depravation to those, that descended from him. Of the former whereof as there cannot well be any doubt, confidering the hainousness of that sin, which he committed (That as it could not but occasion the withdrawing of the Divine Grace from Adam, fo neither but draw after it the depravation of his Nature, as which receiv'd all its rectitude from the other) fo there will be as little doubt of the latter, if we compare what S. Paul here

here faith concerning Adam's being the cause of all our fins by his own, with what he afterward faith * concerning Men's falling into actual fin by vertue of an evil principle, that dwelleth in them. For if all actual fin proceed immediately from fuch an evil principle, that evil principle must be also from Adam, as without which otherwise he could not be the cause of our sins by his own, nor constitute us sinners by it.

* Rom. 7. 17=

IV. I will not be over positive in defining by what means this evil principle is convey'd, because I am not well affur'd how our very Nature is. It shall suffice me to represent (what may tend in some measure toward the clearing of it) That Original fin, cleaving to our nature from the first beginnings of it, must confequently be conveyed to us by the same general means, by which our nature is, even by natural generation, yea that the Scripture teacheth us fo to reason, where it affirms (3)Pfal. 51.5. Men to be conceiv'd in fin (z), to + Joh. 3.6. become flesh by being born + of flesh, * Job. 14. 4. and unclean * by being brought out

of those Parents, that are so; That, though the more particular means, by which Original Sin is convey'd, cannot with any certainty be affign'd, because it is alike uncertain, whether those Souls, in which it is most reasonable to place it, be either traduced, or immediately created, yet there would not be any uncertainty as to this particular, if we believ'd the Souls of Men to be traduc'd, as feveral of the Antients +, and not a few of the Moderns have believ'd (For fo it would not only not be difficult to apprehend the particular means of the others conveyance, but almost impossible to overlook them, because making it to pass together with those Souls, to which it adheres, and diffuse it self from thence to those Bodies, to which they are united) That, though the traduction of Souls be not without its difficulties, and fuch as I shall not be so vain as to attempt the folution of, yet it is in that particular but of the fame condition with the immediate Creation of them, that I fay not alfo less exceptionable, as to the bufiness of Original Sin; In fine, That, as

† Vid. Vossi. Hist. Pelag. Lib. 2. Parte 3. Thes. 1.

as it hath nothing from Scripture to prejudice the belief of it, as appears by the folutions, which have been (4) Hotham's long fince (a) given to the Objecti- Trent, Philosoons from it; So it feems to me much phy. more agreeable to that account, which it gives of the Creation, and indeed to the Nature of a Parent. what can be more clear from the Story of the Creation, than that God defigned once for all to Create all the Beings, which he intended, leaving them, and particularly Man, to carry on the Succession by those productive principles, which he had planted in them? For if fo, what should hinder us from believing, but that Men produce their like after the fame manner, that other Creatures do, and by the same Divine Benediction, and concurrence. am, as they will otherwise fall short of the powers of inferiour beings, as well as be an anomalie in the Creation, so they will be but very imperfectly in the condition of Parents. because contributing only to that part, which is the least confiderable in their Posterity. Only as I list not to contend about any thing, of which L 2

150

I my felf am not more strongly perfuaded; So I shall leave it to those, whom the immediate creation of Souls better pleaseth, to make their advantage of it, and satisfie themselves from it concerning the means of Original Sin's conveyance. Which if they do, they shall do more, than the great S. Augustin could after all his travails in this Argument; Because professing that he could not sind either by reading or praying, or reasoning (b), how Original Sin could be defended with the opinion of the Creation of Sauls.

(b) Ep. 157. ad Optatum.

> V. I may not difmifs the Argugument that is now before us, or indeed fo much as attend to the confideration of those Objections, that are made against it, before I have also enquir'd, whether that, which hath the name of Original Sin, truly, and properly such, and not rather so stilled in respect of that first in, from which it proceeded, or in set of those fins, to which it .. For befide that that Church. whose Catechism I have chosen to explain, leads us to the confideration of it, because both there, and elfe-

elsewhere (c) affirming it to have (c) Art. of Relig. 6. the nature of a Sin, to make us the Children of Wrath, and to deserve God's Wrath and Damnation; The refolution of it is of no small moment toward the right stating of our duty, and the valuableness of that remedy, which Christianity hath provided for it. For neither otherwife can we look upon Original Sin as any proper matter for our Repentance, whatfoever it may be for our lamentation, nor upon Baptism as bringing any other pardon to Infants, than that of the Sin of their first Parents, and which they who look upon Original Sin as rather our unhappiness, than fault, are generally as far from charging them with. This only would be premis'd for the better understanding of it, that by Sin is not meant any actual transgreffion of a Law (for no Man was ever so absurd, as to affirm that concerning Original Sin) but that which is contrary to a Law in the nature of an evil habit, and both imports an absence of that Righteousness, which ought to be in us, and an inclination to those evils, from which

which we ought to be averse; This.

as it is no less the transgression of a Law, than any actual fin is, fo making the person, in whom it is, as obnoxious to punishment, and confequently to be look'd upon as yet more properly a fin. Now that that, which we call Original Sin, is really fuch in this latter notion, will appear if thefe two things be considered; First, that the Scripture gives it the title of fin, Secondly, that it represents it as such upon the account of our being obliged by the Law of God to have in us a contrary temper. That the Scripture gives that, whereof we speak, the title of sin, is evident from those Texts, which we before made use of to prove the being of it; More particularly from (d) Pla. 51. 5. that (d), which represents David as (1) Rom. 7.17- conceiv'd, and born in fin, and those (e), 2c. which represent us all as having sin dwelling in us. For these having been before shewn to speak of Original Sin make it evident that the

Scripture gives it the title of Sin. because in the former places reprefenting it under that notion. though I will not from that only To-

pick conclude it to be properly fuch, because the Scripture makes use of figurative expressions, as well as proper, yea doth fo in this very particular whereof we speak (for thus it sometimes gives the title of fin to that, which is intended only as the punishment thereof) yet as we may lawfully inferr from thence, that there is more cause to believe Original Sin to be properly, than figuratively fuch, till the contrary thereof be made appear, The proper sense being otherwise to be preferr'd before the figurative; So that there can be no place for the figurative sense, if that, which is there represented as a sin, be elfewhere represented as such upon the supposition of our being obliged to have in us the contrary temper. Which that it is will appear from fuch Texts, as do more immediately affirm it, or fuch as affirm those things, from which it may by good confequence be deduced. the former fort I reckon that, which is immediately subjoyn'd by David to the mention of his being conceiv'd in sin, and brought forth in iniquity (f). (f) Pia. 51.6. Behold thon requirest truth in the in-

ward

ward parts, and shalt, or rather haft made me to understand wisdom secretly. For as we cannot but look upon what is there faid concerning God's requiring truth in the inward parts as spoken with relation to that for whereof he before complains, and to the mention whereof he fubjoyns the mention of the other; So neither (confidering it to have been his intent to aggravate his finfulness before God) but look upon it as also his intent to aggravate the finfulness of his frame by that piety which God required of him. Which suppos'd, Original Sin will not only be found to be so entituled by the Scripture, but to have had that name bestowed upon it upon the account of Men's obligation to the contrary, and confequently to be truely and properly fuch. And though there be not it may be many more Texts of that nature, or which therefore can be thought fo directly to affirm, that it becometh the fin of those, in whom it is, upon the account of their obligation to the contrary; Yet will it not be difficult to find others, which do as clearly affert those things, from

from which it may by good confequence be deduced. Such as are those which make Original Sin to be a proper matter for confession, yea to induce a guilt upon the person, in whom it is. But so the Prophet David doth plainly suppose in that very Pfalm, which we but now made use of; Because not only confessing (g) the sinfulness of his Nature to- (e) Pfa.51.5. gether with that of his external actions, but begging of God, immediately after that confession of his, that he would purge him (b) with (b) Pfa.51.7. Hystop from it. For as we have no reason to exclude that from the matter of the defir'd purgation, which immediately precedes the Prayer that is put up for it; So much less reafon to doubt, after that Prayer for the purgation of it, of its inducing a guilt upon the person, in whom it is: The use of Hyssop in the Old Law (as appears by feveral places (i) in it, and a confentient Text in (i) Exo. 12. the Epistle to the Hebrews (k) be- Lev. 14.6. ing to sprinkle the Blood of the (k) Heb. 9. Sacrifices upon those, who were any 19, 6%. way obnoxious to its cenfures, and so deliver them from the severity thereof.

thereof. For what other then could the Pfalmist mean by that Prayer of his, than that God would purge him from that, and his other fins by the blood of an expiatory Sacrifice? Or fo meaning be thought to intimate more clearly, than that that, from which he defir'd to be purg'd, flood in need of fuch a Sacrifice, and confequently was no more without its guilt, than his actual transgressions were. Only, if that notion may not be thought to be of fufficient clearness to build so important a Conclusion on, it will not be difficult to strengthen it yet more by the word the Hebrew makes us of for purge, and those Prayers, which the Pfalmist subjoyneth to it; By the former because literally (1) fignifying a purification from fin, by the latter, because importing it to be his defire (m), that God would wash him from it, that he would chuse those bones, that had been broken by it, to rejoyee, and in fine, that he would bide his face from his fins, and blos out all his iniquities: These, as they are known and usual expressions for the remission of sins, and consequently

תחשאכי(ו)

(m) Pfal. 51.

im-

importing the guilt of those, to whom they are apply'd, and their purification from it, so with this farther reason to be so taken here, because the Psalmist afterwards begs (n), that God would (n) Pfal. 51. purifie him from the filth of them, and renew a right Spirit within him.

VI. Now though from what hath been faid it be competently evident, that the Doctrine of Original Sin is not without good Authority to warrant it, yet because that Doctrine hath been impugned by the Pelagians of Old, and fince that by the followers of Socious therefore it may not be amiss for the farther clearing of it to consider their Objections against it, and either return a direct, and fatisfactory anfwer to them, or at least shew, that they ought not however to be admitted as a bar against what the Scriprure hath faid concerning it. begin with those Objections which respect the being of it, or rather tend to shew that it hath no being in the World; Which are either fuch, as confider it as a simple corruption of humane Nature, or fuch

as do also consider it as a sinful one. Of the former fort are those, which represent it as a thing unconceivable, how it should come into humane Nature, which the better to persuade, they alledge plaufible reasons against all those means, whereby it may be fuppos'd to find admittance. For these being destroy'd, they think they may lawfully inferr, that there is indeed no fuch depravation upon humane Nature. Of what force those reasons are will be then more feasonable to enquire, when I consider what is objected against the fountain of Original Corruption, or the means by which it is convey'd. At present it may suffice to say, of what force foever they may be thought to be, yet they are not of fufficient force to destroy the being of Original Corruption, which is the thing for which they are here alledg'd; Partly, because many things may be, yea be affur'd to us, of the original, or conveyance whereof we our selves are perfectly ignorant (for who doubts of the being of humane Souls, though he neither knows, nor well can, whether they be traduc'd,

or infus'd) and partly because the testimony of Scripture, with the experience we have of its effects, is a much more forcible argument of the being of it, than all the former reafons are of the other: These being direct, and immediate proofs of its existence, whereas the other are only indirect, and mediate. From fuch objections therefore as confider Original Sin as a fimple Corruption of humane Nature, pass we to those, which confider it also as finful, and which indeed feem most hardly to press upon it: Such as are, that all fin is the transgression of a Law, which Original Sin feems not to be; That it requires the consent of the will of him, in whom it is, which cannot well be affirm'd of that; As in fine, that the Scripture it felf may feem to make that, which we call Original Sin, rather the Parent of Sin, than fin it felf, because making () James 1. fin to arise (o) from the conception, and parturition of it. As to what is objected from the forementioned Scripture, it is either nothing at all to the purpose, or very much against the purpose of those, that alledge

it: Partly because by the sin there spoken of can be meant no other. than actual fin, and nothing therefore to be concluded from thence, but that all actual fin is the product of Men's Luft, and partly because that Text makes even actual fins to be the product of Men's Luft, yea of fuch a luft as draweth them afde, and entiteth them. For who can well think the Parent of fuch Children to be of a better Nature, than the Children themschoos, especially when she is described as giving birth to them by false, and deceitful Arts? Such Arts as those reflecting no great honour upon the Mother, but on the contrary making her to be altogether as criminal, as the other. If therefore they, who impugn Original Sin as fuch, would do it with any advantage, it must not be by Arguments drawn from Scripture, which will rather hurt, than profit them, but by Arguments drawn from reason, and particularly by fuch as represent Original Sin as no transgression of a Law, and therefore no fin properly fo call'd, or as a thing which hath not the confent of the will of him, in

in whom it is, and therefore yet farther removed from it. As concerning the former of thefe, even that which represents Original Sin as no transgression of a Law, I answer that they, who fo speak, must deny it to be fuch, either because it is no Act, or because there is no Law; which it can be suppos'd to be a transgression of. If the former of these be their meaning, I willingly grant what they alledge, but I fay withall, that it will not from thence follow, that it is no fin at all. For if Men are obliged by the divine Law to a pious, and innocent temper, as well as not to fwerve from it in their actions, the want of that happy temper, or the having a contrary one will be as much the transgression of a Law, as the want of the same piety in their actions. Which will confequently devolve the whole force of that Objection upon the supposition of there being no such Law of God, which requires the former temper, or which therefore Original Sin can be thought to be a transgression of. But as I have already made it appear in some meafure

fure, that there is in truth fuch a Law, as requires a pious, and innocent temper, fo I shall now endeavour to strengthen it by some more particular proofs, and by answering those exceptions, that are made a-

gainst it.

In order to the former whereof we are to know, that as the Law we fpeak of must be supposed to have been given to Adam, that too not only in his private, but publick capacity, and as he may be thought to have been the reprefentative of all Mankind (there being no other Law, which can be fuppos'd to concern us, before we come to be in a capacity to apprehend, and obey it) fo I shall endeavour to make it appear first, that there was fuch a Law given to Adam, and then that it was given to him not only in his private, but publick capacity, and as he may be thought to have been the representative of all Mankind. Now that there was a Law given to Adam, requiring a pious, and innocent temper, as well as the preferving that piety and innocency in his actions, will

will need no other proof than God's creating him in it, and the love he may be supposed to bear unto it. For as we cannot think God would have ever intrusted such a Jewel with Adam, if it had not been his intention that he should preserve, and exercise it, so much less, when the holiness of the divine Nature persuades his love to it, as well as the declarations of his word. For what were this, but to make God indifferent what became of his most excellent gifts, which no wife person; and much less so hearty a lover of them can be supposed to be? If therefore there can be any doubt concerning the Law we speak of, it must be as to its having been given to Adam in his publick capacity, and as he may be suppos'd to have been the reprefentative of all Mankind. Which I shall endeavour to evince first by shewing what I mean by his publick capacity, fecondly by shewing that Adam was fet in fuch a capacity, and thirdly that the Law we speak of was given to him as confidered in By the publick capacity of Adam I mean fuch a one, whereby as he M 2

was design'd to be the Father of all Mankind, fo God made him a kind of Trustee for it; In order thereunto both giving him what he did for their benefit, as well as his own, and obliging him for their fakes, as well as his own, to fee to the prefervation of it, and act agreeably to it. Which if he did, his Posterity as well as himself should have the benefit thereof, and God's favour together with it, but if not, forfeit together with him what God had so bestow'd upon him, and incurr the penalty of his displeasure. Now that Adam was fet in fuch a capacity (which is the fecond thing to be demonstrated) will appear from the Scriptures making him the cause of all Men's death by his offence, and disobedi-For the effects of another difobedience being not otherwise chargeable upon any Man, than as that other may be suppos'd to be appointed to act for him; If the effects of Adam's disobedience were to fall upon all his Posterity, he also must be supposed to have been appointed to act for them, and confequently to have been fet in that publick

lick capacity, whereof I speak. Which will leave nothing more for us to shew upon this Head, than that that Law, which requires a pious and innocent temper was given to Adam in that capacity. But as we can as little doubt of that, if his contracting a contrary temper was as fatal to his Posterity, as to himfelf; So that it was, will need no other proof than his producing the like temper in them, and that temper's proving as deadly to them. The former whereof is evident from what I before faid to shew, that Original Sin had its beginning from Adam, the latter from S. Paul's (p) calling (p) Rom. 7. it a Body of Death, or a Body that brings it: The Genitive Case (q) among the Hebrews, and Hellenists, loc. being usually set for such Adjectives, as betoken a causality in them; Even as the Savour of Death is us'd for a deadly one, or that which bringeth death, and the Tree of Life for a life-giving one, or that which was apt to produce, or continue it,

I deny not indeed (that I may now pass to those Exceptions that are commonly made against it) that it

M 3

may feem hard to conceive how Adam should be set in such a capacity, as to involve all mankind in happipiness, or misery, according as he either continued in, or fell from that integrity, wherein God created him. I deny not therefore, but that it is equally hard to conceive how God should give him such a Law, the observation, or transgression whereof on his part should redound to the account of his Posterity. But as every thing, that is hard to be conceiv'd, is not therefore to be deny'd, if it be otherwise strengthen'd with fufficient proofs; So it would be consider'd also, whether it be not much more hard to conceive, how God fhoul! berwife involve Infants, and Chitaren in those calamities, into which they often fall, efpecially in National Judgments: It being certainly more agreeable to the divine Justice, to conceive those to have some way, or other offended, and consequently thereto to have fallen under the displeasure of it, than to conceive them to fuffer it without any offence at all. For why then should we not think, especially when the

the Scripture hath led the way, that God oblig'd them in Adam to a pious, and innocent temper, and which they losing in him, they became obnoxious with him to the fame fad of his displeasure? And though it be true, that there is this great imparity between the cases. that the effect of God's displeasure upon occasion of Original Sin is made to reach to eternal misery, as well as to a temporal one, whereas the cafe we before instanc'd in concerns only a temporal punishment: Yet as they do thus far agree, that a punishment is inflicted, where there is no actual fin to deserve it, which is sufficiently irreconcileable with the understanding we otherwise have of the divine Justice; So that great imparity may be much abated by confidering, that God hath provided a Plaster as large as the Sore, feven by giving his Son to dye for all Mankind) and appointed the Sacrament of Baptism to convey the benefit of it. For as the confequents of Original Sin will be thereby taken off from fo many Infants at least, as are admitted to that Sacrament, fo that mercy of his

M 4

to those, and the assurance we have from the Scripture of his giving his Son to dye for all may perswade us to believe, that though he hath not reveal'd the particular way to us, yet he hath some other way to convey the benefit of that death to those, who are not admitted to the

other.

But it will be faid it may be (which is a no less prejudice against the being of Original Sin) that all fin, to make it truly fuch, must have the confent of the will of those, in whom it is, as well as be the transgression of a Law. A thing by no means to be affirm'd concerning that, which we call Original Sin, because not only contracted before we had a being, and therefore also before we had fo much as the faculty of willing, but moreover conveyed to us, when we had neither reason to apprehend it, nor any power in our wills either to admit, or reject it. And indeed how altogether to take off the force of that Objection is beyond my capacity to apprehend, or fatisfie the understandings of other Men : Because as I cannot fee how any thing can be a fin, wheih

which hath not also the consent of the will of those, in whom it is, so I am as little able to conceive how Original Sin should have the consent of ours, either when it was first contracted, or when it was transmitted to us. But as I am far less able to conceive how Infants, and Children should come to be so severely dealt with without any offence at all, or therefore without having fome way, or other confented to one; So I think first, that that difficulty may well be laid in the ballance against the other, yea alledged as a bar to the supposed force of it. For why should my inability to apprehend how Infants, and Children could confent to Original Sin, prevail with me to deny the being of it, when a far greater inability to apprehend how the fame persons should come to be fo feverely dealt withal without it, doth not prevail with me to deny that severe usage of them? Neither will it avail to fay (which is otherwife confiderable enough) that we have for the belief of this last the testimony of our senses, which is not to be alledged as to the other. For

For the question is not now whether the severe usage of Infants, and Children may not more reasonably be believ'd, than their Original Sin, upon the account of the greater evidence there may be of it; But whether we can any more deny the Original Sin of Infants, and Children upon the account of our inability to apprehend, how they should consent unto it, than we can deny the fevere usage of the same persons upon the account of our inability to apprehend, how they should come to be fo dealt with without the other. Which that we cannot is evident from hence, that we are equally at a loss in our apprehensions about the one, and the other, that I fay not also more at a loss about the latter, than about the former. And indeed, as we find it necessary to believe many things notwithstanding our inability to apprehend how they should come to pass, and ought not therefore to deny the being of any one thing upon the fole account of that inability; So our apprehensions are fo fhort as to the modes of those things, of the being whereof we are most

most affured, that it will hardly be deemed reasonable to insist upon the fuggestions of them, against the affirmations of the Scripture: Partly because of the Authority of him, from whom it proceeded, and partly because we cannot so easily fail in our apprehension concerning the due sense of the affirmations of it, as in the deductions of our own reafon concerning the things affirmed; Nothing more being required to the understanding of the one, than a due confideration of the fignification of the words, wherein they are expressed; whereas to the right ordering of the other, there is requir'd a due understanding of the Nature of those things about which we reason, which is both a matter of far greater difficulty, and in many cases impossible to be attain'd. Whatever difficulty therefore there may be in apprehending how Original Sin could have the consent of those, in whom it is supposed to be, and consequently how it should be truly and properly a fin; Yet ought not that to be a bar against our belief of it, if the Scripture hath represented it as fuch,

fuch, and which whether it hath, or no, I shall leave to be judg d by what I have before observed from it.

From fuch Objections, as are level'd more immediately against the being of Original Sin, pass we to those which impugne the derivation of it from Adam, and from whom we have affirmed it to proceed. Which Objections again do either tend to shew, that it had its Original from something else, or that it cannot be suppos'd to have its Original from Adam, An opinion hath prevail'd of late years, that that, which we call Original Sin, took its rife from the fins of particular Souls in some praxistent estate, and from those evil habits, which they contracted by them. And certainly the opinion were reasonable enough to be embrac'd, if the praxistence of Souls were but as well prov'd, as it is speciously contriv'd. For, that suppos'd, it would be no hard matter to give an account of the rife of that Corruption, which is in us, nor yet of God's afflicting those on whom no other blame appears: That corruption, as it is no other than what particular

particular Souls have themselves contracted, fo making them as obnoxious to the vengeance of God, as any after fins can be supposed to do. But do they, who advance this hypothelis, think the plaufibleness thereof a sufficient ground to build it on? Or are problems in Divinity no other way to be determin'd, than those of Astronomy, or other such conjectural Arts are? I had thought that for the resolution of these we ought rather to have had recourse to that word of God, which was defign'd to give us an understanding of them, to have examin'd the feveral affertions of it. and acquiesced in them, how difficult foever to be apprehended. had thought that we ought to have done so much more, where the Scripture professeth to deliver its opinion, and doth not only not wave the thing in question, but speaks to it. Which that it doth in the present case will need no other proof than the account it gives of the Original of Mankind, and then of the Original of Evil. For as it professeth to speak of Adam not only as created by God, but as appointed by him(r) to give being by the way of (r) Gen.1.28. natural

natural Generation to all, that after him should replenish the Earth (which how he should be thought to do, if he were only to be a means of furnishing them with a Body. who had the better part of their being before, is past my understanding to imagine) fo it professeth to fpeak of the same Adam as one by whom fin, and death (f) enter'd into the World, as well as the perfons of those, on whom it seizeth. And can there then be any place for a precarious hypothesis about the Original of Mankind, or the evils of it? Can there be place for advancing that bypothesis not only beside. but against the determinations of the Scripture? Do not all fuch hypotheses proceed upon the uncertainty of the matter, about which they are converfant? Do they not come in as a relief to the understandings of Men. where they cannot be fatisfied any other way? But how then can there be place for fuch a one, where the Scripture hath determin'd? How can there be any place even for the most specious, and plaufible? For as that cannot be supposed to be uncertain, which the

(f) Rom.5.
12.
1 Cor. 15.21,

the Scripture hath determin'd; So no plaufibility whatfoever can come in competition with the determinations of God, fuch as those of the Scripture are. But fuch it feems is the restlesness of some Men's minds. that if they cannot satisfie their scruples from what the Scripture hath advanced, they will be fetting up other Hypotheses to do it by. Wherein yet they are for the most part so unlucky, as to advance fuch things themselves, as have nothing at all of probability in them. For who can think it any way probable, that, if mens Souls had an existence antecedent to their conception in the Womb, they should not in the least be conscious of it, nor of any of those things, which were transacted by them in it? Is it (as one hath observ'd, who feems to have been the first broacher of it in this latter Age) is it, I say, for want of opportunity of being reminded of their former transactions, as it happens to many, who rife confident that they slept without dreaming, and yet before they go to bed again recover a whole feries of reprefentations by fomething that occurr'd

curr'd to them in the day? But who can think, when the Souls of Men must be supposed to carry in them the fame evil tendencies, and inclinations, that they should never light upon any one thing, which might bring back to their minds what they had formerly transacted, or but so much as that they had a being antecedent to their present one? For whoever was fo forgetful of his dreams, as not to remember he was fometime in a dreaming condition, vea that he actually dreamed in it? Is it fecondly (as the fame Learned Man goes on) by a defuetude of thinking of their former actions, and whereby it fometimes comes to pass. as he there observes, that what we have earnestly meditated, labour'd for, and pen'd down with our own hands, when we were at School, becomes fo loft to our memories, that if we did not fee our own handwriting to it, we should not acknowledge it to be our own? But doth this come home to the prefent case? Doth it persuade such a forgetfulness in the Souls of Men, as not only not to remember their par-

particular actions, but not so much as that they were in a condition to act any thing, or acted any thing under it? For though a Man may forget the particular exercise he did at School, yet can any Man (though he flept an Age, and never fo much as dream'd in all that time of being at School, or any other thing, be fupposed, if he awoke in his right wits, to forget he was sometime in such a place, and performed some exercises in it? Is it lastly by means of some distemper, that happens to the Soul by coming into an earthly Body, and by which the foremention'd perfon conceives the Soul may fuffer in its memory, as we fee it fometime doth in its present state by casualties, and difeases, yea so far as to make the person forget his own name? But though the Soul should be supposed to fall into such a forgetfulness by entring into a body (as we fee it is a long time before it comes to exercife its respective faculties) yet is there any reason to think it should continue in it after it hath gotten above the infirmities of the other, yea fo far as to reason with that clearness, N where-

wherewith this Author doth in masny things, and with great plaufibility in all others? For though Men may happen to be fo ftricken by a difease, as to forget even their own names, yea have undoubtedly fuffered in that nature; yet is there no evidence from flory that I know of. or indeed prefumption for the fuppolition of it, that though the parties did again recover the free use of their faculties, yet they were unable to look back to their priftine state, or call to mind any of the paffages thereof. So much more specious. than strong are the reasons that Author alledgeth to shew the Soul to be in a natural incapacity to call to mind its pristin state, and actions. And yet if they prov'd what they intended, they would hardly make it credible, that it should be without all knowledge of them: God, who thrusts it down into its present state by reason of its former errours, being likely enough to bring them to its mind, though it should be otherwife ignorant of them. Otherwife he should neither make it sensible of its own guilt, and his choofing thus

thus to punish it, which is one supposed end of his thrusting it down, nor careful to break off from it. which is another. And I shall only add, that as we cannot therefore be in any great danger from those Objections, which pretend to derive Original fin from another principle; So shall we not now be much incommoded by the force of those Objections. which profess more directly to impugn the derivation of it from Adam. For as those Objections are principally founded upon the incompetency of Adam to involve all mankind in the guilt of his transgression, so I have not only made it appear already, that Adam was no way incompetent for that purpose, because appointed by God as the representative of all mankind, but faid enough; though not to answer, yet to filence what is objected against it from the supposed want of our consent to his transgression. Which will leave nothing more for us to do, than to confider what is objected against the means, we have before affign'd of the conveying of that Original Sin whereof we speak. But as I have

have not been positive in affigning the particular means of its conveyance, and must therefore be the less concern'd to answer what is objected against them; So I shall oppose to all those Objections the affurance we have from the Scripture of our having it in us from our Conception, and Birth, yea contracting it from those fleshly, and unclean persons, from whom we are descended: That, as it is enough to shew that it is conveyed to us by the same general means, by which our very nature is, fo making it at least probable that it pasfeth from them to us together with our Souls, and from thence diffuseth it felf unto our Bodies. And how far a probability fo founded ought to prevail against all the Arguments, which are oppos'd to the traduction of Souls, especially when the Scripture feems to favour that traduction alfo, will be no hard matter for him to judge, who shall consider on the one hand the shortness of our own reasonings, and on the other what difficulties attend the Creation, and Infusion, as well as the traduction of Souls. For as those very dif-

difficulties will oblige us to fit down after all with a probable affent in this affair, so the shortness of our own reasonings to guide that affent rather by probable testimonies of Scripture, than by probable arguments from Reason: Because as we are more assur'd of the truth of those testimonies, than we can be of the truth of any of those arguments, which we ground our selves upon in this affair; So we cannot fo eafily fail in our apprehensions concerning the other; Nothing more being requir'd toward the apprehending the force of the former, than the due consideration of the fense of the words, wherein they are expressed, whereas to the apprehending of the force of the latter we must have a clear knowledge of the nature of those things, about which they are conversant, which is certainly a matter of far greater difficulty, and wherein therefore we may more easily mistake. Only if what is faid in this particular may not be thought to be fatisfactory, because rather a bar to what is objected against the traduction of Souls

(and confequently of Original Sin) than any direct answer to it; I shall defire those, who are disfatisfi'd with it, to give fuch an answer, as they themselves demand to what is obiected by the other party against the immediate Creation, and infusion of them: It feeming not fo easie to imagine (that I may not now press them with any other inconveniencies) that God should create a Soul on purpose to infuse it into fuch incefluous conceptions, as he himfelf cannot but be thought to abhor. For my felf, as I can with equal eafe digest the traduction of Souls with all its inconveniencies, or rather acquiesce in that evidence, which the Doctrine of the Scripture, and the fimple nature of a generation do feem to fuggeft; So I shall hardly think it reasonable to quit it, till they, who affert the Creation of Souls, free it from the former inconvenience, and other fuch difficulties, wherewith it is alike encumbred. For till that be done, the traduction of Souls will not only be of greater probability, but serve more more clearly to declare how that corruption, which our first Parents contracted, passed from them unto their Children, and so on to succeding Generations.

N 4 PART

under stands to do no have store of a consequence of the consequence o

PART IV.

Of the things fignified by Baptism on the part of God, or its inward and spiritual Grace.

The Contents.

The things signified by Baptism are either more general, or particular:
More general, as that Covenant of Grace, which passeth between God, and Man, and that body of Men, which enter into Covenant with him; More particular, what the same God doth, by vertue of that Covenant, oblige himself to bestow upon the Baptized, and what those Baptized ones do on their part undertake to perform. These latter ones proposed to be considered, and entrance made

made with the consideration of what God obligeth himself to bestow upon the Baptized, called by the Church. An inward, and spiritual Grace, Which inward, and spiritual Grace is shewn to be of two forts, to wit, such as tend more immediately to our Spiritual, and eternal welfare, or Juch as only qualifie us for those Graces, that do So. To the former fort are reckon'd that inward, and Spiritual Grace, which tends to free us from the guilt of sin, called by the Church forgiveness of That which tends to free us from the pollution of fin, called by our Catechism A death unto it; And that, which tends to introduce the contrary purity, and bath the name of . New birth unto righteousness. To the latter fort is reckoned our union to that Body, of which Christ Je-(us is the Head, and by means whereof he dispenseth the former Graces to us. Each of these resum'd, and considered in their order, and shewn to be, what they are usually still, the in-ward, and spiritual Graces of Baptism, or the things signified by the outward visible Sign thereof.

UT to return to that, from which I have diverted, even the things signified by the outward visible sign of Baptism, which are either more general, or particular: More general, as that Covenant of Grace, which passeth between God, and Man, and that Body of Men, which enter into Covenant with him; More particular, what the fame God doth by vertue of that Covenant oblige himself to bestow upon the Baptized, and what those Baptized ones do on their part make profession of. Of those more general things I have given fome account already *, and shall have occasion, as * Of the Sa-I go, to add yet farther light to craments in them; I will therefore proceed forth- Part 2. with to the confideration of the more particular ones, fuch as are on the part of God an inward and Spiritual Grace, and on the part of the Baptiz'd an abrenuntiation of their former fins, and a refolution to believe, and act, as Christianity obligeth them to do.

Now

Now the inward and Spiritual Grace of Baptism is of two forts, to wit, fuch as tend more immediately to our spiritual, and eternal welfare, or fuch as only qualifie us for those Graces, that do so. Of the former fort again is that inward and Spiritual Grace, which tends to free us from the guilt of fin, best known by the name of forgiveness, or that which tends to free us from the pollution of fin, called by our Catechism a death unto it, or lastly that which tends to introduce the contrary purity, and hath the name of a new birth unto Righteousness. Of the latter fort is our union to that body, of which Christ Jesus is the head, and by means of which he difpenfeth the former Graces to us. For that each of these is fignified on the part of God by the outward visible sign of Baptism, and consequently is a part of its inward and Spiritual Grace, will appear we descend to particulars, which therefore I will now set my self to do.

To

To begin with those inward, and Spiritual Graces, which tend more immediately to our spiritual, and eternal welfare; Among which as I affign'd the first place to forgiveness of sin, so I shall make it my business to shew first, that that is a Grace which is fignified by the outward visible sign of Baptism, and Secondly give a more particular account of the nature of that forgiveness, which I have said to be signi-

fied by the other.

That forgiveness of sin is a Grace fignified by the outward visible fign of Baptism, will appear if these two things can be made out; First, that the outward visible sign of Baptism hath a relation to the forgiveness of fin, and Secondly that it hath the relation of a fign unto it. For if the outward visible sign of Baptism hath the relation of a fign to the forgiveness of sin, Forgiveness of fin, as being its correlatum, must be look'd upon as fignified by it. That the outward visible sign of Baptism hath a relation to the forgiveness of fin, S. Peter will not fuffer us to doubt. because admonishing the Jews to + AR. 2.38;

* Of the Sacraments in eneral,

(4) Acts 22.

be baptized for the remission of sins; And as little doubt can there well be of its having the relation of a fign unto it, which is the only thing we are at present to consider : Partly, because Baptism hath been before * shewn to have been intended by God as a fign of many things, and why then not as a fign of that forgiveness, to which I have shewn it equally to relate, and partly because it is propos'd to us as washing away (a) the fins of those, that are sprinkled with it. For as if the Water of Baptism be to be considered as welling away Men's fins, it must be upon the account of its being a fign of that inward Grace thereof that doth fo, as which alone can be a just foundation of attributing such a property to it (for neither can the Water of Baptism put away Men's fins, but by means of that Grace, which it conveys, nor with any propriety even so be faid to walk them away, but upon the account of the likeness there is between its own natural property, and that of the divine Grace, which will make the Water of Baptism a sign, or representation

tation of it)So if the Water of Baptifin be to be confidered as malhing away Mens fins, it will equally lead us to believe, that it ought to be confidered in particular as a fign of that forgiveness, whereof we speak : Partly, because that forgiveness is an undoubted correlatum of Baptism, and partly because the term of walling away Men's fins is most frequently made use of to denote the forgiveness of fins, and that outward fign therefore, to which fuch a washing is attributed, intended as a fign of the forgiveness of them. I conclude therefore that whatever elfe may be thought to be excluded from the fignification of the Water of Baptism, yet it hath the relation of a fign to the forgiveness of fin; and that forgiveness therefore to be look'd upon as one of the Graces fignified by it. And I shall only add, that this was always fo acknowledg'd in the Church, that even the Pelagians themselves, though they deny'd all fin in Infants, and confequently left no place for the forgiveness of fin in them, yet did allow of their being Baptiz'd for the remiflion

192

sion of sins according to the rule of the Universal Church, and the tenour of the Gospel, as appears from the words of Pelagius himself (b), and those of his Scholar Calestius.

(b) Vid. Voff. Hift. Pelag. li. 2. part. 2. Thef. 4.

There being therefore no doubt to be made, that forgiveness of sin is one of those inward, and Spiritual Graces, which are fignified by Baptism, it may not be amils for the farther clearing of that Grace, to fay fomewhat concerning the nature of it, both as to those fins it pretends to affoile, and the measure of its forgiveness. But because I have else-(c) Expl. of where (c) given no contemptible account thereof, and shall have occasion to refume it, when I come to shew givenels of what farther relation the outward visible fign of Baptism bears to this, and its other inward Graces; I shall content my felf to observe at prefent, that as that forgiveness, which is fignified by it, hath a relation to all our past sins, so it relates in particular to Original Sin, and confequently tends alike to the cancelling of its Obligation. Witness not only the Churches applying this fign of it to Infants, as that too, as was before

the Creed. Art. of The for fins.

before noted for the remission of sins. but S. Paul's making that quickning (d), which we have by Baptism, (d) Ephel. 1. to fave us as well from that wrath, which we were the Children of by Nature, as from our own vain conversation, and the punishment there-For other fense than that as the generality of the Latins (e) did not (e) vid voff. put upon the Apostles words, so nei-Pelag. Hist. ther is there indeed any necessity for, Thes. 2. or all things confidered any probability of : Partly because the Apostle might intend to aggravate the finfulness of Men's former estate from their natural, as well as contracted pollutions (even as David aggravated his (f) where he deplores his (1) Plat st. Adultery, and Murther) and partly because there is sufficient evidence from other Texts of Men's being finful by their birth, as well as practice, and which as S. Paul's Children of wrath by Nature is more strictly agreeable to, so is therefore more reasonable to be interpreted of. And I have infifted fo much the longer both upon this particular, and the Text I have made use of to confirm it, because as Original Sin is one main

main ground of Baptism, and accordingly in this very Catechism of ours represented by our Church as fuch, fo she may feem to make use of that very Text to evidence the being of Original Sin, and the efficacy of Baptism toward the removing of it: Her words being, that as we are by nature born in sin, and the Children of wrath, so we are by Baptism made

the Children of Grace.

From the Grace of forgiveness of fin pass we to that, which tends to free us from its pollution, entitled by our Church a death unto it. A grace, which as the corruption of our Nature makes necessary to be had, so cannot in the least be doubted to be fignified by the outward fign of Baptism: It being not only the affirmation of S. Paul that all true

(8) Rom. 6. Christians are dead (g) to sin, but that they are buried by Baptism (h) into it, that they are by that means

planted together into the likeness (i) of

Christ's death, and that their Old Man, (k)--6. even the Body of sin, is crucified (k)

with Christ in it. For as that, and

(1) Col. 2.12. other fuch like Texts (1) of Scripture are a sufficient proof of Baptilm's

tisms having a relation to our death unto fin, as well as unto the deathof Christ; So they prove in like manner, that it had the relation of a fign unto it, and confequently makethe former death to be one of the Graces fignified by it: Because not only describing the Rite of Baptism under the notion of a death, and Burial, which it cannot be faid to be. but as it is an image of one, but representing it as a planting of the Baptized person into the likeness of that death of Christ, which is the exemplar of the other. For what is this but to fay, that it was intended as a fign, or reprefentation of them both, and both the one, and the other therefore to be look'd upon as The fame is to be fignified by it. faid upon the account of those Texts of Scripture, which represent the Water of Baptism as mashing (m) (m) Acts 22. away the fins of Men, or (if that expression may not be thought to be full enough, because referring also to the forgiveness of them) as fan-Etifying, and cleansing (n) the Church, (n) Eph. 3.26; to the end it may be holy, and without blemish. For as that shews the

Water of Baptism to have a relation to that grace, which tends to free the Church from finful blemishes, to it shews in like manner, that it was intended as a fign of it, and of that inward cleanling, which belongs toit: There being not otherwise any reason why the freeing of the Church from fin by means of the Baptifinal water should have the name of cleanfing, but upon the account of the analogy there is between the natural property thereof, and the property of that Grace, to which it relates.

One only Grace remains of those, which tend more immediately to our spiritual welfare, even which our Catechism entitles a new birth unto righteousness. Concerning which I Thall again shew (because that will be enough to prove, that it is a Grace fignified by it) that the Water of Baptilin hath a relation to it, and then that it hath the retion of a fign. I alledge for the former of these S. Paul's entitling it (0) Tit. 3.5. the laver of regeneration (0), as our Saviour's affirming (p) before him, that we are born again of that, as well as of the Spirit; For the latter what

(p) Joh. 3.5.

hath

hath been before shown in the general concerning its having been intended as a fign of the things, to which it relates. For if the Water of Baptism were intended as a sign of those things, to which it relates, it must consequently have bin intended as a fign of our new birth, because by the former Texts as manifeltly relating to it. But fo we shall be yet more fully perswaded, if it carry in ita representation of that new birth, to which it doth relate. Which that it doth will need no other proof, than its being an apt representation of that spiritual purity, which the Soul puts on at its first conversion, and wherein indeed its new birth (9) confifts. For fo it is (1) Eph. 4. in part by that cleanling quality, which is natural to it, and which induceth a purity in those bodies, to which it is applied; But especially by the use that was formerly made of it toward the washing of new-born Infants from those impurities, which they contracted from the Womb: This last serving to set forth the first beginnings of our spiritual purity, as well as the former doth that 0 3

purity it felf. And I shall only add. that as a refurrection from the Dead is also a kind of new Birth, and accordingly fo represented by the Scriptures themselves (witness their entituling our Saviour upon the account of his Refurrection the first-begotten

(r) Col. 1.18. (r) from the dead, yea making that Refurrection of his to be a comple-

(f) Ads 13. (t) Pfal. 2. 7.

3. tion (f) of that fignal prediction of 33. God (t) Thou art my Son this day have I begotten thee) So the same Scriptures do not only represent our new birth unto Righteousness under the notion of a Resurrection, but fufficiently intimate that whether Birth or Refurrection to be a Grace fignified by it: Because not only admonishing us to look upon our selves (*) Rom. 6.11. as a live unto God by Baptism (w),

as well as dead unto fin in it, but as (19) Col,2 12. rifen (10) with Christ therein through the faith of the operation of him, who raised him from the dead. For how come Men by reason of their being alive unto God through Baptism to be affirmed to have rifen with Christ in it, but upon the account of that Baptism of theirs being a representation of that new life, or birth,

birth, which we have by the means of it, as well as of the Refurrection of our Saviour?

I will conclude what I have to fay concerning the inward, and Spiritual Grace of Baptism, when I have taken notice of that, which though it do not immediately tend: to our spiritual, and eternal welfare, yet qualifies us for those Graces. that do ; Even our union to that Bodr, of which Christ Jesus is the Head, and by means of which he difpenfeth the other graces to us. For that that is also fignified by the outward visible fign of Baptisin, will appear if we consider that visible fign as having a relation to it, and then as having the relation of a fign. Of the former whereof as S. Paul will not fuffer us to doubt. because affirming all (x) whether (x) 1 Cor.12. Hews or Gentiles to be baptiz'd into that body; So there will be as little doubt of the other from the general defign of its inftitution, and from what S. Paul intimates in the former place concerning it: That expression of being baptized into the body of Christ importing our being

ing received by Baptism within it, as the body of the Baptized is within those waters, wherein he is immersed. Which will consequently make that Rite a true, and proper sign of Our Union to Christ's Body, and that union therefore a thing

fignified by it.

Such are the things, which are hy Baptism signified on the part of God, and Christ, or (that I may speak in the language of our Church) the inward, and spiritual Graces thereof. It remains that I also shew the things figuified by it on the part of the Baptized, even an Abrenunciation of their former fins, and a refolution to believe, and act, as Christianity obligeth them to do. But because both the one and the other of these will be more clearly understood, if they be hand led apart, and whatfoever is to be known concerning each of them laid as near together as may beil Therefore having begun to entreat of the inward and Ipiritual Grace of Baptism, I will continue my Difcourse concerning it, and accordingly go on to enquire most farther

Grace of Baptism.

201

ther relation the outward visible sign of Baptism hath to its inward and Spiritual Grace, or Graces, and first of all to Forgiveness of sin.

PART

the selected the outward visible fign of Broukin have to its inward and Spiritual Greek or Graces, and infe of all to Forger of of his.

PART

PART V.

Of Forgivenels of fin by Bap-tilm.

The Contents:

Of the relation of the sign of Baptism to its inward, and spiritual Grace, and particularly to Forgiveness of sin; Which is either that of a means fitted by God to convey it, or of a pledge to assure the Baptized person of it. The former of these relations more particularly considered, as that too with respect to Forgiveness of Sin in the general, or the Forgiveness of all Sin what soever, and Original Sin in particular. As to the former whereof is alledged first the Scriptures calling upon Mentabe Baptiz'd for the remission, or forgiveness of sin, Secondly the Church's

Church's making that Forgiveness a part of her Belief, and Dostrine, Thirdly the agreeing opinions or practices of those, who were either unfound members of it, or Separatifts from it, And Fourthly the Calumnies of its enemies. The like evidence made of the latter from the Scripture's proposing Baptism, and its Forgiveness as a remedy against the greatest guilts, and in special against that wrath, which we are Children of by Nature. From the premises is sbewn, that the sign of Baptism is a pledge to assure the Baptized of Forgineness, as well as a means firsed by God for the conveying of it.

figure of Baptisin hath, beside that of a sign, the relation of a means sitted by God to convey the inward, and spiritual Grace, and of a pledge to affure the Baptized person of it; So being now to entreat of its relation to that of the Forgive-ness of sins, we must therefore consider it under each of them, and first as a means fitted by God for the conveying of it.

In the handling whereof I will proceed in this method; First, I will shew that it hath indeed such a relation to Forgiveness in the general, Secondly, that it hath such a relation to the Forgiveness of all sins whatsoever, and particularly of Original.

That the outward visible fign of Baptism hath such a relation to Forgiveness in the general, will appear

from the enfuing Topicks.

I. From the plain, and undoubted Doctrine of the Scripture.

II. From the confentient Doctrine,

and Belief of the Church.

III. From the whether practices, or opinions of the unfound members of it, or Separatiffs from it.

IV. From the Calumnies of the

open Enemies thereof.

I. What the Doctrine of the Scripture is in this affair cannot be unknown to any, who have reflected upon what S. Peter faid to those Jews, who demanded of him, and his fellow Apostles what they should do to avert the guilt they had contracted.

cted, and what Ananias faid to Paul, who was remitted to him upon the fame account. For to the former S. Peter made answer among other * Ads 2. 38. things that they should be baptiz'd * for the remission of sins; Which fhews what Baptism was intended for, and what therefore, if they were duly qualified, they might certainly expect from it : To the latter Ananias, that he should arise, and be t-22,16. baptized, t and wash away his sins. Which effect as it cannot be thought to referr to any thing but the preceding Baptism, and therefore neither but make that Baptism the proper means of accomplishing it; So can much less be thought to exclude, or rather not principally to intend the washing away the guilt of them: Partly because (as was before observ'd) that is the most usual sense of washing away fins, and partly because most agreeable to the disconsolate condition Paul was then in, as well as to the foregoing declaration of S. Peter.

II. To the Doctrine of the Scripture subjoyn we the consentient Doctrine, and belief of the Church,

as which though it cannot add to the Authority of the other, yet will no doubt conferr much to the clearing of its fense, and of that Doctrine, which we have deduced from it. Now what evidence there is of fuch a confent will need no other proof than the Doctrine of her Creed +, and the use she made of the simple Baptism of Infants to establish the Commuagainst the Pelagians the being of nion-serv. that Original Sin they call'd in queftion. For how otherwise could the Church call upon Men to declare that they believ'd one Baptism for the remission of fins? Yea, though the thought it otherwise necessary to inculcate Baptism, as well as remission, and the single administration of it, as well as either. For befide that both the one, and the other might have been declar'd by themfelves, as well as in the tenour, wherein they are now exhibited; Had it not been a thing otherwise certain that remission of sins was an effect of Baptism, to have subjoyn'd it to Baptism, as it is now, would have been a means to render it uncertain, and confequently all the hopes of a Chri-

(a) Voff. Hift. Pelag. li. 2. Part 2. Artithef. 4. Christian together with it. Again, if there had been any the least doubt in the Church concerning this relation of Baptisite, I mean as a means to convey remission of fins to the Baptized party; How could she have made use of the simple Baptism (a) of Infants to establish against the Pelagians the being of that Original fin, which they call'd in question? For that Argument of hers proceeding upon the supposition of remission of fins by Baptilin, as that again upon the Supposition of formething to be remisted in the party baptized; which in Infants could be no other than that Original Sin, which for afferted; If Baptilin had not been certainly intended for the remission of fins, that argument of hers had been of no force, yea rather weak. ned, than any way strengthened that Original Sin, which she maintain'd: Especially, when it was a like certain, and accordingly reply'd by the Pelagians, (b) that Baptism had other uses, and for which it might be suppos'd so have been conferred upon Infants, though they had nothing at all of finful in them. III

(b) Voff. ibid.

III. But beside the suffrage of the Church of God, which both publish'd this Doctrine in her Creed, and argued others from it; It is farther to be observ'd, that those, who were none of the foundest members of it, nor indeed as yet perfett ones, confirm'd it by their opinions, and practices, as they also did in some meafure, who yet separated from it in this affair. Witness, for the former, their deferring their Baptism to their death beds; Whether (as the Fathers (c) sometime charg'd them) that (c) Tertul. de they might fin fo much the more Panitent. c. \$. fecurely in the mean time, or (as I rather think for the most part) because they were not well affur'd of the like efficacious means for the forgiveness of them. For which soever of these two were the occasion of that delay, manifest it is even from thence, that they had a high opinion of the forgiveness of sin by Baptism, but much more from the hazard they ran of going out of the World without it, and the contrariety of that their delay to the practice of the first Christians (d), as well as to the (1)Acts 2.41. fenti-

(e) Cod. Eccl. Univ. can. 57.

fentiments (e) of their own times enheerning it. It being not to be thoughty that Men of ordinary prudence would run upon to great an irregularity, as well as danger, unless they valso believ'd, that if they briphed to obtain Baptifm, they should obtain together with it so plentiful a forgiveness, as would make ample amends for the other. And though we cannot to reasonably expect the like evidence from Hereticks, and much less from those, whose business was in a great measure to depretiate the value of Baptism, as it is certain the Pelugians was; Yet as even they (as was before (f) observ'd) allow'd the Baptizing of Infants into the fame rule of Faith with those of riper years, and confequently into

(f) Expl. of Bapt. Part 4.

Part.2. Thef.4.

remission of fins; So they denyed (e) Vost. Hist. not, as to Men of riper years (g), Pelag. li. 2. that Baptiss was a first or the state of the stat that Baptism was efficacious toward it, and that as they were baptized into the belief of remission of fins, fo they receiv'd that remission by it.

> . IV. In fine, fo notorious as well as prevalent was the Doctrine of forgiveness of fin by Baptism, that the

adver-

adversaries of the Church, and of Christianity took occasion from thence to calumniate them for it, and made that Doctrine of theirs one of their greatest crimes. Of which, to omit others, we have a remarkable proof in Julian (h), who makes Constanti- (h) Orat. cui us, or rather Christianity in him, p. 53. thus to befpeak the World. Whofoever is a corrupter of Women, or a Murtherer, or impure, or abominable; let him come with confidence. For having wash'd him with this water, I will make him presently clean; And though he be afterward guilty of the like crimes, yet I will take care to cleanse him from them, if he will but smice his breast, and knock his head. The former part whereof is a manifest allusion to Baptism, and its effects, the latter to the penitential discipline of the Church. And it ought the rather to be taken notice of, because as it bears witness to that forgiveness of sin by Baptism, which hath been hitherto our design to advance, fo it will contribute in part toward the proving, what comes next in order; even

tit. Kaloupes

That P 2

That the outward visible fign of Baptism hath that relation, whereof we speak, to the forgiveness of all sins whatfoever, and particularly of Original Sin: There being little doubt as to the former of these, if (as Christianity is there made to speak) adultery, and murther were wash'd away by the waters of it. But so that Christianity it self taught, as well as was affirmed by this its adversary to do, is not only evident from what hath been elsewhere said (i) concerning its tendering forgiveness of sins indefinitely, and particularly in the laver of Baptism, but from the quality of those criminals, whom it invited to forgiveness by it. For thus we find it to have done those Jews (k), whom it before charg'd (1) with the murther of our Lord, and him in particular (m), who elsewhere (n) confesseth himself to have 13. been a blasphemer, a persecuter, and injurious, yea was intent upon that execrable employment at the time he was first invited to forgiveness. But therefore as I cannot either conceive, or allow of any other abatement in this forgiveness, than that which is

(i) Expl. of the Creed. Art. The forgiennels of thes.

(1) Acts 2.

(1) ----23. (m) Acts 22.

(n) 1 Tim, 1.

to be made upon account of the fin against the Holy Ghost, and which what it is, hath been elsewhere (o) (o) Expl. of declar'd; So I shall need only to take Art. The notice of the reference it hath to that forgiveness, Original Sin, which is the unhappy parent of all the rest. Not that there can be any great doubt as to the pardon of that, where it appears that the most heinous actual fins are pardoned, but because Baptism hath been thought by our Church (p) to (p) See the have a more peculiar reference to it, Office of Bapt. and because if it can be prov'd to chisin, have fuch a reference to its forgiveness, it will be of fignal use to shew the necessity of baptizing Infants, in whom that fin doth alike predo-Now though it be hard to find any one Text of Scripture, where that forgiveness, whereof we speak, is exprelly attributed to Baptism; Yet will it not be difficult to deduce it from that (q), which I have be- (1) Epil. 2. 1. fore shewn to entreat of our becoming or. the children of wrath by nature, as well as by the wickedness of our conversations. For opposing to the corruption, or rather deadness, which accrues by both, the quickning we have tozether

(r) Col. 2. 12.

(f) Eph. 2. 5-8. (t)--14-17. (a)----16

together with Christ, and which quickning he elsewhere (r) as expresly affirms to be accomplished in us by Baptisin; Affirming moreover that quickning to bring (alvation (f), and prace (t), and reconciliation (u) (for to he discourseth of it in the following Verses of that Chapter) he must confequently make that quickning, and the means of it to tend to the forgiveness of both, and particularly of natural corruption: Because as that quickning is by him oppos'd to both, so it must in this particular be look'd upon as more peculiarly opposed to the latter, because that is more peculiarly affirm'd to make Men the Children of wrath, and vengeance.

Such evidence there is of the outward visible sign of Baptism being a means fitted by God to convey that forgiveness, whereof we speak; And we shall need no other proof than that of its being also a pleage to affure the baptized person of it. For since God cannot be supposed to fit any thing for an end, which he doth not on his part intend to accomplish by it; He, who knows himself to par-

take of that, which is fitted by God to convey forgiveness of sin, may know alike, and be assured as to the part of God of his receiving that forgiveness, as well as the outward means of its conveyance. For which cause in my Discourse of its other inward, and spiritual Graces I shall take notice only of that outward, and visible sign as a means sitted by God to convey them, because its being also a pleage may be easily deduced from it.

P 4 PART

ly Resisse.

PART VI.

Of Mortification of fin, and Regeneration by Baptism.

The Contents.

Of the relation of the sign of Baptism to such inward, and spiritual Graces, as tend to free us from the pollution of sin, or introduce the contrary purity; And that relation shewn to be no less than that of a means, whereby they are convey'd. evidenced as to the former, even our death unto sin (which is also explain'd) from such Texts of Scripture, as make mention of our being baptiz'd into it, and buried by Baptism in it, or from such as describe us as cleansed by the washing of it. The like evidenc'd from the Same Scripture concerning the latter, even

our new birth unto righteousness; As that again farther clear'd as to this particular by the consentient Doctrine, and practice of the Church, by the opinion the Jews had of that Baptism, which was a Type, and exemplar of ours, and the expressions of the Heathen concerning it. The Doctrine of the Church more largely insisted upon, and exemplified from Justin Martyr, Tertullian, and S. Cyprian.

Have confidered the fign of Baptisin hitherto in its relation to Forgiveness, that Grace, which tends to free men from their guilt, and is for that purpose convey'd by Baptism to us; I come now to consider it in its relation to those, which either tend to free them from the pollution of fin, best known by the name of a Death unto it, or to introduce the contrary righteou(nefs, and is call'd a new birth unto it. Where again I shall shew in each of them, that as the outward work of Baptifin hath the relation of a fign unto them, fo it hath equally theirelation of a means fitted by God to convey

convey them, and where it is duly receiv'd, doth not fail to introduce them.

To begin (as is but meet) with that, which hath the name of a Death unto sin, because sin must be first subdu'd, before the contrary quality can be introduc'd; Where first I will enquire what we are to understand by it, and then what evidence there is of the fign of Baptism's being fit-

ted to convey it.

For the better understanding the former whereof we are to know, that as Men by the corruption of their nature are inclined unto fin, and yet more by the irregularity of their conversations, so those inclinations are to the persons in whom they are, as a principle of life to a living Creature, and accordingly do both dispose them to act sutably thereto, and make them brisk, and vigorous in it. Now as it cannot well be expected, that where fuch inclinations prevail, Men should pursue those things, which piety, and vertue prompt them to, fo it was the business of Philosophy first, and afterwards of Religion, if not wholly to destroy those inclinations, yet at least

to fubdue them in fuch fort, that they should be in a manner dead. and the persons, in whom they were, fo far forth dead also; They neither finding in themselves the like inclinations to actual fin, nor hurried on by them, when they did. How little able Philosophy was to contribute to so blessed an effect is not my bufiness to shew, nor indeed will there be any need of it, after what I have elsewhere * faid concerning the necessity of the divine Grace in order to it. But as Christianity doth every where pretend to the doing of it, and (which is more) both represents that effect under the name of a death unto sin, and compares Men's thus dying with that natural death, which our Saviour underwent, fo it may the more reasonably pretend to the producing of it, because it also pretends to furnish Men with the power of his Grace, to which fuch an effect cannot be suppos'd to be disproporti-The only thing in question as to our prefent concernment is. whether as the outward work of Baptism hath undoubtedly the relation of a fign unto it, fo it hath al-

* Expl. of the Creed. Art.
I believe in the Poly Ghout.

fo the relation of a means fitted by God for the conveying of it, and what evidence there is of that relation.

Now there are two forts of Texts. which bear witness to this relation, as well as to its having that more confessed relation of a sign. Whereof the former entreat of this Grace under the title of a death unto fin, the latter of a cleansing from it. Of the former fort I reckon that well known place to the Romans, where S. Paul doth not only suppose all true Chrifrians to be dead to fin, and accor- + Rom. 6. 2. dingly argue from it the unfitness of their living any longer therein, but affirm all, that are baptized into Jesus yea to be buried by Baptism (a) into (a)----4, it; to be planted together (b) by (b)-----5, that means in the likeness of Christs death, and to have their old Man (c), (d) ---- 6. or the body of fin crucified with him. For shall we say that S. Paul meant no more by all this, than that the defign of Baptism, and the several parts of it was to represent to us the neceffity of our dying, and being buried as to fin, and that accordingly all, that are baptized into Christ, make profefprofession of their resolution so to do; but not that they are indeed buried by Baptism as to that particular. But beside that we are not lightly to depart from the propriety of the Scripture phrase, which must be acknowledged rather to favour a real death, than the bare signification of it; That Apostle doth moreover affirm those, whom he before described as

(d)---7.18. dead, to be freed (d) from fin, yea so (e)----18. far (e) as to have passed over into

which they had been delivered: Which supposed (as it may, because the direct affirmation of S. Paul) will make that death, whereof we speak, to be a death in reality, as well as in figure, and accordingly (because Men are affirmed to be baptized into it) shew that Baptism to be a means of conveying it, as well as a representation of it. Agreeable hereto, or rather yet more express is that of the same Apostle to the Co-

that of the same Apostle to the Co-(8)Col.2.11. lossians (g) though varying a little from the other, as to the manner of expression. For having affirmed them

through

through Christ to have put off the body of the sins of the flesh by a circumcifion not made with hands, and consequently by a spiritual one, he yet adds (left any should fancy that spiritual Circumcision to accrue to them without some ceremonial one) in the Circumcifion of Christ, even that Baptism, which, conformably to the circumcifion of the Jews, he had appointed for their entrance into his Religion by, and wherein he accordingly affirms, as he did in the former place, that they were not only buried with him, but had rifen together with him by the faith of the operation of God, who raised him from the dead. From whence as it is clear, that the putting off the body of the sins of the flesh (which is but another expression for a death unto them) is though accomplished by a spiritual Grace, yet by fuch a one, as is conveyed to us by Baptism, so it becomes yet more clear by what he adds concerning Men's rifing with him in the same Baptism, even to a life contrary to what they had before deposited, through the faith of the operation of God. For as we cannot conceive of that

that rising with Christ as other than a real one, because there would not otherwise have needed such a faith, as that, to bring it about; So neither

therefore but think the like of that death, which it presupposeth, and confequently that that Baptism, to which it is annex'd, is a means of conveying it, as well as a representation of it. But so we may be yet more convinc'd by fuch Texts of Scripture, as speak of this death unto fin under the notion of a cleanfing Of which nature is that fo often alledged one (h) concerning 26, 27. Christ's fanctifying, and cleansing his Church with the washing of water by the word. For as it appears from what is afterwards subjoyn'd as the end of that cleansing, even that the Church might not have any spot, or wrinkle, but that it should be holy, and without blemish; As it appears, I fay, from thence, that the Apostle fpeaks in the verse before concerning a cleanfing from the filth of fin, which is but another expression for the putting off the body of sin, or a death unto it; So it appears in like manner from S. Paul's attributing

ting that cleanling to the washing of water, that the outward sign of Baptisin is by the appointment, and provision of God, a means of conveying that spiritual Grace, by which that cleanling is more immediately effected, and that death unto sin

procur'd.

From that death unto sin therefore pass we to our new birth unto righteousness, that other inward, and spiritual Grace of Baptism, and the complement of the former. A Grace of whose conveyance by Baptism we can much less doubt, if we consider the language of the Scripture concerning it, or the Doctrine, as well as practice of the Church; The opinion the Jews had of that, which seems to have been its type, and exemplar, or the expressions even of the Heathen concerning it.

For what less can the Scripture be thought to mean, when it affirms us to be born of the water (i) of it, as (i) Joh 3.3. well as of the spirit, yea so, as to be as truly spirit (k), as that, which is (k)——6. born of the flesh, is flesh? What less can it be thought to mean, when it entitles it the laver of (i) Regenera (1) Tic. 3.3.

tion, and which is more, affirms us to be faved by it, as well as by the renewing of the Holy Ghost? What less, when it requires us to look up-

(m) Rcm.6. on our selves as alive (m) unto God (n) by it, as well as buried (n) by it into the former death, or (as the same Apostle elsewhere expresseth it) as

(0) Col. 2.12. rifen with Christ in it (0) through the faith of the operation of God, who raised him from the dead? In fine.

what less when it affirms us to be (p) Eph. 5.26. fanctified with the washing (p) of it, as well as it elsewhere doth by the influences of God's Spirit. For thefe expressions shew plainly enough, that Baptisin hath its share in the producing of this new birth, as well as the efficacy of God's Spirit; 'And confequently that it is at least the conveyer of that Grace, by which it is more immediately produc'd.

And indeed as, if men would come without prejudice, they would foon fee enough in those expressions to convince them of as much as I have deduced from them; So they might fee yet more (if they pass'd so far) in the doctrine, and language of the Church, to confirm them in that In-

terpretation

terpretation of them. For who ever even of the first, and purest times spake in a lower strain concerning Baptism? who ever made less of it, than of a means, by which we are regenerated? I appeal for a proof hereof to their fo unanimously (q) un- (q)Sce Part 2: derstanding of Baptism what our Saviour spake to Nicodemus concerning the necessity of men's being born again of water, and of the spirit. For as all men whatfoever interpret that of our new birth unto righteousnes, and, so far, as the spirit of God is concerned in it, of the means, by which it is produc'd; So they must therefore believe, that if the Antients understood it of Baptism, they allotted that its share in it, and consequently made it at least a conveyer of that Grace, by which this new birth is produc'd. I appeal farther to the particular declarations of some of the most eminent among them, and which whosoever shall seriously confider, will wonder how it should come to fall back to a naked, and ineffectual fign. For Justin Martyr (r) speaking concerning those, who (r) Apolog. 2: had prepar'd themselves for Baptism, p. 93, 94. affirms Q, 2

affirms them to be brought by the brethren to a place, where water is, and there to be regenerated after that way of regeneration, wherewith they them-Which what it was, Celves mere. and of how great force he afterwards shews, by affirming them thereupon to be wash'd in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, as that too conformably to what our Savi ur spake concerning the necessity of men's being born again, To what the Prophet Isaiah meant, when he said, Wash you, make you clean, put away wickednesses from your Souls; And in fine, to procure their deliverance from that, whether natural, or habitual corruptions they were under the power of. For these things shew plainly enough, that as he spake of the Baptismal regeneration, so he spake of it too as a thing, which procur'd, as well as figur'd the internal regeneration of them. To the same purpose doth Tertullian discourse, and particularly in his Tract de Baptismo; Witness his calling it, in the very beginning thereof, that happy Sacrament of our water, wheremith being wash'd from the faults of our present blindness, we are freed

freed into eternal life His affirming presently after, that we the leffer fishes, according to that ixous, or greater one Jesus Christ, are born in the water, neither can continue Safe, unless we abide in it; That we oughtnot to wonder, if the waters of Baptism give life, when that Element was the first, that brought forth any living creature; That, as the Spirit of God moved at the beginning upon the face of waters, so the Same Spirit of God, after the invocation of his name, doth descend from Heaven upon those of Baptism, and having sandified them from himself gives them a power of Sanctifying others. For these and the like passages shew as plainly, that that Author look'd upon the outward fign of Baptism as contributing in its place to the production of our new birth, or fanctification, as well as to the representation of it. Butof all the Antient Fathers, that have entreated of this affair, or indeed of that Sacrament, which we are nower upon the confideration of, there is no one, who bath spoken more, or more to the purpose than S. Cyprian, or whose words therefore will be

more fit to confider. Only, that I may not multiply testimonies without necessity, I will content my self with one fingle one, but which indeed for the fulness thereof will serve instead of many, and be moreover as clear a testimony of our dying unto sin by Baptism, as of our regeneration by it. For when (faith he ()) I lay in darkness, and under the ob-Scurity of the Night; When uncertain and doubtful I floated on the Sea of this tolling World, ignorant of my own. life, and as great a stranger to truth, I thought it exceeding difficult, as the manners of Men then were, that any one should be born again, as the divine mercy had promis'd, and that being a imaced to a new life by the laver of falutary water, he should put off that which he was before, and whilft the frame of his body continued the same, become a new Man in his beart, and mind. For hom (faid I) is it possible, that that should be suddenly put off, which either being natural in now grown hard by the natural situation of the matter, or contracted by a long custom hath been improved by old Age, &c. Ta these, and the like purposes I often discours'd

(f) Epift. ad



cours'd with my self; For as I was at that time entangled with many errours of my former life, which I did not then think it was possible for me to put off; So I willingly gave obedience to those vices, that stuck to me, and through a despair of better things, I favour'd my evils, as though they had been my proper, and domestick ones. But after that through the affistance of this generating water the blemishes of my former life were wash'd off, and my mind thus purged had a light from above poured into it; After that the second birth had chang'd me into a new Man through the force of that Spirit, or breath, which I suck'd in from above; Then those things, which were before doubtful, became exceeding certain, and manifest; things, which were before shut, were then laid open, and dark things made light. Then that; which before seemed difficult, appear'd to help, rather than hinder, and that; which sometime was thought impossible, as possible to be done. So that it was not difficult to discern, that that was earthly, which being carnally born did before live obnoxious to faults, and that that began to be God's, which the Holy Ghost Q 4

nom.

now animated. You your felf verily know, and will as readily acknowledge with me, what was either taken from, or bestow'd upon us by that death of crimes, and life of vertues. Which as it is an illustrious testimony of the force of Baptisin in this particular, and with what reason we have affirm'd it to be a means of procuring the former death, and birth; So I have the more willingly taken notice of it, because it comes so near even in its expresfion to what our Catechism hath represented as the inward and spiritual Grace thereof: There being no great difference between a death of crimes, and life of vertues, which is the expression of that Father, and a death unto fin, and a new birth unto righteousness, which is the other's. And I shall only add, that as the Doctrine of the Church must therefore be thought to bear fufficient testimony to Baptism's being a means of our regeneration; So its practice is in this particular an-Iwerable to its Doctrine, and though in another way proclaims the same thing. Witness what hath been elsewhere observ'd concerning its

(t) See Part 3. giving Milk, and Hony (t) to the new

new Baptized person, as to an Infant new-born, its requiring him presently after Baptism to say (#) Our Father, &c. as a testimony of his Prayer in the Son-ship by it; And in fine its ma- words Dur king use of the word regenerated to fignifie Baptized: As is evident for the Greek Writers from what was but now quoted out of Justin Mar- De vità B. tyr, and from Sulpitius Severus a- Martinic. 1. mong the Latins. Which things put Needum tamen together make it yet more clear, that christo agebat whatever it may be now accoun- quendam bonis ted, yet the Church of God ever rismatis candilook'd upon the Sacrament of Bap- datum. tism as a mean of our internal regeneration.

And indeed as it is hard to believe. that it ought to be otherwise esteem'd, confidering what hath been alledg'd either from Scripture, or the declarations of the Church; So it will appear to be yet harder, if we consider the opinion of the Jews concerning that, which may feem to have been both it's Type, and exemplar. For as I have made it appear before (w), (w) Part s. that even they were not without their Baptism, and such a one, as was moreover intended for the same general

(w) Expl. of the Lord's Father.

regeneratus in



(x) Ibid.

general ends, for which both their Circumcifion was, and our Baptism is; So I have made it appear also (x), that the persons so baptiz'd among them were accounted as persons newborn, yea so far, that after that time they were not to own any of their former relations; In fine, that that new birth was look'd upon as fo fingular, that it gave occasion to their Cabalistical Doctors to teach, that the old foul of the Baptized Profelyte vanifhed, and a new one fucceeded in its place. For if this was the condition of that Type of Christian Baptism, how much more of the Antitype thereof? Especially when it is farther probable (as hath been also (y) noted from the discourse of our Saviour to Nicodemus) that he both alluded in it to that Baptism of theirs, and intimated the conformity of his own Baptism to it in that particular.

(y) Part 2.

And though after fo full an evidence of this relation of Baptism to regeneration it may seem hardly worth our while to alledge the expressions of the Heathen concerning it; Yet I cannot forbear, for the conformity

formity thereof to the present argument, to take notice of one remarkable one of Lucian (z), who brings in one Triepho thus discoursing after his scoffing manner. But when (faith he) that Galilean lighted upon me, who had a bald Pate, a great Nose, who ascended up to the third Heaven. and there learn'd the most excellent things (meaning, as is suppos'd S. Paul) he renewed us by water, made us to tread in the footsteps of the blessed, and deliver'd us from the Regions of the ungodly. In which paffage under the title of renewing men by water he personates the Christian Doctrine concerning their being regenerated, or renewed by

Baptism, and accordingly makes it

the fubject of his reproach.

(3) Lucian. Philopatr. p. 999. Ήνίχα δί μοι γαλιλαί Φ ένεπιχεν αναφαλαντίας, δλήρpivo, is reitor oueardr वेद्द्वियानिका, में स्वं भारत спициадихыs, S Уват Ф र्भविद वेश्वसर्वाशतका, देद नवे की μαχάρων ίχνια παρεισώσε, zi in Al doeson zwen ที่ผลิร เมขารถผือนาง.

PART

-

9

PART VII.

Of our Union to the Church by Baptism.

The Contents.

Of the relation of the sign of Baptism to our Union to the Church, and that relation shewn to be no less than that of a means, whereby that Union is made. This evidenc'd in the first place from the declarations of the Scripture, more particularly from its affirming all Christians to be baptiz'd into that Body, as those, who were first baptiz'd after the descent of the Holy Ghost upon the Apostles, to have been thereby added to their company, and made partakers with the rest in the Apostles Doctrine, and fellowsbip, in breaking of Bread, and in Prayers. The like evidence of the same Union to the Church by Baptism from the declarations of the Church it self, and the consequences of that Union shewn to be such, as to make that also to be accounted one of the inward, and spiritual Graces of that Baptism, by which it is made.

Aving thus given an account of fuch inward, and spiritual Graces of Baptism, as tend more immediately to our spiritual, and eternal welfare; It remains that I fay somewhat of that, which though of no fuch immediate tendency, yet is not without all, because qualifying us for the reception of the other: That Union I mean, which we thereby obtain to Christ's mystical body the Church, and by which we, who were before Aliens from it, as well as from God, and Christ, become members of the Church, and partakers of the feveral priviledges thereof. Which Union if any Man scruple to reckon among the inward, and fpiritual Graces of Baptism properly so call'd, I will not contend with him about it.; Provided he also allow of it as a thing

af

pl

thing fignified by it on the part of God, and Christ, and as moreover a Grace, and favour to the person, on whom it is bestow'd. For as that is all I ask at present concerning the Union now in question; So what I farther mean by it's being an inward, and spiritual Grace shall be clear'd in the process of this Difcourse, and receive that establishment, which it requires. In order whereunto I will shew the outward and visible sign of Baptism to be a means, whereby that Union is made, and then point out the consequences of that Union.

That the outward visible fign of Baptism is in the nature of a means, whereby we are united to the Church, will appear if we reflect upon what the Scripture hath faid concerning it; or the agreeing declarations of the For what else (to Church it self. begin with the former) can S. Paul * be thought to mean, where he * 1 Cor. 12. affirms all whether Jews, or Gentiles, or of what ever other outward differences, to have been baptiz'd by one spirit into one body? For as it is plain from the foregoing † verse, †-----12.

or verses, that S. Paul entreats of Christ's Body the Church, and confequently that the baptizing here spoken of must be meant of our Baptizing into it; So it is alike plain from what it was defigned to prove, as well as from the natural force of the expression, that it was set to denote also our being united to it thereby. For as we cannot impose a more natural sense upon Baptized into that body, than our being receiv'd by Baptism into it, as the Baptized person is within the water, and confeqently some way united to it; So much less if we consider what it was in-12. tended to prove, even * that Christians, how many soever, are but that one body. For how doth their being baptiz'd into it prove them to be that one Body, but that that vifible fign, by which they are fo, unites them to one another, and to the whole? A meer fign of Union, though it may shew what the partakers thereof ought to be, yet being no just proof of what they are; and much less (as S. Paul seems to argue) that they are so by the means of it. And indeed, as it will therefore

fore be hard to make the fign here spoken of to be any thing less than a means of our Union to the Church; So especially, if we consider what is elsewhere faid concerning those, who first after the descent of the Holy Ghost, were baptized in the name of Christ: S. Luke not only affirming of those new baptiz'd ones, that they were added to (a) the Apostles, and their other (a)Acs 2.41: company, (which he afterwards expresent (b) by added to the Church) (b) ----47. but that they were partakers (c) (c) ----42. with the rest in the Apostles Doctrine, and fellowship, and in breaking of bread, and in Prayers. For this shews their having an interest in all the priviledges of that Body, and therefore much more their being united to it. But fo it appears that the Antient Church esteemed of it, whose determination is of the more force, because it is only about the supposed means of Union to its own Body; Justin Martyr, after he had fpoken of the baptizing of fuch as offer'd themselves to the Christian Church (which he himself expresseth, when so baptiz'd, by ouy man Delukves, or conjoyned with themselves) affirming

affirming that they were immediately brought where the brethren were affembled, there to partake with them of the common Prayers, that were then offer'd up, of the kiss of peace, and of the Lord's Supper. Which laft particular I have the more confidently represented the new baptized perfons as then admitted to, because the fame Father doth not only make no distinction between them, and the other brethren in it, though he fubjoyns the business of the Eucharist to the former Prayers, and kis of peace, but affirms the same Eucharist presently after to be lawful to none to partake of, but those that believ'd their Doctrine, receiv'd the laver of regeneration, and livid as Christ delivered. For as he intimates thereby the admission of those that believ'd, and were baptiz'd, if they were also such as liv'd as Christ deliver'd, which the new baptized were in reason to be accounted, till they had given proof to the contrary; So there is reason to believe from the use of Excommunication in the Church, that that addition of living as Christ deliver'd was not made to bar

bar the new baptized from it, till they gave farther proof of fuch a life, but to intimate the exclusion of those, who, after they had been admitted to it, liv'd otherwise, than Christianity prescrib'd: So making the perfons excluded the unbaptiz'd, or ill living Christians, and consequently the contrary thereto admitted. I deny not indeed, that the Rite of Confirmation did very antiently come between the receiving of Baptism, and the Eucharift. I deny not farther, because of what was before (d) quoted from (d) Expl. of Justin Martyr concerning the partithe Sacrament in general, cular Prayer that was made for the Part 4. new baptized person, that the substance thereof was then in use, even prayer for grace for him to live as he had but now profess'd. But as the defign of Confirmation appears to have been to procure for the new baptiz'd a more plentiful effusion of God's Graces, which is no intimation at all of his having been before no perfect Christian, or not perfectly united to the Church, fo Baptism may for all that be look'd upon as the means of our Union to the Church, which is all, that I have R 2

(r) De Bapt.

taken upon me to affert. For the farther evidencing whereof I will in the next place alledge a passage of Tertullian (e), which will, though not so directly, prove the same thing; That I mean where he faith, that when the profession of our faith, and sponsion of our salvation are pledged under the three witnesses before spoken of, there is necessarily added thereto the mention of the Church, because where those three are, even the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, there is also the Church, which is the body of the Three. For as it is evident from thence, that Men were even from his time baptiz'd exprelly into the belief of the Church, as well as into the belief of the Trinity; So it will not be difficult to inferr, that they were also baptiz'd into the unity thereof, and made members of the Church by it: Because as he affirms the Trinity to become Sponfors of our Salvation in Baptism, as well as either Witnesses, or objects of our Profession; So he affirms those Sponfors to be as it were emboyed in the Church, and confequently to exert their faving influences with-

within it, which supposeth Men's being united to it by Baptism in order to their partaking of the falutariness of the other. And indeed, though in that form, which our Saviour prescrib'd (f) for Baptism, there (f) Matt. 29. is mention only of baptizing in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, yet inasmuch as he prescrib'd that very form for the making of Difciples (g) by, he must consequently be (e) toid. suppos'd to propose it for the aggregating them to that body, which he had already begun to frame, and making them alike members of it.

There being therefore no doubt to be made of the outward visible fign of Baptism being a means of our Union to Christ's mystical body the Church, it may not be amis (if it were only to manifest the great advantages thereof, as to that particular) to shew the consequences of that Union. Which we shall find in the general to be a right to all those priviledges, which Christ hath purchas'd for it; More partienlarly to the partaking of its Sacred Offices, and in, and through the means of them of those inward, and spiritual Graces, which those Sacred Offices

were intended to procure, or convey. For every member of a Society being by that membership of his entituled to all the priviledges, that belong to it as fuch; He, who becomes a member of Christ's Body, the Church (as every Man, who is united to it by Baptism, doth) must in his proportion be entituled to all those priviledges, which Christ hath purchas'd for it, and particularly to the priviledge of partaking of its facred Offices, and in, and by the means of them, of those inward and spiritual Graces, which those sacred Offices were intended to pro-Which how great cure, or convey. a commendation it is of our Union to that Body, and confequently of that Baptism, by which it was made, will need no other proof than the Scripture's affuring us that Christ is (b) Eph. 5.23. the Saviour (h) of that Body, and the promises it makes to those Prayers (i), that are made by it, and to that Eucharift (k), which is administred in it; The purport of those promises being no other, than the granting what is asked by it, and particularly all those benefits, which Christ's Body

(i) Mart. 18. 19, 20.

() Matt. 26. 26, &c.

Body and Blood were intended for the procuring of. And if these be. as no doubt they are, the consequences of our union to the Church by Baptism, yea so far (as I have elsewhere (1) flewn) that they are not (c) Expl. of ordinarily to be attained out of it; the Cre That very Union may not impro- The forperly be stil'd one of its inward and givenels of fpiritual Graces, because leading to those, that are most strictly such, and indeed the only ordinary means of obtaining them.

the Creed,

PART R 4

PART VIII.

Of the Profession that is made by the Baptized person.

The Contents.

The things signified by Baptism on the part of the baptized brought under consideration, and shewn from several former discourses (which are also pointed to) to be an Abrenunciation of fin, a present belief of the Doctrine of Christianity, and particularly of the Trinity, and a resolution for the time to come to continue in that belief, and act agreeably to its Laws. Our resolution of acting agreeably to the Laws of Christianity more particularly consider'd, and the Profession thereof shewn by several Arguments to be the intendment of the Chri-Atian flian Baptism. What the measure of that conformity is, which we profess to pay to the Laws of Christianity, and what are the consequences of the Violation of that Profession.

Aving thus consider'd the things signified by Baptisim on the part of God, and Christ, best known by the name of its inward, and spiritual Graces; It remains that I give the like account of the things signified by it on the part of the baptiz'd, or the things the baptized person maketh Profession of by it. Which, as was before observed, are an Abrenunciation of sin, a present belief of the Dostrine of Christianity, and a resolution for the time to come to continue in that belief, and act agreeably to its Laws.

That something is signified by Baptisim on the part of the baptized, as well as on the part of God, and Christ, is evident from what was before said * concerning the nature of a Sacrament in the general, and Baptism's † relating as well to something to be perform'd by the baptiz'd, as to those divine Graces, or pri-

* Of the Sacrament in general, Part 2. † Ibid.

priviledges which we expect from

That the things before mentioned are the things thus fignified by it, hath also been elsewhere * declar'd, and fo, that it would not be difficult for a diligent Reader to fatisfie himself from thence. But because what I have said concerning them lies dispersedly in my former Discourses, and would therefore require more pains, than I ought to impose upon my Reader, to find it out, and apply it to the present Argument; I will here, though very briefly, consider them anew, and if not (which would be too tedious) repeat all that I have faid concerning them, yet point him as I go to the particular places, from whence they may be fetch'd.

That Abrenunciation of sin is one of the things signified by Baptism is not only evident from the manner of administring it in the Primitive times, and which together with the form of their Abrenunciation, and our own are set down in my account of the Preliminary questions, and answers of the Catechism, but also from the

* Expl. of the Apostles Creed.

Of the Profession that is made

252

the general tenour of that Religion. which Baptism is an initiation into; That requiring the renouncing of all fin, and wickedness, and therefore fuppofing the baptized person to do fo, when he takes that Religion upon him. For which cause as an express Abrenunciation was heretofore requir'd, and continues fo to be to this very day; So it was fignified. as by other Rites, and particularly by the baptized persons putting off his cloaths in order to his Baptism, as putting off together with them the Old Man, and his deeds, so by the Rite of Baptism it self: He, who fubmits to that, implying thereby his looking upon sin as a Moral impurity, and which therefore for the future he would not have any thing to do with.

The second thing signified by Baptism on the part of the baptized is his present belief of the Doctrine of Christianity, more especially of the Doctrine of the Trinity. As is evident from that Baptism's being commanded by our Saviour to be made in, or into the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost.

For

For to be baptiz'd into the name of those persons importing the owning of those persons as our Masters (a), (a) Expl. and our felves as the Disciples of of the them; To be so baptized moreover 3 besseve in importing the owning of those per- the Boly fons as alike (b) Masters of us, and Choit. confequently, because the Father cannot be own'd in any lower relation, as partakers of the same divine Nature, and Authority; Lastly, to be fo baptiz'd importing the owning of them in particular by a belief of the Christian Doctrine, that being the most fignal instance of that Discipleship, we receive by it; The belief of the Doctrine of Christianity, and of the Trinity in particular must be look'd upon as fignified by Baptism on the part of the baptized, and those baptized ones confequently as making profession of that belief by it. For which cause as the rule of Faith, or the Creed (c) was given to those (c) Introd. to learn, who were willing to be concerning Catechifing, initiated into Christianity, so they oc. were particularly interrogated (d) as (d) Expl. of to their belief of the Articles thereof, Queft, and and then, and not till then baptiz'd Answers. into it, and the priviledges thereof.

The third and last thing signified on the part of the baptized is a re-Solution for the time to come to continue in the belief of Christianity, and att agreeably to its Laws. Both which will receive a sufficient confirmation from S. Peter's affirming Baptism to be the Answer, or stipulation of a good conscience toward God, and from what I have elsewhere (e) faid concerning it. For as it is evident from thence, that Baptism signifies on the part of the baptized a stipulation, or promise of somewhat to be done by him; So it will not be difficult to inferr from thence, that it fignifies also a stipulation, or promife to continue in that belief of Christianity, into which he is baptiz'd, and act agreeably to its laws. As will appear, whether we confider that stipulation as having a good con-Science toward God for the object of it (in which fense I should think S. Peter ought to be understood) or, as I find many others to do, as proceeding from Such a conscience. For a good conscience having a due regard to the feveral parts of that Religion, which it makes profession to espouse; He, who

(e) thid.

who with relation to Christianity stipulates from a good conscience, or makes that good confeience the object of his ftipulation, must consequently be thought to stipulate, or make a promife of answering the feveral parts of it, and therefore also (because they are parts of Christianity) of continuing in its Faith, and acting agreeably to its Laws. And hence, (as was before (f) observ'd) (f) Expl. this, and the other Institution of our crament in Religion had of old the name of Sa- general, craments, as importing a Vow, or promise to Christ of believing in him, or obeying him. And hence alfo, that the Antients argued (g) the (e) Ibid. unlawfulness of superinducing an humane, or military S'acrament upon a divine one, and answering to another Mafter after Christ. Which we shall the less need to wonder at, if we remember that that Baptism, whereof we fpeak, was copyed from the Baptism of the Jews (b), and particularly from (b) Expl. that of John the Baptist. For con- of Baptism, cerning the former of these it hath been observ'd (i), that those three (i) Ibid. men, that presided over it, lean'd over the baptized persons as they stood in

some of the more weighty, and lighter precepts of their Law. For what reason think we, but to let them know, that they were baptiz'd into the obedience of the one, and the other, and that they accounted that Baptism of their's as a Profession of it? And though we do not find the like affirm'd concerning the Baptism of John the Baptist, which because an extraordinary one, and immediately from Heaven, I have diftinguished from the other; Yet, which will come all to one, we find it entituled the Baptism of Repentance (k), and (which is more) that Baptist enjoining upon those Publicans (1), who came to be baptized by him, to exact no more than was appointed them, as upon those Souldiers, that came upon the like errand, to do violence to no man, to accufe no man falfly, and to be content with their wages: Such affirmations as these being pregnant proofs, that a refolution of living pioufly, and vertuoufly was a thing fignified on the part of the baptized, and that their taking upon them the former Baptisms was a profession of it. Now if that Profession

(E) Mark 1. 4. Acts 13.14. (1)Luk. 3.12, &c.

fession were the intendment of the former Baptisms, and particularly of that of John the Baptist, why not also of the Baptism of Christ? Especially, when John's Baptism of Repentance was to prepare men for the Kingdom of Christ, and to which therefore we may suppose a stricter piety to belong, and they, who were baptiz'd into that Kingdom; plung'd more deeply into the Profession of the other. I will conclude this affair, when I have added, that it appears from the Institution of Baptism, that the design, and end of it was to make Disciples (m) un- (m) Matt. 28. to Christ. For it appearing from other words of his, that they, and they alone can be his Disciples, who take up their Crofs (n), and follow him, (n) Luk. 14. for sake all (o) for him, and in fine abide (p) in his words; If the defign, and (a) I end of Baptism were to make men Disciples unto Christ, it must confequently oblige those, who take it upon them, to take upon them alfo the performance of the other, as to which that Discipleship obligeth them.

(p) Joh. 8. 31.

Now

(4) Expl. of the Lords Prayer in the words, Dur Father,

Now though therefore there canbe no great doubt concerning the baptized person's making profession of acting agreeably to the Laws of Christ, yet there may be as to the measure of that conformity to them, to which his Baptilmal Profession obligeth him; That imperfect state, wherein we are, and the baptized person's being from the beginning (q) taught to pray for the forgiveness of his Trespasses seeming to require an abatement of it; And because too I have more than once oblig'd my felf to enquire, what keeping of God's holy Will, and Commandments, is incumbent upon us from our Baptifmal Profession, and that Christianity, which it enters us into; Therefore for the farther clearing this part of our Baptifinal Profession, as well as for the answering my own obligations, I will now fet my felf to enquire, what the measure of that conformity is, which we profess to pay to the Laws of Christ, and what are the confequences of the violation of that Profession.

As concerning the former of these I shall not doubt to affirm it to be

adæ-

adaquate for the matter of it to the several species of those Laws, which Christianity obligeth us to; S. Paul having expresly told us (r), that (r) Tit. 2. it; that Grace, which bringeth Salvation, teacheth men to deny all ungodly, and worldly lufts, and to live righteoufly; godlily and soberly in the present World. I shall not stick to affirm, Secondly, that that Conformity, which we make profession of, ought to answer fo far as we can carry it, the feveral particularities of the Christian Laws, as well as the feveral species thereof: Less than that being not to be supposed to be the Profession of those, who make profession of a good Conscience toward God; A good Conscience, as such, prompting him in whom it is to conform fo far as he can to every particular of his Law, to whom he professeth an obedience. But neither Thirdly shall I stick to affirm, that that conformity, which we make profession of, ought to be fo entire, and full, as not to be interrupted at any time by a wilful violation of any Law, or a violation of them in scandalous instances; Such as those are, concern-S 2

(1)Gal. 5 216 ing which S. Paul hath affirm'd (), that they, which do such things, shall not inherit the Kingdom of God: He answering not the divine law, so far as he can, who proceeds to either of those, because the Grace of Baptism, with a moderate care, must be suppos'd to be of fufficient force to preferve men both from the one, and the other. Such I take to be the Conformity, which Christianity obligeth us to, and which confequently the baptized person must be suppos'd to make profession of. I would to God, that as all Christians make profession of such a one, fo their lives, and conversations were more answerable to it, than the experience both of our felves and others affures us it is. But as the contrary thereof is too apparent to need any farther proof, fo I think it therefore but reasonable, for the better awaking of those baptized ones, to fet before them in the next place the consequences of the violation of their profession.

Whereof the first, that I shall assign, is, that so far as they depart from that Profession of theirs, so far

forth

forth they fin against that very Baptism of theirs, which was intended for their recovery from fin, and against that faving Religion, into which it admits them; That Baptism, which enjoyns upon them the making profession of a good Conscience, enjoyning consequently the answering that profession by a sutable piety, as without which that Profession would be but an hypocritical one. From whence as it will follow, that there will be little reason to believe, that they shall enjoy the benefits of Baptisin, who answer not the Profession of it; So much less if we consider what that was, that made their Profession to be of any avail at the first, even the presumption it gave, that the person. that made it, would (as occasion offer'd it felf) give futable demonstrations of it. For if that presumption were the thing, which made the Profesfion of a good Conscience to be of any avail at the first; Those demonstrations failing, those benefits must be supposed to fail, which were collated upon the prefumption of them. But from thence it will

will follow Thirdly, that they, who answer not their former profession, can much less promise to themselves farther spiritual blessings here, or an interest in the other World; They, who could not be admitted to the first priviledges of Baptism but upon a prefumption of their future piety, being much less likely to be admitted to the partici-pation of others, after that prefumption appeareth to be null. It will follow Laftly, that they who answer not the Profession of Baptism by a piety futable to it, must consequently fail altogether of the benefits thereof, if that Christianity, into which it entred them, had not provided them of a remedy against the violations of their Profession. Which, though it will not make the case of those violatiors desperate, vet will shew it to be so dangerous, as to oblige all, who have a care of their Salvation, to prevent what they may fuch violations of it, or endeavour to repair them afterwards by a speedy, and severe repentance, and a more fixed, and fetled piety: Left, as it may fometime

time happen, they be cut off before they can make use of the remedy propos'd, or by reason of their former violations have not the grace given them to do it.

S 4 PART

.

PART IX.

Of the right Administration of Baptism.

The Contents.

After a short account of the Foundation of the Baptismal relation, and reference made to those places from which a larger one may be fetch'd; Enquiry is made touching the right Administration of Baptism, as therein again First, Whether Baptism ought expresty to be made in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, Secondly whether Schismaticks, and Hereticks are valid Administratours of it, Thirdly, to what, and what kind of persons it ought to be administred, Fourthly, Whether it may be repeated. The two first of these spoken to here, and and first, Whether Baptism ought to be expresty administred in the form propos'd. Which is not only shewn to be under obligation from the express words of the Institution, but answer made to those Texts, which seem to intimate it to be enough to baptize in the name of the Lord Jesus only. The Baptism of Schismaticks, and Hereticks more largely shewn to be valid, unless where they baptize into a counterfeit Faith, and the several objections against it answer'd.

Have hitherto entreated of the outward visible sign of Baptism, of its inward and spiritual Grace, or the things signified by it, and the farther relation that outward sign beareth to them. It follows that I entreat of the foundation of that relation, the Fourth thing propos'd to be consider'd.

Now as the Foundation of that relation hath been shewn * to be no other, than the Institution of Christ,

as that again not so much as deliver'd by him, as appli'd to that water in which it is subjected; So I

have in the same discourse said of so much

Expl. of the Sacrament in general, Part 2.

† Ibid. Part 2, 3.

a

bi

much concerning the Institution of this, and the application of that Inflitution to the outward visible sign thereof, that I shall need to fay the less here. It may suffice briefly to observe from thence, that when the Minister hath prepar'd the water of Baptism by a declaration of the end of its Institution, and by imploring the Holy Spirit on it, Christ, who hath promised to be with him in that ministration of his, gives it the relation of the Sacrament of Baptism, and consequently makes it apt to convey the feveral graces thereof to those, who are to partake of it. Which will leave little more for us to confider, as to the Sacrament of Baptism, than the right Administration of it, or what may without any violence be reduced to it.

Now there are Four things, which are especially to be enquir'd in order to the clearing of that, which is now

before us.

I. Whether Baptism ought expressly to be administer'd in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost.

II. Whe-

II. Whether Schismaticks, and Hereticks are valid Administratours of it.

III. To what, and what kind of persons it ought to be administred.

IV. Whether it may be repeated,

I. The ground of the first of these, even whether Baptism ought expresly to be administred in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghest, is not any the least doubt of those being the express words of the Institution, or of their not admitting, confider'd in themfelves, of any variation from it, but the accounts we have from the Scripture of the administration of that Sacrament either by the hands, or at the command of the Apostles, and other fuch inspired men: Those feeeming to intimate it to be enough to baptize in the name of the Lord Jesus, as comprehending within it an acknowledgement of the other two persons, and indeed of all other the fubstantial Articles of his Faith, in whose name we are so baptiz'd. For thus when those Jews, to whom S. Peter

t

t

11

al

ti

II

f

ħ

tl

W

0

0

k

H

S. Peter Preach'd on the day of Pentecost, were wrought upon so far, as to ask what they ought to do in order to their Salvation; S. Peter's anfwer was i that they should be bap + Acts 2. 38. tiz'd in the name of the Lord Jesus: Which accordingly we may believe to have been done by those, that gladly receiv'd the word, because it is afterwards (a) faid of them, that (a) -- 41. they were baptiz'd, that is, as one would think, in that, and that only name, which had been prescrib'd. Thus again it is faid (b) of those, (b)Acts 8.16. who had been baptiz'd by Philip at Samaria, that they were baptiz'd in the name of the Lord Jesus, without any the least hint of their being baptized in any other name: As in like manner (e), that S. Peter gave order (c) -- 10.47. for the baptizing of Cornelius, and his company, after that the Holv Ghost had by his preaching descended upon them. In fine thus we find, that the Disciples of Ephesus (d) were, (d) 19.5. who it feems till that time had not only no gifts of the Holy Ghost upon them, but not fo much as any knowledge, whether there were any Holy Ghost, or no. Which place is the

d

-

f

the more to be flood upon, because those Disciples having before so little knowledge of a Holy Ghost, one would think he that told the ftory of their taking upon them the Christian Baptism at the hearing of what was faid to them by S. Paul, should have express'd that Baptism of theirs by their being baptiz'd into the belief of the Trinity, and particularly of that Holy Ghoft, which they feem before to have been ignorant of. But as we are not lightly to think, nor indeed without an irrefragable reason, that those first Disciples of Christ made use of, or countenanc'd any other form of Baptilm, than what their Master had so clearly, and expresly prescrib'd; So there is nothing of any fuch moment in the places before alledg'd to perfuade their making use of, or giving countenance to any other. On the contrary the Text last mention'd, if taken in all its parts, feems rather to persuade those Disciples having been baptiz'd in the very words of the Institution, than only in the name of the Lord Jesus. For S. Paul asking, as by way of wonderment, unto w hat

what they had been before baptiz'd. if they had not (as they faid) fo much as heard of any Holy Ghoft, feems to intimate that all, that then receiv'd the Christian Baptism, could not but know from the very form of it, that there was fuch a thing as a Neither will it avail Holy Ghoft. to fay, as was before objected, that if that had been S. Paul's intention. or the certain form of Baptism, S. Lake, who tells the story, should in reason have expressed it by their being baptiz'd into the Trinity, and particularly into the name of him. whom they were before fo ignorant Because S. Luke's business was not fo much to give an account of the form of their Baptism, as to acquaint us, that whereas before they had been only baptiz'd into John's Baptism, upon their understanding from S. Paul, that John himself directed those, that came to it, to believe on him that should come after him, that is on Christ Jesus, they were then expresly baptiz'd into the Baptism of Christ, or (as S. Luke there expresseth it) into the name of Jesus Christ: So opposing the baptizing into

e

-

e

0

n

h

1

ne

0

p

-

f

t

into the name of Jesus Christ not to the baptizing in any other form. and particularly into the name of all the three persons, but to the Baptisin of fohn only, and as the name of Jesus Christ might discriminate their present Baptism from it. And though it be true, that the like is not to be faid as to the foregoing Texts, because there is no opposition in them between the Baptism of John, and that of Christ. Yet may a fair account be given, without supposing that to have been the form of Baptism, of the Scriptures expressing those primitive Baptisins by baptizing into the name of fefus only; Because our Saviour was the immediate Author of that Religion, into which those Baptisms were made, and the baptizing into his name therefore no improper expression of a baptizing into the whole of it, and into every part, and particle thereof. I will con-

* Επ' èré- clude this affair, when I have added

part 3π that as it appears from Justin Martyr *

cands of

हेरेका, यो विस्तार्गम ठिन्हें, यो नी तक मोहन्ड मेंस्क्रिंग 'शिनहें रहाडरी, यो जारणंस्क्री के बेरी है को के कि में शिक्षण बंधिक प्रशासिक सामिता. Apolog. 2. p. 54.

one

one of the Antientest Writers the Church hath, that Baptism was in his time administred in the name of the three persons; So all, that have mentioned the Creed, have represented it as a thing given to those, who were to be baptized, and into which therefore we are to think, that is men were not minutely, and particularly baptiz'd, yet they were at least into the capital Articles thereof.

II. It appearing from the premifes, that Baptism ought expresly to be administred in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, which is the first of those things we proposed to consider; Pass we on to enquire, who are valid Administrators of it, or rather whether Schismaticks, and Hereticks are. A question which will best be voided by considering the force of those Arguments, which the condemners of their Baptism have produc'd, and particularly which S. Cyprian their chiefest Champion hath. Now those are, that Schifmaticks and Hereticks, are by that their Schifma

Schism, and Heresie deprived of the

† Quis autem potest dare qued iple non habeat ? aut quomodo poteft Spiritalia agere, qui ipfe amiferit (piritum Sanctum ? Ad Fanuarium. Ep. 70.

* Nam cum dicimus, Credis in vitam aternam, & remissionem peccatorum per Sanctam Ecclefiam, intelligimus remiffionem peccatorum non nifi in Ecclefia dari, apud Hareticos autem ubi Ecclefia non fit non poffe peccatad.nitti. Cypr. ubi supra, o alibi passim.

* Sed & Baptizato quam preeem poteft facere Sacerdos Sacrilegus, & peccator : Cum feriptun fit, Deus peccatorem non au-dit, fed qui eum coluerit, & voluntatem ejus fecerit , illum sudit. Cypr. Ib.

* Vid. Cypr. ad Jubaian. Ep. 73.

Spirit of God themselves. and cannot therefore be supposed † to conferr it upon others. That Schifmaticks, and Hereticks, as fuch, are out of the Church, and confequently can neither themselves enjoy any priviledges that belong * to it, nor be in-Arumental tow rd the procuring of them for others; That by their Schism and Herefie they are finners † before God, and whom therefore we cannot suppose that God will hear for

other persons; In fine, that Hereticks in particular deprave that Faith *, into which Baptism is requir'd to be made, and confequently must be suppos'd to baptize into a a false, and counterfeit one. But how little force there is in these Arguments, as to the invalidating the Baptism of Schismaticks, or Hereticks, will appear upon a more narrow in-

spection into them.

For

For be it first that Schismaticks and Hereticks are by that Schism, or Heresie of theirs deprived of the Spirit of God themselves; Be it that they cannot therefore be suppos'd to conferr it upon others: Yet will it not from thence follow, but they may be valid Administratours of Baptism, and they, who receive it from them, receive the Spirit of God with it. Because that Spirit of God, which goes along with Baptism, is not conferred by them, but by him, whose Institution Baptism is, and consequently no way depending upon their having the Spirit of God themselves. All, that the Minister confers on his part toward the procuring of that Spirit, is to prepare that Baptismal Water, which it is by the Institution of Christ to accompany, and to administer it, when fo prepar'd, to those who are Which if to be baptized with it. the Minister doth according to the Institution of Christ, there is no doubt the Spirit of God will follow of course, whether he, who administers Baptism, partake of that Spirit, or no. Otherwise a sinful Minister would be as invalid an Administrator

nistrator of Baptism, as the most Schismatical, or Heretical one.

But it may be there is more of weight in Schismaticks, and Hereticks being out of the Church, and as fuch in no condition either of enjoying in themselves those priviledges, that belong to it, or being instrumental toward the procuring of them for And fo no doubt there would, if they were fully, and perfectly out of the Church, nor retain'd in any measure to it. But how first, if Schifmaticks, and Hereticks were fully, and perfectly out of the Church, could S. Cyprian (e) himself allow the receiving of fuch without a new Baptism, who had after their Baptism in the Church fallen into Schism, or Herefie? These, as they were no less Schismaticks, and Hereticks than those, that were baptiz'd by Hereticks, and consequently alike out of the Church; So being, if to be receiv'd again, to be receiv'd after the fame manner, that is to fay by a new Baptism. Neither will it avail to fay (as that Father (f) pleads for himself) that those, who have been baptiz'd in the Church, are to be

(e) Ad Quintum, Ep. 70.

(f) Ibid.

be look'd upon as wandring sheep, and as such, when they return, to be receiv'd into the Fold, whereas the other are wholly aliens, and profane. For if Schismaticks, and Hereticks be fully, and perfectly out of the Church, those also, what ever they before were, must cease to be look'd upon as Sheep, and confequently, if admitted, be admitted as aliens, and profane, as well as those, who were baptiz'd out of the Church. And indeed as it appears by the same Father (g), that those who oppos'd (s) 4121him, and the Bishops that took part with him, argued the validity of the Baptism of Hereticks from the Churches receiving those without a new one, who had fallen after her Baptism into Schism or Heresie; So if we will allow the Baptism of the latter, we must allow the Baptism of the former, or find out some other reason to overthrow it. For if the rightly baptized Schismatick, Heretick were a Sheep, though a wandring one, notwithstanding his Schism, or Heresie; The Schismatick, or Heretick, whom that wandring Sheep ran after, might as well

142, E. 71.

be a Pastor, though a wandring one too, and confequently be in a condition, following the order of the Inflitution, to bring new Sheep to the great Shepherd, and Bishop of our Souls. That, which I suppose occasion'd that Father's mistake (for fo I hope I may now have leave to call it, because the Church of God hath generally done fo fince) but . that I fay, which occasion'd S. Cyprian's mistake, was his not distingui-Thing between being fully, and perfectly out of the Church (which I should think none but Apostates can be, if they also are) and being only partly, and imperfectly fo, as Schifs, and Hereticks are. For as Schilmaticks, and Hereticks muft be suppos'd to retain so far to the Church, as they do not separate from it in Communion, or belief; So it is but a just piece of charity to think that Christ who knows men's infirmities, and prejudices, will not invalidate such acts of theirs, as are purely charitable ones, and wherein moreover they confent with the Church of God (whatever they may do as to other things) and with his

his own bleffed Inftitution. I denv not indeed, but that to be even fo out of the Church, as Schismaticks, and Hereticks are, is a very dangerous thing, and doth without a special mercy of God make them liable to Damnation. But as I do not therefore think, that we ought to look upon it as a desperate one; So theremay be so much of honest simplicity of mind even in them, and a readiness to embrace the truth, whenfoever they are convinced of it, that Christ, who laid down his life for the worst of men, may so far at least consider them, as to give his bleffing to those acts of theirs, which are both charitable in themselves, and manag'd with a just consent to his own institution, and the practice too of that Church, from which in other things they have departed.

And this answer, with a little variation, will furnish one to that objection, which represents Schismaticks and Hereticks as finners before God, and whom therefore we cannot suppose God will hear for the person to be baptiz'd. For though I grant that such persons are sinners

T 4 before

before God, yea that whatever they do by way of separation from the Church is to be look'd upon as of the same nature, and consequent: ly that their very baptizing also may be; Yet as I do not think that every thing, that is finfully done, is therefore invalid (for fo for ought I know many true Churchmens good actions also might be) So Christ may hear even fuch persons, when they act agreeably to his own Institution, both for the regard he bears to that, and for that honest simplicity, and good meaning, which is, if not in them that administer Baptism, yet in those that joyn with them, and whose Minister I have before said (b) the Confectatour to be in that affair.

(h) Expl. of the Sacram. ingen. Part. 3

One only Objection remains on the part of Hereticks, and that is their depraving that Faith, into which Baptism is requir'd to be made, and consequently thereto, as is suppos'd, baptizing into a false, and counterfeit one. And I no way doubt that, if Hereticks baptize into a false, and counterfeit Faith, their Baptism is null, because contrary to that

that Institution, which gives validity to all. Upon which account we must look upon the Baptism of those persons as null, who have baptiz'd in any other form, than in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft. Of which fort was that of the Hereticks spoken of by Irenaus (i), who (i) Adv. instead of baptizing according to the Heref. ii. 1. e. form of the institution, did baptize their Disciples into the name of the unknown Father of all things, into truth the Mother of all things, into him that descended into Jesus for the union, and redemption, and communion of To which others it feems powers. added certain Hebrew names, the better to amuse those, that were initiated by them. The like may reafonably enough be thought of the Baptism of many other of the Antient Hereticks, although we have not it may be fo certain grounds from Antiquity for their depraving the very form of Baptism. For being, appears from their tenents, Christians in name, rather than in reality, and beside that advancing fuch uncourt, and monstrous ones, it is not easie to think they should have fuch

fuch a regard to Christ, or his Institution, as to keep to that form, which he prescrib'd. Which suppos'd, there is not the least dif-

ficulty in giving an ac-

count of those 46 * and

47 † Canons of the

Apostles, which do so

far reprodute the Bap-

tism of Hereticks, as to

require a reiteration of

there intended were fuch

as are before described

(as is not unreasonable

to believe even from the

For if the Hereticks

* Έπισκοπον, η πρεσβύτεεν αιρεπικών δεξαμένες βάππσμα, η θυσίαν καθαιρείδαι προστάσσομεν. Τίς οδ συμφώνησης γειτώ πεθές Βελίας η η τίς μεθές πιςώ μετ' απίς»;

† Έπίσιοπ 🗨 , ἢ σρεσβύτερ 🕒 ἢ κατ' ἀλήθειαν ἔχοντα βάππο μα ἐὰν ἄνωθεν βαπήση, ἢ ἢ μεμολυσμένον παρὰ, τῷ ἀπβᾶν ἐὰν μὴ βαπήση, καθαιρείδω, &c.

words of the Canons themselves) there is no doubt their Baptism was, and ought to be look'd upon as null, because deviating from that Institution, which gives vali-

(4) Caterum quis nescit non esse Baptismum (bristis, serba Evangelica, quibus symbolum constat, illic desurint? Sed facilius inveniuntur heretici, qui omnino baptizant, quam qui illis verbis non baptizant. De Bapt. contra Donat. li. 6. c. 25.

dity to all. But because it appears from a passage of S. Augustine(k), that whatever the antienter Hereticks did, yet later ones, or at least for the most part kept to the words of the Institution; There-

fore we must go on to enquire, whether

1

u

C

t

to

A

ther Hereticks may not however, be presum'd to baptize into a false, and counterfeit Faith, even that which they themselves advance, and confequently give fuch a Baptism as is null, and void. And to speak my mind freely, though with fubmiffion to better judgments, I conceive fuch Hereticks may be prefum'd to doit, who advance a Herefie, that directly, and manifestly contradicts the Faith of Baptism, and particularly the Faith of the Holy Trinity. I do in part upon the Authority of the Nicene Council (1), and (1) Can. 19. in part also upon the Authority of Reason. For though there be not the least presumption, that the followers of Paulus Samosatenus made use of any other form of Baptism, than the Catholicks did; Though there be fome prefumption on the contrary, that they made use of the very fame form, because though they deny'd a Trinity of Persons, yet they afferted one, and the same God to be rightly entitled by the names of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; Yet did the Nicene Council notwithstanding, (because of their direct, and

and manifest denial of the Trinity, and their affirming Christ to be a meer Man) fo far disallow their Baptism, as to require the reiteration of it. As indeed why should it not, when those Paulianists did so directly and manifestly contradict the sense of that form, whereby they pretended to proceed? That direct, and manifest contradiction of theirs proclaming to the World, that though they baptiz'd in the same form of words with the Orthodox, yet in a perfectly different fense, and consequently departed alike from that Institution, which was to give force to I say not the same of the Baptism of the Arians, where they made use

* De Arianis, qui propria sua lege utuntur, ut baptizentur placuit. Si ad Ecclessan aliqui de hac ber si veneriut, interrogent eos sidei nostra sacerdotes symbolum. Et si perviderint in Patre, Filio, & Spiritu sancto eos baptizatos, manus eis tantum imponatur, ut accipiant spiritum sanctum, & c. Concil. Arel. c. 8.

of the same form of words, which the Institution prescrib'd, as it is certain that many * of them did; Partly because the Church receiv'd those, that had been so baptized by them without any new Bap-

tism; And partly because neither so directly, and manifestly contradicting the Doctrine of the Trinity

by their own, nor varying from the prescribed form, as some other of them did, they may be reasonably prefum'd to have left the form by them us'd to its proper sense, whatever that was, and to what he, who prescrib'd it, did intend it. suppos'd, what should hinder Christ from giving force to that Baptism, which is fo administred by them? These, as they do not at all vary from the Institution of Christ, so in this particular, even in the application of the Baptismal water to the Baptized parties, acting not in their own, or in their peoples names, but in the name of Christ, and who therefore may the rather be supposed to give force and vertue to it. The result of the premises is this. A Heretick is indeed oblig'd to baptize into the truly Christian Faith, neither can any man otherwise promise force from that act of his. But if he baptize into that faith (as he may even whilst he continues such) his Baptism is valid, neither can any man doubt of a bleffing from it, who comes prepared for it, and, when he comes to know in what company he hath been

ir

n

t, fe

d-d

286 Of the right Administration, &c.

been engag'd, renounceth that, and their Herefie, and both submits himself to the discipline of the Church, and keeps to the communion of it.

PART

PART X.

Of the Baptism of those of riper years.

The Contents.

To what, and what kind of persons Baptism ought to be administred; Which, as to those of riper years, is shewn to be unto all, that come duly qualified for it. What those qualifications are, upon that account enquir'd into, and Repentance, and Faith shown from the Sripture, as well as from our own Catechism to be they. That Repentance, and Faith more particularly considered, the definitions given of them by our Church explain'd, and established. The former whereof is effected, by shewing what Repentance doth presuppose, what it imports, and to what

what it doth naturally dispose us: The latter by shewing what those promises are, which by the Catechism are made the object of our Faith, or Belief, what that Belief of them doth presuppose, what is meant by a stedfast Belief of them, and what evidence there is of that being the Faith, or Belief required to the receiving of Baptism.

Question. Wilhat is required of persons to be baptized? Answer. Repentance whereby they forlake Sin, and Faith , whereby thep steds fallip bes lieve the promiles made to them in that Satrament.

Being now to enquire, according to the method before laid down, to what, and what kind of persons the Sacrament of Baptism ought to be administred, for my more advantageous resolution thereof I will consider it first as to those of riper years, and then as to Infants, and Children.

That I give the precedency to those of riper years, though such Baptisms as those are little known among us, is because there is no doubt Baptism began with them, and could not indeed have found any other entrance into the World; The Baptism of Infants, in the opinion of those, who do most strong-

ly affert it, depending upon the Baptism of their Parents, or of those, who are in the place of them. whom, if some had not been baptiz'd in their riper years, those Infants, that claimed by them, could not with reason have pretended to it. Of those of riper years therefore I mean first to entreat, and shew to what, and what kind of persons among them the Sacrament of Baptism ought to be administred.

Now as it is clear from our Saviour's injunction * of discipling, and *Mait. 28.19 baptizing all Nations, that none of what condition foever are to be excluded from it, who are qualified, as Christianity requires, for the receiving of it; So the only thing therefore farther necessary to be enquir'd into on this Head, is how men ought to be qualified for it, or (as our Catechism expresseth it) what is required of them. For fuppoling those prarequisites of Baptism, he who enjoyns the discipling, and baptizing all Nations, must consequently be suppos'd to enjoyn the administring of it to all such, in whom those prarequisites are.

Now

- if t d

e

Now there are two things again, as our Carechifm instructs us, which are requir'd of all those, that are to be baptized; Repentance, whereby they forfake fin, and Faith, whereby they stedfastly believe the promises made to them in that Sacrament. And for these two things at least it hath the aftipulation of the Scripture, and I may add also of that Profession, which is made by the baptized perfon in Baptism, and which having before establish'd, I may now the more fecurely argue from. Witness, for the Scripture, S. Peter's † enjoyning those Jews, (who demanded of him, and the rest, what they ought to do in order to their falvation) to repent, and so be baptized in the name of the Lord Jesus; And Philip's replying upon the Eunuch, who ask'd what did hinder him to be baptiz'd, that if he believ'd* with all his beart. he might: Thereby more than intimating that, if he did not, he could

not be baptiz'd at all, though all other things concurred to the receiving of

it. And indeed, what less can be suppos'd to be requir'd of fuch persons, when (as was before † observ'd) the Bapt. Part 8.

baptized

† Expl. of

+ Acts 2. 38.

baptized person makes Profession in his Baptism of renouncing all sin, and wickedness, and of a belief in that Jesus, into whose Religion he is admitted? That Profession of his supposing Repentance, and Faith to have been before in him, as without which otherwise he could not there make a sincere Profession of renouncing sin, or of believing in the name of the Lord Jesus. But so (that I may add that by the way) the Antient Church appears to have requir'd, before she admitted men to the participation of Baptism; Justin Martyr,

where he professeth to give a sincere account of her doings in this affair, telling those he wrote his Apology to, that sures sinal believ'd that the things to aught and said by the Christians were true (a) and more over took upon them so to to live, were taught to pray, and ask of God with fasting avanuations, and then; and not till then brought by them to the

place

place of Baptism, and there regenerated after the same manner with themfelves. Which is so clear a proof of the Antients believing Repentance, and Faith to be prerequisites of Baptism, that nothing need to be added to it.

For the clearing of the first of which we are to know, that though Repentance, in strict speech, be nothing else than a sorrow of mind for those sins we stand guilty of before God; Yet as even so it presupposeth a right apprehension of those sins, as without which we could never be brought to a due sorrow for them, so taking Repentance (as our Catechism, and the Scripture also some of the two prerequisites of Baptism (For S. Paul in one place (b) makes that Repentance, and Faith the sum of his Preaching to the Jews,

(b) Acts 20.

(c) Heb. 6.1. and Greeks, and in another (c) the foundation of our Christianity) it will be found to imply in it whatsoever that forrow for fin doth naturally dispose men to, as well as that forrow it self: The same S. Paul elsewhere professing that he shewed both to the Jews, and Gentiles, that they should turn unto God, as well as Repent;

and

and do works meet for Repentance, as well as either. To attain therefore a due understanding of this Repentance, as well as to clear that definition of it, which our Catechism hath given us, it will be necessary for us to enquire what this Repentance doth presuppose, what it imports, and to what it doth dispose us.

That, which Repentance doth most manifestly presuppose, is a right apprehension of that fin, about which it is to be conversant; And may be fetch'd in part from the dictates of our own reason, but more especially from the declarations of Christianity concerning it. Such as are, that fin is the transgression of a Law, and particularly of that of God, and that, as fuch, it justly exposeth us to his wrath, and indignation: Partly, as it is a violation of his Authority, to whom we are naturally subject, and partly as an equal affront to his goodness, who gives us our being, and all things else, and who therefore ought more diligently to have been attended to. In fine, that it hath for its wages Death both temporal, and eternal, and under each

each of which, without the mercy of God in Christ, the sinner must necessarily fall. For as these are known in part, from the dictates of our own reason to be the properties of that sin, whereof we speak; so they are much more known to be so from the Doctrine of Christianity, and consequently to be known by us toward a right apprehension of that, which ought to be

the matter of our forrow.

But from hence it will be easie to collect, what that forrow for fin doth import, which is requir'd of all those, that take upon them the Profession of Christianity. Even that it importeth fuch a forrow of mind, as hath a regard to the violation of God's Authofity and Goodness by it, as well as to the evils which are like to arise to it from our felves; Our forrow being in reason to be suited to that, which is most considerable in the object of it. And indeed, as otherwise it will be rather a forrow for punishment, than fin, because sin, as such, is a transgreffion of God's Law, and confequently our forrow for it to have a more especial regard to the affront, that

that is offer'd him thereby; So it will much less deserve those titles, which are given it by the Scripture of being a forrow, or repentance toward (d) God (for so it is sometime (d) Acts 20. stil'd) and a forrow (e) according to God, or a Godly one, as it also is : That being neither toward God, nor according to God, which hath not a regard to that affront, which is offer'd to him by fin, as well as to the evils, which are like to accrue unto our felves.

But because even such a forrow will not qualifie us for Baptisin, unless we add thereto what the same forrow doth naturally dispose us to; Therefore to make out more fully the true nature of Repentance, as well as to clear our Churches definition of it. I will proceed to that, and shew what those things are. Of which nature I reckon first an ingenuous confession of sin, and earnest prayer to God for the pardon of it; Sorrow for fin, when confidered only with reference to its appendant punishment, being likely enough to dispose us so to confess, and ask pardon of it, if it were only to unburthen our felves. and free our felves by that, and pray-

er from the punishment we have deferv'd. How much more then, when consider'd as a forrow for that affront, which we have by means of our fin offer'd to God's both Authority, and Goodness? He, to whom such an affront is matter of forrow, being likely enough to be thereby dispos'd fo far to acknowledge that Authority, and goodness, as to own them upon the postfact by confession, and prayer for pardon: He who confesfeth, and asketh pardon of God, acknowledging that God had, and hath an Authority to command, and punish him, as he, who doth the latter, that God is of equal goodness, as of whom otherwise it would be in vain for him to ask pardon for his offences. Whence it was, that when the Church proceeded by strict, and fafe measures, she not only taught those, that offer'd themfelves to Baptism, to ask of God with fasting the forgiveness of their forepast offences, but (as we learn from Justin Martyr (f) added her own prayers, and fasts to theirs, so the better to encourage, and give force unto the others. I reckon of the same nature

(f) Vdi supra.

nature secondly, a resolution to for-Sake sin, and pursue the contrary vertues. Which I do not only upon the Authority of the foremention'd Father, who reckons that (g) also (s) Loco prises as a prerequisite to Baptism, but as it citate. is a thing, to which forrow for fin, doth alike naturally dispose us; He, to whom fin is fo irkfome, needing no other motive, than that irksomeness, to oblige him to forsake it, and pay a more perfect submission to that Authority, and goodness of God, which he hath before fo fhamefully violated. I reckon thirdly, as a thing, to which forrow for fin doth equally dispose us, a present forsaking of those fins, which we are under a temptation to commit, as well as a resolution to do fo for the time to come; There being the same force in a due forrow for fin to dispose men to that, as there is to a resolution of afterward forfaking it. For which cause the Antient Church did not only refuse fuch persons Baptism, as were of any unlawful Profession (h), till they actu- (b) Introd. ally abandon'd it, but made proof (i) tech. &c. also for a considerable time of the reso- (i) Ibid. lutions of others, and, till they had gi-

ven

ven her fuch proofs, did not admit them to it. They finding no doubt by manifold experience, that many that offer'd themselves to Baptism, made little Conscience afterward of avoiding those fins, which they had before fo folemnly refolv'd against, and made publick profession of abandoning. And though it do not appear, that the Apostles themselves took this course, they baptizing men immediately upon the bare profession of their Repentance, and a refolution afterward to bring forth fruits meet for it: Yet as the reason of that possibly might be, either because of that exuberance of Grace, which was then bestow'd upon their new Converts or because, by means of their Ambulatory life, they could not well deferr the Baptism of those, that offer'd themselves, till they had made some considerable trial of them (which will exempt fuch Churches from their example, where there is no fuch exuberance of Grace, and where moreover they have fetled Pastors to intend the affairs of them) So we cannot think the Apostles would have ever given Baptism to fuch

fuch persons, as should before that Baptism of theirs have fallen into those sins, which they erewhile made profession of abandoning: Sorrow for sin, where it is hearty, and real, no doubt disposing men as well to a present forsaking of it, as it doth to a resolution concerning it. Which will make the Repentance pre-required to Baptism to be (as our Cate-thism expresseth it) a Repentance, whereby as occasion offers, we actually forsake sin, as well as resolve for the future to abandon it.

An account being thus given of the first thing pre-requir'd to Baptism, and our Churches definition of it both explain'd, and established; Pass we on to that, which is alike pre-required to it, even that Faith, whereby we stedsaftly believe the promises made so us in that Sacrament. Where

again I will enquire,

I. What those promises are, which we are so to believe.

 What that belief of them doth pre-fuppose,

III. What is meant by a stedfast belief of those promises.

IV.

IV. What evidence there is of that being the Faith, or belief, which is pre-requir'd by Christianity to the receiving of that Sacrament.

1. Now though that Catechism, which I have chosen to explain, give no other account of those Promises, than that they are fuch as are made to us in that Sacrament; Yet is it not difficult to collect from thence, and from what is before faid concerning the Parts of a Sacrament, that the Catechism means no other promises, than those which make a tender of its inward, and spiritual Graces. For a Sacrament being before divided into an outward, and visible fign, and an inward, and spiritual Grace as the only proper parts of it; And the outward, and visible sign being in like manner represented in it as no farther of value, than as conducing to possess us of the other: No other promises can be suppos'd to be intended here, than fuch as make a tender of those inward, and spiritual Graces, as which indeed are the only things confiderable in it. Which will

will consequently make the promises here intended to be those, which make a tender for the present of remisflion of sins, and fanctification, and in the end of everlasting life.

II. Those therefore being the promifes, which are to be the object of the Catechumens Faith, and which accordingly he is stedfastly to believe; It will not be difficult to shew, what that belief of them pre-supposeth, which is the fecond thing to be enquir'd into. For that belief of them must at least pre-suppose a belief of all that, which is necessary to bring us to the belief of the other. particularly it pre-supposeth, as to our selves, that we believe our selves to be naturally under a state of sin, and death, as without which there could be no place for that fanctification, and remission, which is promised in Baptism; And that we are vet farther off from any title to Everlasting life, as which if we had, there would have been no need of a Promise in Baptism of it. supposeth again as to Christ, in whom all the promises of God are Yea, and Amen, a like stedfast belief that there

was fuch a person as Jesus Christ, and that he was appointed by God to convey such graces to us; That, agreeably to the predictions of the Scripture, and the will of God concerning him, he took upon him our nature, and suffer'd in it to purchase those Graces, and that he ever since intends the exhibiting of what he hath so purchas'd; The belief of these, and the like Articles of our Faith being as manifestly presuppos'd to the belief of those Promises, which in this place we are required to intend.

III. That which will it may be more concern us to enquire, is what our Catechism means by a stedfast belief of them. For my more orderly resolution whereof I will enquire first what it means by belief,

and then by a ftedfaft one.

Now by belief may be meant either a fimple affent of the mind, and in which sense there is no doubt it is oftentimes taken in Christian Writers; Or there may be meant also a belief with affiance, and such as beside the affent of the mind, or understanding to them, doth also connote a trust in them, or in God be-

cause

cause of them. By vertue of which (as I have elsewhere discours'd(k)con- (b) Expl. of cerning the grace of trust) the heart, Com. I. or will is prompted to defire, as well Part 3. as affent to the matter of the divine promises, and acquiesce in those for the obtaining of it. And indeed if we may judge any thing by our Homilies, (to which the Articles (1) (1) Art. 41. of our Church do also particularly referr us in the point of justifying Faith) this latter belief must be here intended; Because a belief, which hath for its end the remission of sins in Baptism, and consequently a justifying one. For the right, and true Christian Faith (saith one of our (m) (m) Homily Homilies) is not only to believe that of Salvation. the Holy Scripture, and all the forecited Articles of our Faith, are true, but also to have a sure trust, and confidence in God's merciful promifes to be saved from everlasting damnation by Christ. And it is not only, faith another (n), the common belief of the (n) Hom. of Articles of our Faith, but it is also a Faith. fure trust, and considence of the mercy of God through our Lord Jesus Christ, and a fledfast bope of all good things to be received at God's hands. fine,

(b) Ibid.

fine, faith the fame (o) Homily, the very sure, lively Christian faith is not only to believe all things of God, which are contained in holy Scripture, but also to have an earnest trust, and considence in God, &c. Which suppos'd (as we may, because we can have no more Authentick interpretation of it) to be the sense of the belief here intended, it will not be difficult to shew, what our Catechism means by

a stedfast one.

For confidering the belief of these Promises as an Assent of the mind to them, so a stedfast belief will imply that, which is free from all doubts, and which the mind of man gives to those Promises without any the least fear of their being any Collusion in them; Which the mind of man may well give, considering whose those Promises are, and that they have both God, and Christ for the Authors of them. On the other fide, if we confider the belief intended as including in it also an affiance, or trust, and by vertue of which the heart, or will is prompted to desire, as well as believe the matter of those Promises, and acquiesce in those Promiles

miles for the attaining of it; So this stedfast belief will also imply fuch a one, as is firmly rooted in the heart, or will, and can no more be rooted out of it by the force of temptations, than the other by doubts, or scruples. And indeed, as I do not fee how any other belief, than that, can answer such glorious promises as are made to us in the Sacrament of Baptism; so I see as little reason to doubt,

IV. What evidence there is of that being the Faith, or belief, which is pre-requir'd by Christianity to the receiving of it. For though S. Luke may feem to intimate by the account he gives of the Baptism of the Samaritans (p), that they were baptiz'd (p) Acts 8.12: upon a simple belief of what Philip preach'd concerning the things of the Kingdom of God; Yet he doth much more clearly intimate afterward, that Christianity requir'd another fort of belief, and fuch as was accompani'd with an adherence of the will unto them: He making it the condition of the Eunuch's Baptism afterward, that he should believe with

(4) - 37. all his heart (q); Which is an expresfion, that in the language of the Scripture referrs rather to the will, and affections, than to the understanding, but however cannot well be thought not to include them there, where the believing with all the heart is requir'd. And indeed, as I do not fee, confidering the Doctrine of our First Reformers, why this notion of Faith should be so exploded, as it feems to me lately to have been; As I do much less see why men should so boyle at that Justification, which was wont to be attributed in an especial manner to it: So, if I live to finish the work I am now upon, I will in a Comment upon the Epistle to the Philippians (which I have almost gather'd fufficient materials for) endeavour to clear both the one, and the other, that men may neither take occasion from thence to discard good works as unnecessary, nor yet stay themselves upon any other, than the promifes of Christ, and on which the holiest men upon earth, when they have been approaching near God's tribunal,

have found themselves oblig'd to cast

them-

themselves. In the mean time a little to repress the youthful heats of those, who can hardly forbear finiling at fuch antiquated notions, I will fet before them the advice, which was order'd to be given to fick perfons, when good works to be fure were not without their just repute. It is among the Interrogatories, which are faid (r) to have been pre- (r) Field of fcrib'd by Anfelme Archbishop of the Church, Append. to Canterbury, and particularly after the 3d. Book that, which prompts the Priest to pa. 303. ask, Dost thou believe, that thou canst not be fav'd, but by the death of Christ, and the fick persons Answer, that he did so. Go too therefore (as the Priest was taught to proceed) and whilst thy soul remaineth in thee place thy confidence in this death alone. and in no other thing, commit thy self wholly to it, cover thy self wholly with it, immerse, fix, and wrap thy self wholly in it. And if the Lord God will judge thee, say, I put the death of our Lord Jesus Christ between me, and thy judgment, otherwise I contend not with thee. And if he fay that thou art a sinner, say, Lord I put the death of our Lord Jesus Christ between

between me, and my fons. If he say to thee thou hast deserved damnation, fay, Lord, I put the death of our Lord Jesus Christ between me, and my evil deferts, and I offer the same death for that merit, which I ought to have had, and have not. If he continue as yet to say, that he is angry with thee, say, Lord, I oppose the death of our Lord Jesus Christ between me, and thy dis-Words, which shew what pleasure. kind of Faith was sometime thought to be a justfying one, and what stress was laid upon it, before ever Fanaticism, or any thing of that nature was heard of in the World.

PART

PART XI.

Of the Baptism of Infants.

The Contents.

What ground Infant-Baptism hath in Scripture, and particularly in what it Suggests concerning Christ's commanding his Disciples to suffer little Children to come unto him. S. Paul's giving the Children of the faithful the title of Holy, and the Circumcision of Infants. concurrence of Antiquity thereis with the Dostrine of the Scripture, and that concurrence frengthned by the Pelagians fo freely admitting of what was urg'd against them from thence. A brief account of that remission, and regeneration, which Infants acquire by Baptism,

Baptism, and a more large consideration of the Objections, that are made against it; More particularly of what is urg'd against the Regeneration of Infants in Baptism, or their ability to answer what is prerequir'd to it on the part of persons to be baptiz'd, or is to be performed by them in the reception of it. Where the Regeneration of Infants is more largely considered, and what is promis'd for them by others shewn to be both reasonable, and sufficient.

Queftion.

All p then a e Infants haptized, when by reason of their tender age they cannot perform them?

age they cannot person them shower.

Because they prosimise them both by their suresties, which promise, when they come to age, them solves are bound to perform.

Rom the Baptism of those of riper years, pass we to that of Infants, or Children, the only Baptism upon the matter now celebrated, and therefore so much the more carefully to be clear'd and establish'd. In order whereunto I will enquire,

I. What ground it hath in Scripture.

II. What countenance from Antiquity.

III. What Infants acquire by it.

IV.

IV. What the principal objections against it are, and how they are to be solv'd.

I. Now as it is plain to me both

from Tertullian's * arguing against that Text, and the Apostolical † Constitutions alledging it, that the Antient Churchgrounded the Baptism of Infants upon Christ's * commanding his Disciples to suffer little Children to come unto him, and blessing those, that came; So I am yet more confirmed in it by the unprofitable pains Tertullian took to take off the

unprofitable pains Tertullian took to take off the force of
that Text, or rather the pitiful evafion he made use of in order to it.
For had not the Church laid great
stress upon that passage of the Scripture, why did not he, as the World
hath since learn'd to do, wholly
omit the mention of it, as a Text
no way pertinent to the business of
Infant-Baptism? Or, if he thought
good to take notice of it, why did
he not turn the force of it another

X 4 way,

* De Baptismo c. 18. Ait quidem Dominus, Nolite illos prohibere ad me venire. Veniant ergo, dun adolescunt, veniant dum discunt, dum quo veniant docentw. Fiant Christiani, quam Christum nosse potuerint.

† B πήζετε δε υμών χ)
τὰ νήπα, χ) ἐκτρέρετε
αυτὰ ἐν παιδεία, χ) ναθεσία δεῦ. "Αρετε μό, φησί,
τὰ παυδία ἔρχεδαι προβεμέ,
χ) μιὰ κωλύετε ἀυτά [1.6.c.15.
* Mark 10.13. & c.

way, and fay, as others have, that nothing more was intended by it, than to let men know they must put on the property of little Children, if they meant to enter into Christ's Kingdom? For either of these certainly had been more proper, than what we find him to alledge in thefe words, as to the delaying of the Baptism of Infants. The Lord indeed faith, Forbid not Children to come unto me. Let them come therefore, when they are grown, let them come when they may learn, and when they may be taught whither they are to come. Let them be made Christians, when they may be able to know Christ. For what is this to the purpose of our Saviour, who checked his Disciples for hindering those from coming to him, who were brought to him before they were in a condition to learn, who in all probability were brought to him in their Parents arms, and were both taken by him into his own, and bleffed by him even then? For if the Disciples were check'd for going about to hinder fuch Children, his meaning was that they should suffer such to come unto him, and not keep them back from com-

coming, till they ceased to be such. But of fuch force it feems was that Text then thought, that fome reply however must be made to it; Or the deference men had for the Church. that urged it, would have spoil'd his device of delaying the Baptism of them, till they came of years. Which will make it fo much the more reasonable to enquire, what there is in the Text it felf, which might justifie the confidence of the one, or give occasion to the impertinent answer of the other.

For the better discovery whereof we are to know, that when certain persons not named, but it seems who look'd upon our Saviour as a man of God, brought their Children to Christ, that he might touch them. that is to fay, as our Saviour expounded their meaning, that he might lay his hands upon them, and bless them; His Disciples, whether as looking upon it as no way befeeming their Master to concern himself about * Aret in lo-Children, * or that he had greater rem christo

indignam judi-

care videntur ; nam judicio, & ratione carent , Christum non intelligant. Deinde majora fint , que agat ; adfint enim turbe, quas docere debet. Major bic fruttus, major etiam & dignitas, & labor.

bufiness

business then in hand, even the inflructing of the Elder fort, rebuked those that brought them for that their

Aret. nbi supra. Sed expendi debet Christi officium, qui pro omnium salute natus est in hunc mundum. Deinde insastes etiam ad sadus dei pertinent; Nam Abraamo dixit, Ero & tui, & seminis tui post te, Deus. Et quia una est vatio salutis, unum ostium, una janua, debuit etiam instantim haberi ratio.

fuppos'd unreasonable defire, and offer. But as our Saviour who better understood † his own salutary office, and Childrens pertaining to the Covenant, did with as much, or more displeasure rebuke them for that their

rebuke, and fignified it both by his countenance, and voice; So he charged them, that they should by no means hinder Children

means hinder Children from coming unto him *, because the Kingdom of God belong'd to such as they: Thereby intimating, that even those Children had a right unto it, and were not therefore to be hindred from

* Arctius iterum. Est enim ratio cur arcendi a Christo non sint: Quia talium est regnum colorum, hoc est sunt heredes vite ectrum; ergo à Christo, qui janua est ad vitam, non debent arceri. Deinde cam talium sit regnum dei, ergo horum magis est, ad quorum similitudinem alii, nt accipiant, iidem redire debent.

coming to him, who was the way, or rather the gate into it. For if the Kingdom of God belong'd to fuch as they, much more to those Children, to whom elder persons ought to become like, that they might

might be in a capacity of obtaining it. As indeed otherwise, what force is there in the reason alledg'd for the fuffering, and no way forbidding young Children to come unto him? For they, who have in purpose of heart what the other have only natuturally, may both be invited to tend toward, and be posses'd of the Kingdom of Heaven, though the other be no way brought to Christ, nor receive any bleffing from him. as much as their humility, and innocency is the refult of God's spirit, and of their own will, and confequently more likely to be acceptable, whereas the other's is only the refult of their constitution, and age. I cannot therefore but think, that the true reason of our Saviour's making use of the word mismo, or fuch, instead of TETOV, or these (for of such, faith our Saviour, is the Kingdom of heaven) was not in the least to exclude Children from having a right to the Kingdom of Heaven, as who alone were directly, and immediately concern'd in the present Argument; But to let the World

World know at the fame time *,

* Aret. in Matt. 19. 13, &cc. Nec juvat quod aliqui bic urgent Toris : wv, & non Tirov, talium, & non horum. Nam communem oftendit modum juftificationis, &c. Ampline igitur aliquid dicere voluit, & bos pueros vite haredes effe, & ad illorum similitudinem nobis etiam redeundum effe.

(a) Mark 10. 15.

that elder men ought to put on the properties of Children to make them partakers of it. As he afterward more expresly fignifies, when he tells them, that who foever shall not receive the Kingdom of God as a little Child, he shall not

enter therein. Which suppos'd a way is opened for the inferring of that Baptisin of Infants, which, this pasfage both now, and of old was made use of to evince. For it appearing from the premises, that Infants have a right to the Kingdom of Heaven, and upon, and by vertue of that right to be brought to Christ also; They must consequently have a right also to those means, which are by the same Christ appointed to put them into the possession of his Kingdom. Which Baptism certainly being, and so, that, ordinarily at least, none can enter into that Kingdom (b) Joh. 3. 5. without (b) being born again by it, it cannot without injustice be with-

held from those Children, to whom the

the other doth appertain. Neither will it avail to fay, though the Objection be not to be despised, that by this rule our Saviour should either himself have baptiz'd, or order'd his Disciples to baptize those Children, that were now brought unto him for his bleffing. For befide that one Argument will not folve another, and much less hinder the matter thereof from being true, or conclusive; There might be reafon enough, though the premises be allow'd, for our Saviour's not baptizing, or requiring his Disciples to baptize those Children, who were now brought unto him for his bleffing: Partly upon the account of the incompetency of those, that brought them, and who being not Disciples themselves, but as is probable, of the multitude (c) that followed him, (c) Mark 10. could not claim from our Saviour, Matt. 19. 2. nor he fo regularly bestow Baptism upon their Children; And partly to let the World fee, that he was not ti'd to any methods himself in the difpenfing of the graces of that Kingdom. For that our Saviour, by that bleffing which he gave them, gave those

those Children rem Sacramenti, or the Graces of Baptism, and so shew'd vet more the title Children have to it, cannot well be doubted of by any, who shall consider how zealous he was for their being brought to him, as that too upon the account of the title they had to the Kingdom of Heaven. For confidering that zeal of his, and the ground of it, what can be more reasonable than to think, that our Saviour agreeably thereto did by his bleffing conferr upon them that Evangelical regeneration, which was to fit them for the Kingdom of Heaven, and without which confidering the impurity of their nature, and the necessity of being thus born anew, they could not regularly obtain it. And I have been the more particular in deducing, and pressing the present argument; Partly because led thereto by the meer force of the Text it felf, and the Authority of the Chuch that imployed it, till by accident I fell upon those things I have before quoted out of Aretius; And partly because I think it a better service to the Church of God to Arengthen one old Argument, than devise

devise many new ones: Such a course procuring the more respect to the Church's both opinion, and practice, as shewing it to proceed upon substantial Arguments, and fuch as in themfelves are not lightly to be refus'd.

My fecond Argument for the Baptism of Infants shall be taken from that holiness, which S. Paul (a) (d) 1 Cor. 7. attributes to the Children of Christian Parents (yea where only one of them is fuch) upon the account of their defcent from them. For S. Paul having before perfuaded the believing party to continue with the unbelieving one, supposing that unbelieving one to be as willing to continue with the believer, as a motive to the doing of it alledgeth, that the unbelieving party is sanctified by the believing, and proves that fanctification again by the holiness of the Children, that come from them, as which otherwise those Children could not have in them, but the contrary. Now I demand what that holiness is, which S. Paul supposeth to be the property of those, who come from fuch a fanctified couple, that is to fay, whether an inward holiness, or an outward one? If

If they, who would avoid the force of this Text as to the Baptism of Infants, say an inward holiness, they fay more than we defire, or can with truth be affirmed, because though Original Sin be traduc'd from the Parents, yet inward holiness is not, as being the product of the Spirit of God, and his instrument Baptilm. But if they do however attribute fuch a holiness to those Children, they fay enough to evince, that Baptism ought not to be deny'd to them. For who (as S. Peter spake (e) upon another occasion) can for-47. bid the water of Baptism to those, who have receiv'd the Holy Ghost, as to be fure all, that are internally holy, have? It remains therefore, that if the Children of fuch matches be not internally holy, they are externally so, and that external holiness

therefore, if it may be, to be invefligated by us. Now I demand First, what external holiness can be imagin'd in those Children, but such by which they come to belong to God in a more peculiar manner, than the Children of other matches do? This

(e) Acts 10.

being the nature of all things, that

are externally holy, whether by the voluntary confecration of men, or the Institution, or choice of God: I demand fecondly, supposing those Children to belong more to God, than the Children of other matches whether by their thus becoming the peculiar property of God, they may not be suppos'd to be more dear to him, than the Children of other matches are? Every one naturally has ving an affection to fuch, as belong to him, fuitably to that nearness wherein they belong to him. demand Thirdly, supposing the Children of fuch matches to be more dear to God than the Children of others, whether we are not to think he will take a more particular care of them, than of others? The care of any person being always suitable to the affection he bears to those, who are the object of his care. I demand Fourthly, whether, supposing such a particular care of the Children of fuch matches, he will not take a more particular care of them as to their eternal welfare, than he doth of the Children of other men; All other care, without this, being of

little value to the party cared for, and, beside that (as experience shews) equally extended by God to the Children of other matches, as well as to the descendants of Christians. I demand Fifthly, supposing fuch a particular care as to their eternal welfare, whether he will not also allow them more means toward the compassing of it, than he can be suppos'd to allow to the Children of other Parents? All care, where it is reasonable, and just, employing futable means to bring that care of Now what peculiits unto effect. ar means doth, or can God allow to the Children of Christian Parents. as to the procuring of their eternal welfare, supposing them to die before they come of years, as the generality of them do, unless it be the Sacrament of Baptism? For as these, no more than other Children, capable of the benefits of the Gofpel by the graces of Faith, and Repentance; So they have no other way therefore, fave the Sacrament of Baptism, either to be deliver'd from the guilt of Original Sin, or enstated in Christs heavenly Kingdom,

dom. I conclude therefore, that the Children of Christian Parents, or of either of them being holy, they do by that holiness of theirs acquire a right to a greater holiness, I mean the holiness of Baptism. And indeed however Tertullian could in his book (f) De Baptismo argue the de-(f) cap. 181 lay of Infants Baptism, as that too upon their no need of it, or not being qualified for it; Yet as he could see enough elsewhere to make him believe, that every soul is reckneed in

Adam, till he be anew enrolled in Christ by the receit of the Sacrament of Baptism (g), so he could fee enough too in the Text I am now upon, to make him acknowledge, that the Children of the (b) faithful are boly by the prerogative of their seed, as well as by the discipline of their Institution, and by that holiness of theirs design'd, or mark'd out for a better holiness, and so for salvation.

(g) (h) De anima c. 39. Hinc enim & Apostolus ex sanctificato alterutro sexu sanctos procredri ait, tam ex seminis prærogativa, quam ex institutionis disciplina. Caterum, inquit, immundi nafcerentur, quafi defignatos tamen fanctitati, ac per hoc etiam saluti intelligi volens fidelium filios, ut hujus spei pignore matrimonits, que retinenda censuerat, patrocinaretur. Alinquin meminerat Dominice definitionis, Nifi quis nascetur ex aqua; & fperitu, non ibit in regnum dei, id eft, non erit fanctus. Ita omnis anima coufque in Adam cenfetur, donec in Christo recenfeatur, &c.

Which as it is the holiness, that I

have been all along enforcing, and endeavouring to evince from thence Children's right unto another; So of what force it is toward the inferring of it, will need no other light, than that, which we have from Tertullian. For with what force can any man deny them the holiness of Baprifm, who are defign'd, or mark'd out for it by the prerogative of their birth, and (as it may happen, and often doth) can no other way attain that holiness, or that salvation, which is confequent upon it? But because some men have advanc'd another, and a more improper holiness, even that by which Children become the issue of a lawful marriage, and not of an unlawful mixture; And because too they have in part advanc'd that holiness upon the difficulty of comprehending, how the unbeliever can otherwise be fanctified by the believer, than by making the match between them two to be lawful; Therefore I will both fet my felf to fhew, that the unbeliever may be otherwise fanctified by the believer, than by making him, or her to be a lawful match to the believer, and that the holiness.

ness, which S. Paul attributes to the Children of fuch a match, cannot be understood of such a holiness, whereby they become a lawful, or legitimate Issue. And I alledge for the former of these the unbelieving Husband's, for instance, being so fanctified by the believing Wife, as not only to become a lawful Husband to her, but a Christian one, and so, as to entitle the Issue of them both to the common priviledges of Christianity. Which is brought about by the unbelieving Husband's becoming one flesh, not any longer with an unbelieving Wife, but with a believing, and Christian one, and from whom that fanctification is derived to him. Even as the same S. Paul affirms (i) (i) 1 Cor. 6. him, that converfeth with an Harlot, to become one flesh with that Harlot, with whom he doth fo converse. and so receive pollution from her. Neither will it avail to fay (as poffibly it may be) that the believing Wife is as much one flesh with the unbelieving Husband, as the unbelieving Husband is with ber, and may therefore be as unclean by him, as he can be supposed to be fanctified by Y 2

her. For neither first is the believing Wife as much one flesh with the unbelieving Husband, as the unbelieving Husband is with her, unless it be as to the use of, or power over one another's Bodies: Partly, because, where there is fo great an inequality in the match, the denomination is in reason to be taken from the better party, which to be fure the believer is; And partly, because that confent of mind from which this union proceeds, and by which it is to be upheld, is more entire, and full upon the part of the unbelieving Husband, than it is upon the part of the believing Wife. For whilft she confents to dwell with him meerly as the Partner of her Bed, and conformably to the offices of fuch a one, of which she gave a sufficient proof by abandoning him as to his Religion, and continues to do the like by the continuance of that abandoning; The unbelieving Husband, on the other side, by being (as S. Paul expresent it) (k) well pleased to live with her notwithstanding, consents in fome measure to dwell with her as a Christian, and gives no contemptible

() 1 Cor. q. 12, 13. συνευδοκεί οίχειν.

tible indication of a farther confent with her in that Religion: There being otherwise no great likelihood of his continuing his Complacency, as well as cohabitation to her, who had abandon'd him as to his. But therefore as the believing Wife is not fo much one with the unbelieving Husband, as he is with her, and cannot therefore be fo likely to be polluted by him, as he is to be fanctified by her; So she becomes yet less likely to be polluted by him, because communicating with him in fuch AET, or Acts, as are not only lawful in themfelves, but moreover the indifpensible duties of that marriage, which she had contracted, and which, whilst he is thus pleas'd to dwell with her, she is by our Apostle himself obliged to maintain. And indeed as from fuch a match there is more reason to expect sanctification to the unbeliever, than there is of any fear of pollution to the other; So there will be yet less doubt of it, if we consider the fanctification here spoken of, not as an inward, but an outward one, and fuch an outward one too, by which the party

fanctified attains only the priviledge of being accounted of as a Christian Husband, and accordingly of conveying to those Children, that descend from them both a right to Sacrament of Baptism. For what less can be expected from a merciful God, where the unbeliever, though continuing fuch, yet takes pleafure notwithstanding that in his Christian Confort? And The, on the other fide, though abominating his Infidelity, yet in compliance with that marriage, wherein Christianity found her, cohabits with him notwithflanding, and no doubt both doth, and will employ all her kindness, and endeavours to gain him to a farther approbation both of her, and of the Religion she hath espous'd? Which suppos'd, a way will be open to give a clearer account of the thing intended, and withal of the force it is of to perfuade the believing Wifes continuing with the unbelieving Husband, if he (as is suppos'd in the present case) is as willing to dwell with her: The force thereof lying in this, that the believing Wife should in that case be so far from being polluted by the

the converse of the unbelieving Husband (which was no doubt these Corinthians fear, when they put this case to S. Paul) that on the contrary the unbelieving Husband should be so far sanctified by her, as to be to her in the place of a Christian one, and enjoy all the priviledges of fuch; The unbelieving Husband by becoming one flesh with the believing Wife becoming fo far Christian also, and so accounted of both by God, and the Church. Of which they had this undeniable proof, that the Children of those matches were not look'd upon as unclean, or heathen (which they must have been in part, if the unbeliever had not been forneway functified, as well as the believer) but accounted of as fo far holy, or Christian, as to be admitted to those priviledges, to which the Children of Christian Parents were, and particularly to that Baptilm, by which a better holiness is convey'd. This I take to be a fair, and clear account of the Apostle's words, and particularly of that boliness, which he attributes to those Children, that descend from the forementioned Parents.

rents. And I am yet more confirmed in it by the fondness of that notion, which hath been fet up to fupplant it, and by which the Children of fuch matches become the iffue of a lawful marriage, and not of an unlawful mixture. For beside that they. who advance this notion, make the words Else were your Children unclean, &c. to referr rather to the precept of the believer's cohabiting with the unbeliever, than to the unbeliever's being fanctified by the believer, to which last yet it apparently referrs, and is affign'd by S. Paul as a proof of; They suppose that in this notion of theirs, which there is not the least ground for, and which indeed this very place doth fufficiently confute. For who ever faid, or could fay that the marriages of the Heathen were unlawful, which yet they must have been, if there needed the cohabitation of the faitful to make them lawful, and the Children that were born of them to be legitimate? Nay who feeth not that S. Paul supposeth those marriages to be lawful, when he requires the believing party to cohabit with the unbeliever? For otherwise no doubt

doubt he would rather have advis'd to break off all commerce with the Infidel, or proceed to a new contract. Both which yet he is fo far from, that he feems to me not very willing to allow of a perfect breach, even when the unbeliever doth depart from the believer. For though he faith (1) that a brother, or sifter is (1) 1 Cor. 7. not in bondage in such a case, and may therefore, if they pleafe, look upon themselves as loos'd from the unbeliever; Yet he tells them (m) (m) Ibid. withall that God hath called us to peace, and therefore, (as I understand him) that breaches of that nature would be avoided as much as might be; And he tells them too (n), (n) ----- 16. that if they would not be over forward to make use of that liberty, which the unbeliever gave them by deferting them, there might be hopes of the unbelieving Wife, or Husband being wrought upon by the believer's patience, and forbearance, and reconcil'd both to them, and their Religion. However as there is no pretence from this place of the believer's making the marriage between the unbeliever and themselves to be

a lawful one, as which was fo before; Nor therefore for making the holiness of their Children to be no other than a civil one, and by which they only became a legitimate issue; So there is the more reason still to understand the holiness of that match, and the iffues of it, as one that entitles them to the outward priviledges of Christianity, and by which the unbelieving whether Husband, or Wife comes to be accounted of as a Christian one, and the Children of both parties as having a right to that Sacrament, by which all are to be initiated into Christianity, and partake of its Regeneration and Remission.

My third and last argument for the baptizing of the Infants, or Children of Christian Parents shall be taken from the Circumcision of those Infants, or Children, which descended from the posterity of Abraham, and after which I do not see what doubt can be well made of the other; Partly, upon the account of the Analogy there is between Circumcision, and Baptism, and partly upon account of the Children of Christian Parents having as good a right to the blessings

fings exhibited in them, as the Children of those, who were of the posterity of Abraham. For supposing (as was before (o) fhewn, and may (o) Expl. of hereafter (p) be farther clear'd) that in general. Circumcifion relates to the same spiritual bleffings with Baptifm, and particularly to the righteoutness of Faith; against Infant-And supposing farther that the Children of Christian Parents have as good a right to those bleffings, and that righteoufness, as the Children of those, that were of the posterity of Abraham; By the same reason that the Children of these were intitled to that Circumcision, which was intended to exhibit those bleffings, and that righteousness among them, the Children of the other shall be admitted to that Baptism, which was intended to exhibit them among us: Those Children, which have an equal right to the bleffings exhibited, having an equal right to those means, which were intended for the exhibition of them. Now that the Children of Christian Parents have as good a right to the former bleffings, and righteousness, as the Children of the Posterity of Abraham, will appear

the Sacram. Part 4. ()) Aniw. to the object. Baptilin.

(1) Rom. 4.

pear from those Parents of theirs being equally the Children (q) of A-braham with those, that were of his posterity. For being equally his Children, they must consequently be supposed to give their Children as good a right to the former blessings, and the means that was intended to exhibit them among us, as the posterity of Abraham did their Children to the like blessings, and that means which among them was intended for the exhibition of them.

II. The Baptism of Infants being thus made out from the Scripture and by fuch passages thereof also, as cannot be eafily avoided; Pass we on to enquire, what countenance it hath from Antiquity, as which if it be any thing confiderable, will the more firmly establish it. Where the first, that I shall take notice of, is a passage of Justin Martyr, I do not mean what is commonly quoted out of his Questions, and Answers, ad Orthodoxos (r), it being questionable enough (f) whether that Book were his, or at least as we now have it, but what may be found in

(r) Quest. 56. (f) Vid. Coci Censur.quorund. Script. in Script. Just. Martyr.

in his fecond Aplogy (t), and concerning which there is not any the least controversie in the Church. In which Apology speaking of the excellency of the Christian Law above that of any humane ones, in fetting bounds to the carnal defires of men he hath these words. And there are many men, and women of sixty, and seventy years of Age, who having from their Childhood

been discipled unto Christ, have all their time continued uncorrupt, or Virgins; And I boast that I can shew such among all sorts of men. For why should we also speak of that innumerable multitude of men, who have chang'd from intemperance, and so have learnt these things? For Christ called not the just, or temperate to repentance, but the ungodly, and intemperate, and unjust. Which words to an unbiast Reader cannot well fignifie less, than Childrens being then baptiz'd into Christianity; That Father not only making mention of certain

(t) Pag. 62. Kai πολλοί πνες. n) moddal ignkortismi, EBSounnorTeras, of in maistor בונם אודמט שוסמע דה אנונים, वैक्रिक्ट शिवमांग्रह : में रंग १०μαι χτι παν γέν Ο άν βρώπων Toistes Sigar. Ii 28 x λέγουθυ το αναείθμητον THE TE d'XO A STORASIA μεταβαλόντων, κή ταῦτα μα-Horwy; Ou of The Snains, हे कि का काक्рण्या मंद्र मान्यvoiar enanever o geisos, वंभवे को वेज्डिसंड, में वेशकrasus, nj adinus.

certain persons, who had from their childhood been discipled unto Christ, which we know from our Saviour

() Man. 28. () to have been effected by Baptifus, and continu'd too all their time uncorrapt, or Virgins (which yet is a comtent proof of their being baptiz'd, when Children) but opposing them to fuch persons as had chang'd from intemperance, and rather learnt that purity afterward, than been discipled into it at the very first: That oppofition of his making it yet more evident, that he meant fuch persons as were discipled to Christ from their very childhood, and before they were in a capacity of learning him, and his doctrine by instruction. this of Justin Martyr subjoyn we another of Irenaus, which is yet more

(w) Omnes enim venit per sametipfum salvore: Omnes, inquam, qui per eum renascuntur in Deum, infantes, & parvulos, & pue-ros, & jivenes, & femores. Ideo per omnem venit atatem, & infantibus infans fattus, fanctificans infantes, & in parvulis parvulus sanctificans banc ipsam babentes atatem. Adv. baref.li. 2. 6. 39.

clear for the Baptism of Infants. For Christ (faith Father (w)) came Save all persons by himself; All I say, who by him are born again to God, Infants, and little ones, and Children, and Young Men, and Old.

Therefore he came in every Age, and was

was made an Infant to Infants, Sanstifying Infants, and a little one among little ones, Santtifying those of that age, Ston Where we have him not only affirming Christ to have come to Sove Infants, as well as others, yea to have been made an Infant himself to Santtifie them, which shews them in his opinion to have had a general right to the bleffings of Christianity, but speaking of feveral of them as born again unto God by Chrift, which is as much as to fay baptiz'd: That as it is the way, by which all are to be fo born; even by the Doctrine of (x) our Saviour, (x) Joh. 3.5. for the way too, by which the Antients apprehended it to be effected. For thus where Justin Martyr intreats of the Baptism of those of his time, he tells us (7) that they, (7) Apologi who were to partake of it; were 2. P. 93. 4. brought by the Christians to a place where water was, and there regenerated after that manner of regeneration, wherewith they themselves had been. And to the fame purpose also this very Ireneus (z), because not only (2) Adv. hers. attributing the same regeneration to 1. 1.c. 18. it, but representing it as the Doctrine

of the Gnofticks, as to that Baptism which they fet up against our Saviour's, that it was necessary for those, who had received perfect knowledge, to be so regenerated into that vertue, or power, which is above all things. Which passage, with the former one, makes it yet more manifest that Irenaus meant by such Infants, as were born again by Christ unto God, fuch as had been regenerated by Baptism, and confequently that the Baptism of such was no stranger in his days. I think I shall not need to inful upon the days of Tertullian, because what the practice of that time was is evident from his disputing against Infant Baptism, or at least advising to delay it: There being no place for fuch a dispute, or advice, if the thing it felf had not been then in use, and in use too (as he himself intimates) in obedience to that precept of our Saviour, which enjoyn'd the Suffering little Children to come unto him in order to their partaking of his bleffing, and Kingdom. And indeed as Origen, who liv'd not long after him, doth not only affert the same practice of Infant

fast Baptifm, but affirm * the Church * In Remite to have received it as a Tradition from the Apostles; So Tertullian's Scholar, and great admirer S. Cyprian + gives + Epift. 39: fush an ample testimony to it, that I know not what need to be added to it. For one Fidus having question'd him concerning the cause of Infants, who he thought ought not to be baptiz'd till the eighth day according to the law of Circumsifion, S. Cyprian in a Council of fixty fixe. Bishops made this following Answer to his demand; That he, and the whole Countil that was with him, had gaite other thoughts of that affair, they univerfally judging that the mercy; and grace of God was to be deny'd to none, that was born of men. And again, that if remission of sins were upon the faith of the parties given to the greatest Offenders, neither was any of them debard from Baptism, and grace, how much lefs ought a new-born Infant to be debarred of it, who had no other fin to answer for, but what he drew from Adam, and who came: fo much the more easily to receive pardon of fin, because it was not his own proper fins, but those of others, that

were to be forgiven him? For which: cause the opinion of the Council was that no one ought to be debar'd by them from Baptism, and the Grace of God, and that, if that were to be observ'd, and retain'd as to all persons what soever , it was much more to be observid, and retain'd as to Infants, and new-borm persons, whose very tears wherewith they enter dthe World, feemed more to deserve it both from them, and the divine mercy. I omit for brevity fake the many testimonies of S. Augustine to the same purpose, and his affirming t in particular, that the Church always had it, always retain'd it, and receiv'd it from the faith of it's predeceffors; And shall content my felf, as to this particular, with his, and the Church's pressing the Pelagians of with the practice of Infant Baptisin, and those Pelagians, how much soever straitned by it, yet choosing rather to evade the force of it, than to deny in any measure the truth of the thing alledg'd: It being not to be thought, that, if there had been any the least suspicion of the Antiquity of Infant Baptism, or indeed of its being

† Aug. Serm. 10. de verbis] Apost.

* Voffi. Hiftor. Pelag. li. 2. part. 1 Thef. 5. being derived to the Church from the Apostles, either the Catholicks would have so considertly alledged it against the *Pelagians*, or the *Pelagi*ans so easily, and without any the least opposition have admitted it.

III. Now as if we allow Infants to be capable of Baptism, we must confequently allow them the graces of that Sacrament, because Baptism was intended to convey them; So I must needs fay, I do not see why (supposing Original sin, which hath been before fufficiently establish'd) we should scruple to attribute to them the graces of that Sacrament. and particularly remission, and regeneration. For if Infants are naturally under the guilt of Original fin, there is fo far forth place for, and a necessity of remission; And if the fame Infants are naturally under the pollution of it, or (as our Cate chism expresseth it) are by nature born in sin, and the children of wrath, they are alike capable by Baptilin of being regenerated, or made the children of grace. Not that there is, or can be even in baptiz'd Infants any actual Z 3 perception

perception of, or adhesion to that, which is spiritually good, but a disposition to both, and by vertue whereof the foul is fitted, and inclin'd to each, when years, and opportunity invite: As the same soul is to rea-Con, and will, when it arrives at years of maturity, by vertue of those natural faculties, that are from the beginning in it. Which is a fort of regeneration, that is most sutable to the state of Infancy, and beyond which therefore, whilst they continue in that flate, we are not reasonably to expect; But is withal as true a regeneration as that, which is wrought in those of riper years, and by which the fouls of the parties regenerated actually perceive, and cleave to that good, which the other is only disposed to: That actual perception, and adhesion being not so much any part of their regeneration, or new birth, as the effects, or iffues of it.

IV. But because how clear soever Infant Baptism may thus far seem, yet it cannot be deny'd to be encumbred with many, and great difficulties,

ties, or at least such as appear so to men of prejudiced minds; Therefore it will be but necessary, before I leave this head, to felect fuch of them as feem to be most pressing, and return a fatisfactory Answer to them: Whether they be fuch as relate to the grounds, on which I have endeavour'd to establish it, or such as strike more directly at the thing it felf.

That, which is most to be consider'd of the former fort, is that (a) See Jor.

Which pretends to evacuate the Ar- of Proph. Sect. gument from the Circumcifing of the 18. num. 13. Infants of Abraham's posterity to the Baptizing of the Children of Chri-Which it endeavours in part from Circumcifions being but a Type, or figure of Baptism, and so either proving nothing at all without some express to fignifie fuch a thing to be its purpole, or after the nature of fuch things, directing us rather to a spiritual childhood in order to Baptifm, than shewing a natural childhood to be a due subject of it; And in part also from Circumcisions being not in all things a rule to the Christians Baptism, and particularly not

not in the persons, that are to be baptized (Women as well as Men being confessedly the subject of the latter) nor in the time of their receiving of it. For if Circumcision be a sufficient direction for the baptizing of Insants, why not also a like direction for the confining of it to the Males? And if it were to be a direction as to the baptizing of Insants, why not also as to the baptizing of them upon the eighth day (which was the day of administring Circumcision) as that Fidus, whom S. Cyprian answer'd, seemed to be persuaded, and accordingly argued it from the like administration of Circumcision.

As to what is objected concerning Circumcifions being but a type of Baptilm, and so either proving nothing at all without some express to signific such to be its purpose, which is not pretended in the present case, or if proving any thing as to the matter of childhood, yet directing rather to a spiritual, than a natural one; I answer that as I see not why Circumcision should be look'd upon as only a type of Baptism, nor indeed

as any type at all, unless it be in a general fense, and as a thing that is like unto another may be look'd upon as a type of that, to which it is fo, in which fense I my self have also us'd the word, and allow it so to be, so we do not at all argue from Circumcifion, as it may be fupposed to be a type of Baptism, but as a fign of the same righteousness of Faith, of which Baptilm is, and of the fame gracious Covenant, that affures it. And in this sense as nothing hinders us to argue from Circumcifions being bestowed upon Infants then, that that which is a fign of the same righteousness of faith under the Gospel is in reason to be extended to the same persons; So there is this in particular to enforce it, that Christian Parents would otherwise fall short, in the account of God, of the priviledges of the natural descendants of Abraham. which what account can be given, when Abraham, from whom they both claim, is declated to be the Father of them both, yea is said by S. Paul to have receiv'd the fign of Circumcifion, not only as a feal, or affurance

affurance to himself of that righteousness of faith, which he before had,
but a seal, or an affurance also of
his being to the same purposes a Fa(b) Rom. 4. ther (b) of those that believe, though
11. they be not circumcised, as well as

a Father of those that were.

There is as little reason to be stagger'd by what is alledged in the fecond instance, that if Circumcision be a fufficient direction for the baptizing of Infants, it may as well be a direction for the confining of it to the Males, and for the confining of it too to the eighth day after the Infants birth. Because first the Sacrament of Baptism hath nothing in it to confine it to the Males, as Circumcifion had, but on the contrary is equally fitted to be administred to both Sexes. And fecondly because it appears from what was before faid (t) concerning the Rite of Baptilin among the Jews, that the want of Circumcifion was afterwards fuppli'd to the Females by Baptism, and they thereby even in their Infancy initiated into the fame Covenant with the other. For this shews yet more, how little reason, there is to

(c) Part 1.

to argue from Circumcifions being confin'd to the Males, that therefore Baptism ought to be so; Or rather how much more reason there is to extend it both to Male, and Female, and fo to all of the fame Infant estate. If therefore there be any thing to hinder our arguing from Circumcision in this particular, it must be its not being pretended by our felves to be a direction as to the day of its administration, as well as to the persons, to whom it ought to be administred. But beside that there is a vast difference between the perfons, to whom any Sacrament is to be given, and the precise day, on which it is to be fo, and therefore not the like reason for Circumcision's directing as to this, as there is for its directing as to the other; What Circumcifion directs as to the case of Infants is more a favour, than a command, whereas what is directed as to the precise day is rather a command, than a favour. Now it being a rul'd cale, That Favours are rather to be enlarg'd, than restrain'd, especially under a Dispensation, which is so manifestly gracious, as that of the

the Gospel is, there may be reason enough for our interpreting what is faid concerning the Circumcifion of Infants to the equal, or rather greater benefit of Infants now, and confequently that Sacrament, which came in place of it, to be rather hastned, than deferred to a day, to which possibly they may not arrive, but however to be given them as foon, as a convenient opportunity presents it felf. Add hereunto the difference there is between Circumcision, and Baptism as to the trouble, or danger, which may attend the administration of them to such tender bodies, as those of Infants are. For there being a greater trouble, and danger to Infants from the Rite of Circumcifion, than there is from the Rite of Baptism; There might be greater reason for the deferring of that to the eighth day, than there is for the deferring of this. And what is therefore, as to that particular, directed concerning Circumcifion, not to be drawn into example in the matter of Baptism, though other more material, and more advantagious circumstances are.

But

THE PRINT

But leaving what is commonly urg'd against the Argument from the Circumcifing of Infants, because, as I suppose, sufficiently assoil'd by the foregoing discourse. Let us take a view of fuch Objections as strike more directly at Infant Baptilm, or at least of the more material ones. Such as I take to be first the want of an express command, or direction for the administring of Baptism to Infants; Secondly, their being incapable of that regeneration, which is the great intent and end of Baptism, or giving no sutable indications of it afterwards; Thirdly, their being as wincapable of nantwering what is prerequired to nitrion the part of the persons to be baptized. receiving of it.you ovin or boo not

That which feems to flick much and a with the Adversaries of Infant Baptism, and is accordingly arg'd at all turns against the Friends, of Afferters of it, is the want of an express command, or direction for the administring of Baptism to them. Which objection feems to be the more reasonable, because Baptism,

as well as other Sacraments, receiving all its force from Inflitution they may feem to have no right to, or benefic by it, who appear nouby the inflication of that Sacrament to be intitled to it, but rather, by the qualifications which it requires, to be excluded from it. And possibly more might be of the opinion of the Objectors, if there had not been before an express Law for admitting Infants to that righteoulack of Faith, of which Baptism is a sign, and a means of conveyance; and for admitting them too by fuch an outward fign, as that of Baptilin is. But fuch an express law having been before given by God, and that law as notorious as any law in either Testament, there was no reason (d) for God to give any fuch express law for the fo administring of Baptifin, or for us to expect it from him : It being enfie to collect from the Analogy there is between the two Sacraments, and the great graciousness of the present dispensation, that what was communicated to the Children of Abraham's posterity by the fign of Circumcifion, which was then the **ftanding**

(d) See Stillingfleet's Irenicum. Part 1. cap. 1.5. 3.

standing way of administring it, was alike intended for the Children of those, who were to as good, or better purpose the Children of the same Abraham, and intended too to be transmitted to them by their particular Sacrament, and to which (as was before observed) the great graces of the Gospel were annexed by our Savious (1) himfelf Which (1) Joh. 3 9. Argumentation is fo much the more reasonable, because in appears by what was but now flaggefied, that our Savious , whole & Institution Baptifin was, gave a fufficient indication of his own kindness to that tender effate, yea of his owning those, that were of it, so have a right to that Kingdom of Heaven, to which Baptismby his own appointment was intended to admit mentio bas dauri

The next great Objection against the Baptism of Infants is their supposed incapacity of that regeneration, which is the great end, and intent of Baptism, or giving had intent of Baptism, or giving had since they begin to be in a natural capacity to exert it. The former whereof the Anabaptists argue from the Scripture's speaking

LIM

(f) 1 Pet. I. Speaking of it. (f) as produced by 23. the word of struth, and other fuch mational means . As the latter by the little appearance there is of their many of those, what are baptized, after they atrive at the years of discretion in E: specially where, as it often happens in the Dominion of the Tarky they are taken away from their Parants () before they come to be of any years, and bred up in the Mehameten Religion. of or under this they dare fo far from giving any indications of a Christian regeneration, that our Res ligion hathand greater, or more implacable enomies, abarothing lo in the As to what is argued toward the proof of Infants incapacity of regel necation from the Scriptoires ifpeaking ing of its producid by ithe word of truth, and other fuch mational ways of procedure it must neckeray I do not fee why it should be alledg'd in this particular same sylveny where intimated sthat there was no other way of producing it, no not in the Souls of Infants ... For the Scrippure fpeaking thoughd bfirmen converted: from Judailin, or Heathenism to Chriflianity, and confequently brought speaking to

to it in a rational way; What is spoken of their regeneration, is not to be drawn into example here, unless the fame Scripture did any where intimate that there was no other way of regeneration than that, or it could not be otherwise produced. Which befide the affront it offers to the ommipotency of God's spirit, and which even in men must be supposed to have the chiefest stroke, will need no other confutation, than Gods creating man at first after his own image without any concurrence of his, and producing in our Saviour, even in his conception, that perfect holines, which was in him. For why may not God produce in an Infant that imperfect regeneration, whereof we speak, as well as he did that more perfect Righteousness, and true Holiness, wherewith our first Parents were created, or that more excellent, as well as more durable one, which he did in our Saviour from the very beginning, and which the Scripture it felf attributes to the Holy Ghoft's overshadowing his Mother's Womb? But it may be, though Infants are not incapable of regeneration, and for far

far forth cannot with reason be debarr'd the Sacrament of it; Yer there is evidence enough upon the postfact, that no fuch thing is collated in their Baptism, and that Baptism of theirs therefore not to be fook'd upon as a legitimate one. For if the regeneration we speak of were collated in the Baptism of Infants, it would, (because all Infants are alike qualifi'd for that Sacrament) be collated in fome measure upon all of them, which yet the future behaviour of many of them doth render justly questionable: Many of them being untoward enough, when they first come of years, though advantaged by a futa-ble education, and others (as before faid) taken away early from their Christian Parents, and both educated in a contrary Religion, and made zealous Profelytes of it. Which things how they should be consistent with that regeneration, whereof we speak, is at least very difficult to apprehend. And posfibly these two things have stuck more with confidering men than most of the other Arguments that have been brought against Infant Baptism, and have perhaps given as much trouble to

all those who have duly consider d them. But whether they are in truth of that force, which they feem to be of, may well be doubted by those, who shall consider this regeneration as the state of Infants requires, or at least makes it reasonable enough to do: I mean as a weak, and imperfect thing, and rather as the feed of a more ffrong, and perfect regeneration, than a throughly form'd, and well fetled one. For fo if we conceive of it, we shall find no great difficulty to apprehend first, that where there is not only nothing of a Christian education to excite, and improve it, but a contrary one from the very beginning, and fuch a one in particular as Christian Children have from the Turks; So, I fay, it will not be difficult to apprehend, but it may be perfectly overwhelm'd, and choaked by it: As that feed in the Parable was, that was fown among Thorns, or as that may be supposed to be, that is covered over with rubbish, and hindred by it from fprouting forth. And though I cannot fay the fame of the regeneration of fuch persons, as have af-Aa'2 terwards

Jieg so of the Baptifm

terwards had a Christian, and it may be a careful education to excite it for here one would think it should everyowhere more forcibly exert it felf) yet this Irmay, which will be ofvequal force, that in that sale it may equally fail for want of those aperious exciting it in inerwhom what feed is lown, or of their answering by their care wand endeavour that Education, which is made wife of sig order to it of Fosti Baptiffi Las bath been often faid) being in the nature of a Ripulation or Contract, where fomewhat is to be perform'd by the party Baptized, as foon as he is in a capacity to do it, las well as by him, with whom the contract is made; No wonder if when the haptized person comes to be in a capacity to perform his part, and doth not he with whom the Contract is made do first withdraw his bleffing from that, which he hath before fown in him, and afterward the feed it felf. For in either of thefe cafes we cannot expect fuch indications, or effects of the Baptismal regeneration, as o therwise we might, and as do actually shew themselves in many of those,

those, who have been made partakers of its hills may be enough this God hath furnish to fach persons with a regeneration photochiduring abeir minocity will qualifie them for and fecure them to his Kingdom, rand aregeneration too which, it well improved, will grew into a more complete, and effectivatione and in fine bring them to a dos holisels, land unto God. helf the baptized perfors will, when they are in a capacity to do better, neglect to exeme it of will oppose it, they mil thank themselves, if they mile carry, and not lay the blame upon any failure on Christs party and much lefs deny his having donferred it on them. The third, and last great Objection being incapable of answering what is

The third, and last great Objection against the Baptilm of Infants is their being incapable of answering what is presented to it on the part of the persons that are to be baptilzed, or is to be personned by them in the receiving of its. Which incapacity they argue, as to the former of these, from the Scripture's pre-requiring Faith, and Repentance to it, as the latter from that Ripulation, which Baptism involves, and which Infants are equally incapacitated to make.

Aa 3

The

The Answer, which our Cate. chifm makes to thefe difficulties, ot. at least to the former, is, that they promise them both by their sureties, which promife, when they come to Age. themselves are bound to proform And possibly this Answer might be better digested than it is, if the minds of those, who argue against dufants Baptilm, were more freeon and un prejudicid, than they commonly appear to beared Becaufe furth whan is urg'd against Ansant Baptism upon the account of its being a fripulation, for Contract is equally of force against the Circumoisson of Infants because that was equally a Covenium, or rather a fign of it, and a means of entring into it. Which notwith flanding y the Infants of Mercham's posterity were by the Command of God handelf admired to its and thereupon reckon'd as in Covening with himme Now if the Infanty of Abrahaws posterity were by sithe Command of God admined into Covenant with him; What hould hinder the Infants of Charlians from Covenanting in hike mans ner with him, and fo far forth from being

being admitted to the participation of that Sacrument; which is a fight of the tame gracious Covenant, and a means of entring into it? Again Secondly, though Infants cannot in Brienes Covenant with God because neither having reason enough to apprehend the terms of it, nor will rondetermine themselves to the performance of them my retas they may by favour be admitted to a partner. thip in a Covenant, and where God. or Christ is the person, with whom they contract billiged when they come of years to answer their part in its fo by the fame favour of him. with whom they contract, what is done to them, or for them, may be interpreted as a promise on their part formeho performance of it. By which means thoughthey thould not becapable of a firid, mand proper flipuo lation, vet they may of that, which is interpretatively fuch. The only farther doubt in this affair is, white ther God accepts of fuch a Ripulation, which his accepting of it until der the Covenant of Circumcifion . and from the Children of Abraham's natural posterity will easily remove. w hich Aa 4 For

For the Coverage of Baptilm being no way inferior in it felf to (on rather but the fame Covenant in a different drefs with) the Covenant of Circumcifion, inox the Children of Abruham's spiritual feed inferior to those of the natural one What was accepted of under the Covenant of Circumcifions and from the Children of Abraham's matural feed may as areafonably be prefum'd to be accepted of under Baptiling and from the Children of his spiritual. How much more , whom (as was before thewn) his Son, and our Saviour Christ (g) shirth commanded 14. Children to be brought to him for his benediction, and grace, and his Apoltle and our great Infructer S. Paut declar deheat hildren of Chris flians to be boly year where but one of the Parents is to ? Thus wentery rationally answers what is objected against the stipplation of Infants and confequently against their taking upon them what is required of them in the receiving of Baptilin; Which will leave nothing to us to make anfwer to, but their fuppoled incapacity for that faith, and rependance. which

(g) Mark 10.

which foem to be pre-required to t, and which tone would think they. that are to be baptized affould bring with them in fome measure, as well as make popromife roftie But belide that those fiexts they which speak (b) Mark 16. of these prerequifices do all mani- Acts 2.38. feltly relate to adult perfons, and fuch 15 8-27as are brought to Baprism by the preaching of the Golpelin and therefore not lightly so be ourg'd in the gafe of Infants ; There are thefe three Substantial teasons to make a difference between Infants, and Men as to this particulat. First, that Infants are not admitted to Baptifing and the graces cof it upon the account of any right in themselves, but of the right of their Parents Secondly, that they are admirred for the preferencialeffer apprion moto the Divine graces, whan adult persons are, and fuch as are rather the feeds of them, withan any dwoughly form'd , or well fetled ones. Thirdly othat what right they receive by their Baptism to futunt, and more perfect priviledges, depends for their actually attaining them upon their exhibiting that faith, and repentance, which at the time of their Baptism

Baptilin they only inade a promite of Foreit (as is alledged in the first reason). Enfants are not admitted to Baptism and the Graces of it; upon the daccount of any right in the fishes, but of the right of their is gnime Parents; What should

* Accommodat illis more present friender take Charch from fia aliorum pedagist giniani. Leminating the Infants from aliorum eer ut credant, aliorum ilongioti ing from it the feet linguam ut fateantie, ut quod en ilongioti ing from it the feet quod egri fant aliogecquie presing from the more, that They gravanto, fic cum il fan funt alio many comercy the belief pro eis confiente alventin. Aug.

culous astemptificans becoming of de librar that they may confess, that because, and that they tween ficky they move proffed down by unor heres fing it they may, whence they were made whotest be favored by takes confession of another & Is again (as is alledged in the fecond reason, and provid before in the matter of regeneration) Infants are admitted for the present to a defler portion of the divine Graces, than adult persons are, and fuch as are rather the feeds of them, than any throughly form'd, or well ferted one; Who can think but that a like difference duglir to best between them as to the pro-requifited. of their Baptifin and that therefore?

notice be undid as to the cale of Infants, which was prerequired of the other? In fine if Cas it alledged in the third reafon) what right Infants receive by wheir Baptifin co future, and more perfect priviledges, depends soor their attaining of them, dupon their beshibling that Friend Repensance Which at the time of their Baptiffit they on ly vinade a promise of a Remay be time chough, when that right is to be adulated to databit that Faith and Repentance and 16 make way for it, as they who are of your doi: Otherwife more That be Apposed to be required of Infants, thanks of adult perlong themselves , Because that Paith and Repentance is not requir'd of the latter, till the full pel viledges of Baptism are to be bestow'd upon them. And I shall only add, that if care were taken that the Faith. and Repentance of those, who were baptiz'd in their Infancy, were as: well enquir'd into, and prov'd as their knowledge in the Catechism is, before they were allow'd to be confirm'd; The Church would not only better discharge the trust, that is repofed

fed in her as concerning those perfons, whose Faith and Repentance were not before proy'd, nor could be, but more effectually flop the mouths of the Anabaptists, than all the Arguments the or her Sons offer for Infant Rappiles, will ever be able to do. For to the would make it appear, that though the contented her felf in their Baptilm with the promise that was made for them, of rather with that tacit stipulation, which their very Baptism involves; Yet she was as mindful, when they came of years, to oblige them to the performance of it, and to give diseproofs in their own perfors of all those things, which Baptism in adult persons doth either pre-suppose, or oblige to the perforon feet to the fitter of the theorem

these said I mall only add, off to one week the that the Faith,

The Artest Milinoy , Wene so Sin the Carolifm is before the Confirm a second of the confirm as second of th

Land Repe Jane PA od The right of the Atlanting. guneeus the or nor 8065 offer for Iff. Tani latti X will Twe Re Ale To Fur lo line would make it ippent, Whether Bappilm may be then Bapulm with the promile that we's made the with act from the dell's their very Bape and involved Yer the was as mino a strained affine of years, to oblice them to the performance of What the strue fate of the present defion is, and that it is not founnoded in any suppas d illegitimateness of the former Baptism, but upon Supposition of the baptized persons either not having before had, or forfeited the regeneration of it, or fallen off from that Religion, to which it doth belong. Whereupon enquiry is made, whether if such persons repent and return, they ought to be baptiz'd anew, or received into the Church without. What there is to perswade the repeating of Baptism, and what the Church hath alledged against it. The Churches arguments from Eph. 4. 4.

4. 4. and Joh. 13. 10, proposed, but waved. The Churches opinion more firmly established in the no direction there is in Stripture for rebaptization in those cases, but rather the contrary, and in the mone-selfity there is of it. The Arguments for rehaptization answer a.

HE fourth, and laft queftion relating to the right Administration of Baptilm is whether it may be repeated. Which question is not founded in any supposed illegitimacy of the former Baptism (for that is here taken for granted to have been good, and valid) but upon supposition of the baptized persons either having not before received, or forfeited the regeneration. he acquir'd by it, or fallen off altogether from that Religion, into which he was baptized. In which cases, fuppoling the person to repent of his former either impiery, or Apostasie, it is enquir'd whether he may be baptiz'd anew, or receiv'd into the Communion of the faithful without it.

Now though, if Men would abide by the Doctrine of the Church, this quequestion would be of case resolution;

S. Cyprian * himfelf, who was so fierce for the rebaptizing of those, who mind, nor relative and one
had been baptized by Hereticks, yet advancing set while district with sibile set,
not that Allertion of his ant, while gratis, or vertices

upon a belief of Baptilm's maisth, and to gratia & webeing to be repeated, provided it were a legitimate one, but on supposition of the former Baptisms being no true, and genuine one; Yet will it not be of so casie a resolution, if that Authority be laid aside, and the thing in question weigh'd rather by Arguments, than fuffrages. For what do they differ in effect from Heathen, or Infidels, who either never before had, or have fallen quite off from the regeneration, or faith of Baptilin? And if they differ notat all from them, why should they not, if they repent, and return, be received as Heathen, or Infidels, I mean by the Sacrament of Baptism? Especially, when in the ordinary difpensation of God the graces of the Sacrament are annexed to the Sacrament, nor can be expected without it. For, that supposed, why should

not thefe men being to begin their Christianity a new come a second time under that Sacrament, which is to enter beginners into it, and give them the regggeration; and remission of it?

Of what force these Objections are, fhall be afterward confidered; The only reason of my present mention of them is to thew, that the question is not without its difficulty, and that if we will fatisfic our understandings fo, as to be able to latisfie others, we maft enquire into the grounds of the Churches opinion, as well as be fatisfied, that the Church hath been fo perfuaded. Which we shall find the more reason for, because one principal Text, which hath been alledged, feems not to come up to it, nor indeed to have any relation to that affair; That I mean, which suggests one Faith in him. For the defign of

+ Eph. 4. 5.] one Baptifor + as well as one Lard, and the Apostle in that place being to perswade the Ephesians to unity, and peace among themselves, as that too, among other things by there being one Lord, one Faith, and one Baptifm; cannot fo reasonably be allought to

mean

mean any other, than that they all had one common Lord, to whorh they related, one common Faith in that Lord, and one common Baptism, or mode of initiating into it: That unity, as it best agrees with that one hope of their calling, by which they are also prest, because declared to be one +, in which they were all called; + Eph. 4.4. So most naturally, and most immediately enforcing that agreement with one another, for the inculcating whereof they are all fuggested. I say not the same, nor can of that unity of Baptism, which imports only a fingle administration of it to one, and the same person; That unity, though it may oblige the person to flick close to his Religion, and to the profession he hath made of it in that one Baptism of his, yet perswading not any adhesion to, or unity with other Baptized persons, than as they may be suppos'd to partake in canimon with him in it. But it may be there is more force in what is alledged from our Saviour, where he faith (a), that (a) Joh. 13. he, that is washed, even by a more general washing, needeth not fave to wash his feet. And so no doubt there 15

(5) Part 2.

is, if by the former washing be meant the washing of Baptism, as some of the Antients conceived, and as I have elsewhere (b) made it probable. But there is this exception against it, as to the thing we are now about, that it seems to suppose the more general purity procured by it to abide, and mens affections, or actions only to have some pollution in them. Whereas those, concerning whose rebaptization we intreat, either never had, or have forfeited their baptismal regeneration, or fallen off altogether from that Religion to which it belongs.

Now that, which in my opinion ought to have the first place in our thoughts is the no direction there is in Scripture for the repetition of Baptism, where the like Apostasie, or impiety hath happened, but rather a direction to a contrary course. And I instance for the proof thereof in Simon Peter, after he had deny'd, and for fworn his Mafter; And in Simon Magus, after he had proceeded to fo great a degree of impiety, as to offer the Apostles money for the gift of the Holy Ghoft. For to the former of these, even S. Peter, we find no other

other washing directed, fave that (c) (c) Luk. 22. of penitential tears; Nay we find him admonished (d), as well as li- (d)----22. cenfed after that conversion of his to fet himself to the strengthning of his brethren. Which in all probability he would not have been without a foregoing Baptism, if our Saviour had meant for the future, that nothing but a new Baptilm should be able to convert fuch Apoltates to himself: His paffing over fo great an Apostasie in a prime disciple of his upon his bare repentance being apt to encourage other men to prefume of the fame unto themselves. Neither will it avail to fay, that this instance will not reach the case, because it doth not appear, that S. Peter was baptiz'd before. For supposing that he were not, which yet (as was heretofore
(e) observed) in all probability he (e) Pare 2. was, the case of the Rebaptizers will not be render'd better, but rather so much the worse for it. For if he was not baptiz'd before, there was the more reason he should be baptized now, if nothing but a new Baptism. generally can wash away Apostasie. The instance of Simon Magus is yet more

more clear, and unexceptionable, where the regeneration of Baptism bath not been before receiv'd, or forfeired after the receiving of it. For that Simon Mague either never received, or had now loft the Baptismal regeneration, is evident from the words of S. Peter to him; That holy man not on-(f) Acts 8.20. ly curfing him (f) for bis offer of money, but telling him in express terms, that he had neither lot, nor part in the (e)---21. matter (g) of Christianity, and that his heart was not right in the fight of (h)—23. he was in the gall of bitterness (h), and in the bond of iniquity. Which notwithstanding, the same S. Peter 22. directed him only (i) to repent of that his wickedness, and pray God, if perhaps the thought of his heart might be forgiven him. Which how could S. Peter have done, especially in so notorious a case, if a second Baptism had been necessary to wash away that finful estate, which the former Baptism had not purg'd, or at least

My fecond Argument against the repetition of Baptism is the no necessity of it in either of the forementi-

which had returned after its beat

on'd

onld infrances. As will appear whether we consider it as a means of obliging us to that piety, which our Religion requires, or as a means of conveying the graces of it. For in the former notion it is as really, and effe-Aually an obligation to a Christian life in an unfincere person, or one who afterwards apostatizeth, as if it had been never to heartily intended; The obligation thereof arifing not from the fecret fentiments of the person, that is baptized, or his constancy to his profession, but from the nature of the thing it felf, and the Institution of God, that prescrib'd it. Provided therefore we take upon us the Sacrament it felf, we tie our felves by it without remedy, neither can there therefore be any need of our obliging our felves by it's fecond time, unless he, who inflituted it, should require it of us. It is true indeed fo far as we have departed from it whether by Apostasie, or impiety, so far it will concern us to own it again to our Lord, and Master, by our repentance of the breaches of it, and a repetition of the fame vows unto him; And it will concern us too, if the Church requires

requires it, to fatisfie that allo, that we do so repent, and will amend. But as both the one, and the other may be done without the repetition of our Baptism, foa frank acknowledgment with our mouths, together with the receir of the Lord's Supper, may very well ferve for those purposes, because ferving a like to declare them. But it affair lies in what concerns Baptilm as a means of conveying the graces of it, and particularly our regeneration, and new birth. And I must confess I was for some time at a loss what to think in it, till I confider'd that the Sacrament of Baptilin was not either a phylical cause, or conveyer of Grace that we should think the grace of it could not be in the receiver of Baprism, unless it were either presently produced in him, or conveyed to him, but a moral instrument thereof, or a means to which God hath annexed the promise of it. For such a one by the favour of that God, who hath annexed the promise of his Grace unto it, may operate at a diffance, as well as in prefence, and accordingly may convey it to the receiver of Baptilm,

tism, as well after his Baptism, as together with it, yea convey it after the baptized person hath lost it, as well as it did at first. Which supposed, the only remaining difficulty will be whether we may reasonably expect it from God, Supposing the baptized person to return, and repent. A thing, which they have little reason to question, who believe God to allow a fecond Baptism upon it, and we shall have far less, if we reflect upon the former instances of Peter, and Simon Magus. For if God will allow of the remedy of a second Baptism upon repentance, why not also allow the first Baptism to be the means of conveying his graces, and our health, and foundness? Especially, when the breaches of it come to be acknowledged, and the vow thereof renewed. And if God accepted of S. Peter upon his bare repentance, and directed Simon Magus to no other remedy, than that, and prayer; We may as well suppose, that if he accept us at all, he will accept us upon that, and our old Baptism, and so make that co-operate to the respective graces of it. Thefe

Thefe I take to be fufficient Arguments against the repetition of Baptism, and the more, because they also fuggest as satisfactory answers to what harh been before alledged for it. neither can they be look'd upon as Heathen, and confequently as standing in need of a new Baptism, who however they may have renounc'd the old, whether by their Impiety, or Apostafie, yet ever were, and ever will be under the obligation of it. And much less after their repentance, and return can they be thought to want it toward the producing of that regeneration, which they are without: Their former Baptism, through the favour of him who annex'd the promife of regeneration to that Sacrament, being as effectual for that purpose, as any new Baptism what foever. Baptism is indeed generally necessary to regeneration, it is so necessary that no man living can promise it to himself without it; But if it be of as much value, as necessity, it may, and no doubt will induce him, who is the dispenser of his own graces, to confer it upon a former, ias well as upon any new administration of it.

FINIS.

XUM

licachica, in a principal contraction of

Castal of the Shear of the Lyapet.

8

and delication